

**The Influence of Salinity on Various Life Stages  
of *Ruppia tuberosa* and implications for its  
distribution in the Coorong, South Australia**

by

**Dae Heui Kim**

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences,  
The University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

November 2013





# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Declaration of Originality.....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Foreword .....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Publications Associated with this thesis .....</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1    General Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1            The salinisation of aquatic ecosystems .....	1
1.2            Impacts of salinisation on aquatic macrophyte communities.....	2
1.2.1        Germination response to salinisation.....	2
1.2.2        Physiological and growth responses to salinisation.....	3
1.3            The importance of water regime on aquatic macrophytes.....	4
1.4            Ecological importance of halophyte of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	5
1.4.1        Description of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	5
1.4.2        Classification of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	5
1.4.3        Distribution of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	6
1.4.4        Life-cycle of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	6
1.5 <i>Ruppia</i> populations in the Coorong region.....	9
1.5.1        Study area: The Coorong region of southern Australia .....	9
1.5.2        Distribution of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> in response to salinity and water depth in the Coorong region .....	12
1.5.3        Germination of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> and <i>Ruppia megacarpa</i> response to salinity ..	13
1.5.4        The effect of salinity on growth and reproduction of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	13
1.5.5        The effect of salinity on photosynthesis of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	14

<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>How <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i>'s Life cycle is adapted to contrasting habitats...</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction .....	15
2.2	Material and methods .....	16
2.2.1	Site description .....	16
2.2.2	Field surveys .....	18
2.2.3	Statistical analyses .....	20
2.3	Results .....	20
2.3.1	Salinity changes .....	20
2.3.2	Shoot abundance .....	21
2.3.3	Flower abundance .....	24
2.3.4	Seed abundance .....	25
2.3.5	Turion abundance .....	26
2.4	Discussion .....	27
2.4.1	How <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> 's life cycle is adapted to different habitats .....	28
2.4.2	Management implication for the restoration of <i>Ruppia</i> .....	32
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>The effect of salinity on the germination of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> and <i>Ruppia megacarpa</i> and implications for the Coorong.....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	33
3.2	Material and methods .....	34
3.2.1	Site description .....	34
3.2.2	Seed and turion collection and storage .....	36
3.2.3	Experimental design and procedure.....	36
3.2.4	propagule (seed and turion) viability test .....	38
3.2.5	Statistical analyses .....	39
3.2.6	Mapping the probability of germination in the Coorong .....	40
3.3	Results .....	40
3.3.1	Effects of salinity and sediment on germination .....	40
3.3.2	Effect of transfer from high to low salinity .....	43
3.3.3	Effects of salinity on imbibition and Na concentrations of seeds.....	44
3.3.4	The probability of germination of <i>Ruppia</i> in the Coorong .....	47
3.4	Discussion .....	49
3.4.1	Effects of salinity and sediment on germination .....	49
3.4.2	Effect of transfer form high to low salinity .....	50
3.4.3	Effects of salinity on imbibition and Na concentrations of seeds.....	50
3.4.4	Summary of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> and <i>Ruppia megacarpa</i> germination .....	51

3.4.5	Implications for the Coorong.....	51
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>The effect of salinity on the growth and reproduction of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i></b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	53
4.2	Material and methods.....	55
4.2.1	Site description.....	55
4.2.2	Experimental design and procedure.....	56
4.2.3	Statistical analyses.....	59
4.3	Results.....	59
4.3.1	Salinity and ion concentrations in <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> tissues under pond conditions.....	59
4.3.2	Growth of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> under pond conditions.....	61
4.3.3	Effects of ion concentrations on the performance of pond grown <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	63
4.3.4	Effects of ion concentrations in <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> tissue <i>in situ</i> .....	64
4.3.5	Species performance of <i>Ruppia tuberosa in situ</i> .....	65
4.4	Discussion.....	65
4.4.1	The total biomass and relative growth rate in response to ion concentrations.....	65
4.4.2	Sexual reproduction in response to ion concentrations.....	66
4.4.3	Asexual reproduction in response to ion concentrations.....	67
4.4.4	Shoot length in response to ion concentrations.....	68
4.4.5	Summary of growth and reproduction.....	68
4.4.6	Management implications.....	69
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>The effect of salinity on photosynthesis of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i></b>	<b>70</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	70
5.2	Material and methods.....	72
5.2.1	Site description.....	72
5.2.2	Field sampling.....	72
5.2.3	Data collection.....	74
5.2.4	Statistical analyses.....	75
5.3	Results.....	76
5.3.1	Effects of salinity on ions ( $\text{Na}^+$ and $\text{K}^+$ ) and $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$ ratios in <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	76
5.3.2	Effects of salinity on the chlorophyll fluorescence parameter of $F_v/F_m$ .....	77
5.3.3	Effects of $\text{Na}^+$ , $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$ ratios at high and low light on $Y_{II}$ , $Y_{NO}$ and $Y_{NPQ}$ .....	81

---

5.3.4	Effects of salinity on diurnal changes in $Y_{II}$ , $Y_{NO}$ and $Y_{NPQ}$ .....	82
5.4	Discussion .....	84
5.4.1	Effects of ion concentrations and $Na^+/K^+$ ratios on plant growth.....	84
5.4.2	Fv/Fm response to salinity.....	84
5.4.3	$Y_{II}$ , $Y_{NO}$ and $Y_{NPQ}$ in responses to salinity .....	85
5.4.4	Physiological responses to salinity .....	87
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>General Discussion .....</b>	<b>89</b>
6.1	Distribution of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	89
6.1.1	The influence of salinity on various life stages of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	91
6.1.2	The influence of water depth on the distribution of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> .....	94
6.2	Knowledge Gaps .....	95
6.3	Conclusions .....	97
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>109</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary of co-ordinates, location and habitats of the field survey sites. ....	18
Table 2.2: Shoot cover-abundance scale by the Braun-Blanquet method. ....	19
Table 2.3: Percentages of flowering by the Braun-Blanquet method. ....	20
Table 2.4: Average abundance of shoots, flowers, seeds and turions of <i>R. tuberosa</i> in the Coorong and the three associated lakes. Values are mean ( $\pm$ S.D). ....	22
Table 2.5: Results of two-way ANOVA analysis on abundance of shoots, flowers, seeds and turions of <i>R. tuberosa</i> . ....	23
Table 2.6: Summary of nonparametric multiplicative regression models describing the relationships between physico-chemical conditions and the abundance of <i>R. tuberosa</i> life stages. DO, dissolved oxygen; SRP, sediment redox potential; SOC, sediment organic content; Tol, tolerance and Sen, sensitivity. ....	23
Table 3.1: Results of log-linear regression model applied to the germination parameters, $G_F$ (final percentage germination) and $T_G$ (time to germination), for seeds and turions of <i>R. tuberosa</i> and seeds of <i>R. megacarpa</i> with and without sediment, as a function of the salinity. ....	42
Table 3.2: Results of one-way analysis of covariance performed on the effect of salinity on the $G_F$ (final percentage germination) and $T_G$ (time to germination) for <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds across the salinity ranges from 0 to 120-125 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ with and without sediment. ....	42
Table 3.3: Results of log-linear regression model applied to the germination parameter $G_F$ (final germination percentage) for <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds, as function of the pre-treatment (145, 165, 185, 200, 220 and 240 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ) and post-treatment (0, 30, 60 and 90 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ) salinities and the interaction between both factors. ....	43
Table 3.4: Results of a two-way ANOVA performed on the effect of salinity on the fresh-weights of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds at three constant (0, 60 and 180 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ) and one fluctuating salinity regime (180-60 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ) during the duration of 4 weeks (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4). ....	46
Table 3.5: Results of a three-way ANOVA performed on the effect of salinity on sodium ( $Na^+$ ) concentrations of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds at three constant (0, 60 and 180 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ) and one fluctuating salinity regime (180-60 $mS\ cm^{-1}$ ), with and without seed coats after 2 and 4 weeks. ....	46
Table 3.6: The effect of salinity on sodium ( $Na^+$ ) concentrations of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds with and without seed coats. Shown are $Na^+$ concentrations (mean (s.e.) after 2 and 4	

weeks of incubation at three constant (0, 60 and 180 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>) and one fluctuating salinity regime (180-60 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, which had 2 weeks incubation at 180 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> followed by 2 an additional 2 weeks incubation at 60 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>). .....47

Table 4.1: Summary of GIS co-ordinates and conductivities of the five study sites in the Coorong and neighbouring Lake Pipe Clay and Lake Cantara.....57

Table 4.2: Results of two-way ANOVA analysis on the total biomass, relative growth rate (RGR), flowering, seed and turion densities and shoot length of *R. tuberosa* from the pond experiment conducted in 2009. ....61

Table 4.3: Effects of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentrations and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios on total biomass (g DW m<sup>-2</sup>), relative growth rate (RGR (d<sup>-1</sup>)), flowering (flowers pot<sup>-1</sup>), seed (seeds pot<sup>-1</sup>) and turion densities (turions pot<sup>-1</sup>) and shoot length (cm) of *R. tuberosa* under the pond experiment in 2009, when flowering commenced. ....64

Table 4.4: Effects of salinity on ion concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, B and Cl<sup>-</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> ratios in the tissue of *R. tuberosa* for the field experiment during October 2011 when flowering commenced. Mean (± S.D.). Different letter superscripts indicate significant differences between sites. ....65

Table 4.5: Species performance of flowering (flowers pot<sup>-1</sup>) and turion densities (turions pot<sup>-1</sup>) and shoot length (cm) of *R. tuberosa* grown *in situ* in 2011. Mean (± S.D.).....65

Table 5.1: Summary of GIS co-ordinates, location and habitat description of the field survey sites. ....73

Table 5.2: Effects of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentrations and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios in the tissues on Fv/Fm ratios of *R. tuberosa*. ....79



## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Image of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> . .....	8
Figure 1.2: Image of the turion type I of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> . .....	8
Figure 1.3: Image of the turion type II of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> . .....	9
Figure 1.4: The location of study sites in the Coorong and neighbouring Lake Pipe Clay and Lake Cantara (North and South), South Australia (PP = Pelican Point; LP = Long Point; NM = Nooameena; SMR = Seven Mile Road; PA =Parnka Point; VDY = Villa dei Yumpa; PL = Policeman’s Point; LPC = Lake Pipe Clay; LCN = Lake Cantara North and LCS = Lake Cantara South). .....	10
Figure 1.5: Salinity at seven sites in the Coorong sampled in July 1976, 1988, 2005 and 2008 (PP = Pelican Point; LP = Long Point; NM = Nooameena; SMP = Seven Mile Road; PA =Parnka Point; VDY = Villa dei Yumpa; PL = Policeman’s Point). .....	11
Figure 2.1: The location of study sites in the Coorong and neighbouring Lake Pipe Clay and Lake Cantara, South Australia (NL1 = Pelican Point; NL2 = Long Point; NL3 = Nooameena; NL4 = Seven mile Point; SL5 = Parnka Point; SL6 = Villa dei Yumpa; SL7 = Policeman Point; LPC8 = Lake Pipe Clay; LCN9 = Lake Cantara North and LCS10 = Lake Cantara South). .....	17
Figure 2.2: Salinities of the seven study sites in the Coorong (NL1-NL4 and SL5-SL7) and neighbouring Lake Pipe Clay (LPC8) and lakes Cantara North (LCN9) and South (LCS10). Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 450. ....	21
Figure 2.3: The relationship of shoot abundance of <i>R. tuberosa</i> to salinity and water depth. Abundance of shoots scales are 0, absent; 1, $\leq$ 5% cover; 2, 6-25% cover; 3, 26-50% cover; 4, 51-75% cover; 5, 76-100% cover. ....	24
Figure 2.4: The relationship of flower abundance of <i>R. tuberosa</i> to salinity and water depth. Abundance of flowers scales are 0, absent; 1, $\leq$ 10% cover; 2, 11-25% cover; 3, 26-50% cover; 4: 51-100% cover. ....	25
Figure 2.5: The relationship of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seed density to salinity and water depth. Mean number of seeds per m <sup>2</sup> . .....	26
Figure 2.6: The relationship of <i>R. tuberosa</i> turion density to salinity and water depth. Mean number of turions per m <sup>2</sup> . ....	27

Figure 3.1: Location of the Coorong, South Australia (PP = Pelican Point; MP = Mark Point; LP = Long Point; NM = Noonameena; PA = Parnka Point; VY = Villa dei Yumpa; JP = Jack Point and SC = Salt Creek). .....	35
Figure 3.2: Image of <i>Ruppia tuberosa</i> seed without seed coat after viability test. ....	39
Figure 3.3: Image of of <i>Ruppia megacarpa</i> seed without seed coat after viability test..	39
Figure 3.4: Effects of salinity on mean final germination percentage of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds and turions without sediment and of <i>R. tuberosa</i> and <i>R. megacarpa</i> seeds with sediment (a) and on mean final percentage germination of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds and turions without sediment and of <i>R. tuberosa</i> and <i>R. megacarpa</i> seeds with sediment (b) after 60 days. ....	41
Figure 3.5: Effects of salinity on mean final germination percentage for <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds (a) and <i>R. tuberosa</i> turions (b), following incubation in initial salinity treatments. Pre-treatment were 145, 165, 185, 200, 220 and 240 mS cm <sup>-1</sup> for seeds and 185, 200, 220 and 240 mS cm <sup>-1</sup> for turions.....	44
Figure 3.6: The effect of salinity on the change in seed weights of <i>R. tuberosa</i> (a) and on germination percentage for <i>R. tuberosa</i> seeds (b) within 28 days. ....	45
Figure 3.7: The effect of seed weights on the germination percentages of <i>R. tuberosa</i> . Log-linear regression lines included. ....	46
Figure 3.8: The models of probability of <i>R. tuberosa</i> seed (a) and turion (b) and <i>R. megacarpa</i> seed (c) germination in the Coorong in July 1976, July 1988, July 2005, July 2008 and August 2011. PP = Pelican Point; MP = Mark Point; LP = Long Point; NM = Noonameena; PA = Parnka Point; VY = Villa dei Yumpa; JP = Jack Point and SC = Salt Creek. ....	48
Figure 4.1: Location of the Coorong and neighbouring Lakes Pipe Clay and Cantara (NL1 = Mulbin Yerrok Point; NL2 = Noonameena; SL3 = Parnka Point; LPC4 = Lake Pipe Clay and LC5 = Lake Cantara), South Australia. ....	56
Figure 4.2: Effects of salinity on ion concentrations of Na <sup>+</sup> (a) and K <sup>+</sup> (b) and Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios (c) in the tissue of <i>R. tuberosa</i> grown in the pond in 2009. Mean (± S.D). n = 15. ....	60
Figure 4.3: Effects of salinity on the total biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> , (a)), relative growth rate (RGR (d <sup>-1</sup> ), (b)), flowering (flowers pot <sup>-1</sup> , (c)), seed (seeds pot <sup>-1</sup> , (d)) and turion densities (turions pot <sup>-1</sup> , (e)) and shoot length (cm, (f)) of <i>R. tuberosa</i> grown in the pond in 2009. Mean (± S.D). n = 15. ....	62
Figure 5.1: Location of the Coorong, South Australia (NL1 = Mulbin Yerrok Point; NL2 = Long Point; NL3 = Noonameena; SL4 = Parnka Point and LC5 = Lake Cantara). ....	73

Figure 5.2: The effect of salinity on ion concentrations of Na <sup>+</sup> (a) and K <sup>+</sup> (b) and Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios (c) in the tissue of <i>R. tuberosa</i> during September. Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	76
Figure 5.3: Salinity changes (a) and ion concentrations of Na <sup>+</sup> (b) and K <sup>+</sup> (c), and Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios (d), in the tissue of <i>R. tuberosa</i> for the three months of August to October 2009 at the five study sites: NL1 (black column); NL2 (dark-grey column); NL3 (grey column); SL4 (light-grey column); and LC (white column). Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	78
Figure 5.4: The effect of ion concentrations of Na <sup>+</sup> (a), K <sup>+</sup> (b) and Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios (c) on Fv/Fm under field conditions for the three months of August to October 2009. Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	80
Figure 5.5: The relationship of Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios and B concentrations in the tissue of <i>R. tuberosa</i> . Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	81
Figure 5.6: The effect of ion concentrations of Na <sup>+</sup> at low (32 $\mu\text{mol quantum m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , (a)) and high (1464 $\mu\text{mol quantum m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , (b)) light irradiances, and Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratios at low (32 $\mu\text{mol quantum m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , (c)) and high (1464 $\mu\text{mol quantum m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , (d)) light irradiances on the complementary yields of YII, YNO and YNPQ. Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	82
Figure 5. 7: The effect of salinity on diurnal changes of Y <sub>II</sub> , Y <sub>NO</sub> and Y <sub>NPQ</sub> under different salinity regimes of (a) 60 mS cm <sup>-1</sup> , (b)75 mS cm <sup>-1</sup> and (c) 125 mS cm <sup>-1</sup> in <i>R. tuberosa</i> , and (d) daily incident irradiance at Mt Bold Reservoir on 3 <sup>rd</sup> October 2009. Mean ( $\pm$ S.D). n = 15. ....	83
Figure 6.1: Conceptual diagram for the major findings linking the effects of salinity on the distribution of <i>Ruppia</i> in the Coorong, Southern Australia. ....	96
Figure 6.2: Conceptual model of the interactions of various environmental parameters on <i>Ruppia</i> species (line, research completed in this study; broken line, for future work). ....	97

## Abstract

Despite the obvious decline in the distribution of *Ruppia* in the Coorong, there have been few extensive studies investigating the factors that control the distribution of this genus. In particular, few studies have focused on how salinity causes changes in the distribution and abundance of various life stages of *Ruppia tuberosa* J. Davis and Tomlinson. To enable the appropriate and restorative management of *R. tuberosa* in the Coorong, it is necessary to improve our understanding of the environmental factors that impact this species. The primary aim of this study was to determine the principle factors in controlling the germination, growth and reproduction of *R. tuberosa* in the Coorong, which ultimately controls its distribution. This was examined through a range of techniques, including field distribution surveys, germination experiments, pond experiments and transplantation experiments to enable for the management of this species in the Coorong.

The first objective (Chapter 2) was to determine the effect of physico-chemical conditions on the distribution and abundance of various life stages of *R. tuberosa* in the Coorong region. In investigating this aim, salinity thresholds for various *R. tuberosa* life stages were assessed. The distribution and abundance of shoots, flowers, seeds and turions of *R. tuberosa* were monitored in the Coorong and neighbouring lakes, Lake Pipe Clay and Lake Cantara to assess their response to 10 physico-chemical factors. The second objective (Chapter 3) was to determine the optimal salinity levels for the germination stage of *R. tuberosa* and *Ruppia megacarpa* R. Mason. It was unknown whether the form of the relationship between salinity and germination is a simple linear response or whether there is a critical threshold salinity above which neither seeds and/or turions will not germinate. Furthermore, it was unknown whether exposure to high salinities is irreversible or whether seeds retain their ability to germinate when transferred to lower salinity. The third objective (Chapter 4) was to assess whether salinity altered the concentrations of ions in plant tissues and whether this was related to growth and reproductive responses of *R. tuberosa*. These responses were investigated by growing plants in ponds, and comparing pond plant responses to *R. tuberosa* grown in the field. The last objective (Chapter 5) was to investigate whether the concentrations of ions in plant tissue was related to photosynthesis of *R. tuberosa*, and to determine the extent to which growth responses could be explained by the function of PSII,

photosynthesis ( $Y_{II}$ ), photochemical ( $Y_{NO}$ ) and non-photochemical quenching ( $Y_{NPQ}$ ). The study also aimed to evaluate the plant's capacity for photo-protection against photo-damage, and to examine possible roles of ion concentrations accumulated in response to increasing salinity in explaining effects on growth.

Salinity and water depth were identified as the principal physico-chemical conditions driving changes in the distribution and abundance of various life stages of *R. tuberosa*. There were strong spatial differences in shoot abundance of *R. tuberosa* among sites. The highest *R. tuberosa* shoot abundance was at salinities of 30-100  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ . However, shoot abundance declined between salinities of 100 and 150  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ , but increased again in salinities of 160-170  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ . *R. tuberosa* shoots were present consistently in water depths of 0.2 to 0.6 m. Flower abundance was influenced by salinity and water depth. The highest flower abundance was observed at salinities of 70 to 90  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$  in water depths of 0.1 to 0.4 m. The highest seed density was maintained at salinities of 40 to 90  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$  in water depths of 0.1 to 0.4 m. In comparison to seeds, *R. tuberosa* turions had a positive relationship with increasing salinity, with turions most likely to occur in areas with salinity above 100  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ , and the highest turion density observed when salinity was approximately 160  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$  in water depths of 0.1 to 0.4 m. This study has shown that extremely high salinity levels led to reduced flower and seed production and seed and turion germination and thus reduced shoot abundance in the Coorong. The reduced abundances of *R. tuberosa* at water depths  $>0.6$  m suggest light limitation and that *R. tuberosa* is a high light adapted species. Salinity levels in Lake Pipe Clay were favourable for germination and results suggested that this was also the case for shoot growth during winter and spring. No flowering and relatively low seed densities indicate the high salinities during spring may affect the flowering of *R. tuberosa*. Unlike other sites, however, physico-chemical conditions in Lake Cantara were not only favourable for the establishment and development of *R. tuberosa* shoots, but also for flower production and seed production and germination. In ephemeral systems provided adequate salinities for germination (seed and turion) and growth during autumn and winter *R. tuberosa* is able to withstand elevated spring salinities through the either sexual production or the production of turions, depending on salinity levels.

Increased salinity led to a decrease in germination rates for *R. tuberosa* and *R. megacarpa*. The salinity thresholds for germination were 120-125  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$  for *R.*

*tuberosa* seeds, 165 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> for *R. tuberosa* turions and 45 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> for *R. megacarpa* seeds. An increase in salinity also led to an increase in mean time to germination of 15 days for *R. tuberosa* seeds (at 120 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>), 24 days for *R. tuberosa* turions (at 165 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>) and 10 days for *R. megacarpa* seeds (at 45 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>). Seeds of *R. tuberosa* that failed to germinate at elevated salinities germinated on transfer to low salinities at an enhanced rate compared with the initial rates. Turions of *R. tuberosa* did not respond in a similar manner because of ion toxicity after exposure to high salinities. This study suggests that salinity affects imbibition, inducing ion toxicities in seeds and turions, consequently influencing the germination process. Using recent elevated salinity levels of 60 to over 165 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Coorong, modelling suggests that seed germination of *R. tuberosa* is likely to be restricted to the less saline northern half of the Coorong, but *R. megacarpa* germination is unlikely to occur. The modelling also suggests that riverine inputs that reduce salinity levels would restore *R. tuberosa* and *R. megacarpa* communities in the water-bodies where they were once abundant, but have declined in response to human induced salinisation.

As salinity increased from 45 to 165 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> in the pond experiment, shoot concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> increased, and K<sup>+</sup> concentrations decreased, and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios increased. These ions were significantly correlated with the inhibition of biomass growth and measures of sexual and asexual reproduction. A similar response was found in the field situation, where shoot concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> increased as salinity increased in *R. tuberosa*. The total biomass of *R. tuberosa* was affected by the ions of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios. The inhibition of flowering in these field plants was correlated with low B and high Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios, whereas turion density was correlated with low K<sup>+</sup> and high Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios. Longer shoot lengths of field *R. tuberosa* were correlated with high Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentrations. It is concluded that increased Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> induced by elevated salinity would inhibit plant growth, and low B and high Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios would inhibit sexual reproduction, while low K<sup>+</sup> and high Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios would induce asexual reproduction. The study indicates that reproduction failure induced by low B and high Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratios may be an important factor causing the observed reduction in the distribution and abundance of *R. tuberosa* in the Coorong.

The results of photosynthetic responses to salinity showed that  $\text{Na}^+$  concentrations and  $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$  ratios were positively related to increased salinity in the tissues of *R. tuberosa*, but there was no relationship between salinity and  $\text{K}^+$  concentrations. There was a rapid decline in  $Y_{II}$  from pre-dawn to midday, with a concomitant increase in  $Y_{NPQ}$  in all salinities of 60, 75 and  $125 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ , but subsequently  $Y_{II}$  increased with a decrease in  $Y_{NPQ}$  during the afternoon, whereas  $Y_{NO}$  remained relatively stable throughout the day. The  $F_v/F_m$  ratio was negatively related to increased  $\text{Na}^+$  concentrations and  $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$  ratios, but not to  $\text{K}^+$  concentrations, which affected positively the  $F_v/F_m$  ratio of *R. tuberosa*. The concentrations of B in *R. tuberosa* tissues were higher at the lower  $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$  ratios, but a significant decrease in B was observed as  $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$  ratios increased. The low B concentration in plant cells may cause physiological changes, resulting in inhibited leaf expansion and consequently causing a loss of photosynthetic capacity. This can be associated with reductions in growth and development of *R. tuberosa*.

Overall, the results indicate that elevated salinities decreased the opportunity of *R. tuberosa* to complete its life cycle. If salinity levels in the Coorong continue to increase *R. tuberosa* may disappear completely, resulting in an ecosystem depauperate of submerged macrophytes. It is concluded that *R. tuberosa* has a number of mechanisms that allows it to survive in a range of conditions. However, the findings of this study suggest that the provision of appropriate riverine inputs to the Coorong to maintain salinities below  $100 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$  in the southern end of the Coorong are required to restore the *R. tuberosa* community. The habitat restoration requiring reduced salinity levels can only be achieved by providing adequate flow of fresh water from the River Murray to the Coorong to ensure successful sexual reproduction of *R. tuberosa*. This study has provided a greater understanding of the influences of different environmental parameters on *R. tuberosa*, which in turn would allow for more effective biological and ecological management tools and methods to be developed.

## Declaration of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Dae Heui Kim and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

.....

Dae Heui Kim

November 2013



## Foreword

This thesis has been prepared as a series of chapters in a format that will be suitable for future publication in scientific journals. To maintain the sense of individual chapters, this has inevitably led to some repetition between chapters.

Chapter 2: How *Ruppia tuberosa*'s Life cycle adapted to contrasting habitats, Chapter 3: The effect of salinity on the germination of *Ruppia tuberosa* and *Ruppia megacarpa* and implications for the Coorong: A coastal lagoon of southern Australia, Chapter 4: The effect of salinity on growth and reproduction of *Ruppia tuberosa* in the Coorong and Chapter 5: The effect of salinity on photosynthesis of *Ruppia tuberosa*, have been submitted (Chapter 2, Chapter 4 and Chapter 5) and published (Chapter 3) in the interest of continuity of the thesis, this chapter has been included as part of the word document. In the publications, salinity was reported in g/L but these have been converted to mS cm<sup>-1</sup> for inclusion in the main body of the thesis. Copy of this publication has been added as Appendix I respectively.

## Publications Associated with this thesis

Chapter 2 (submitted for publication)

Pg 15

### How *Ruppia tuberosa*'s life cycle adapts to contrasting habitats

D.H. Kim, K.T. Aldridge, J.D. Brookes, G.G. Ganf

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Inland Waters

#### **Kim, D.H. (Candidate)**

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

*Signed*.....*Date*.....

#### **Aldridge, K.T.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*

*Date* 15/11/2013

#### **Brookes, J.D.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*.....*Date*.....

#### **Ganf, G.G.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*

*Date* 18/11/2013

**The effect of salinity on the germination of *Ruppia tuberosa* and *Ruppia megacarpa* and implications for the Coorong: A coastal lagoon of southern Australia**

D.H. Kim, K.T. Aldridge, J.D. Brookes, G.G. Ganf

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Aquatic Botany (2013) 111:81-88

**Kim, D.H. (Candidate)**

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

Signed.....Date.....

**Aldridge, K.T.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed

Date 15/11/2013

**Brookes, J.D.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed.....Date.....

**Ganf, G.G.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed

Date 18/11/2013

**The effect of salinity on growth and reproduction of *Ruppia tuberosa* in the Coorong, a coastal lagoon of southern Australia**

D.H. Kim, K.T. Aldridge, J.D. Brookes, G.G. Ganf

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Hydrobiologia

**Kim, D.H. (Candidate)**

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

*Signed*.....*Date*.....

**Aldridge, K.T.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*.....*Date*.....15/11/2013

**Brookes, J.D.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*.....*Date*.....

**Ganf, G.G.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

*Signed*.....*Date*.....18/11/2013

**The effect of salinity on photosynthesis of *Ruppia tuberosa***

D.H. Kim, K.T. Aldridge, J.D. Brookes, G.G. Ganf

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Estuarine Coastal and shelf science

**Kim, D.H. (Candidate)**

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

Signed.....Date.....

**Aldridge, K.T.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed Date 15/11/2013

**Brookes, J.D.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed.....Date.....

**Ganf, G.G.**

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed Date 18/11/2013

## Acknowledgements

This thesis has been made possible with the financial support of the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Adelaide. Thanks also to the School for providing a comfortable working environment and general support throughout my candidature.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank my supervisors, Justin D. Brookes, George G. Ganf and Kane T. Aldridge. Your knowledge, guidance, enthusiasm and support have been inspirational throughout the entire course of this project. George, Justin and Kane thank you for always having the time and patience and for providing so much advice.

I have had wonderful support from many people who have assisted me throughout this project. Thank you to Kane Aldridge, Sean White, Brian Deegan, Abigail Goodman, Wai Sum Chan, Colin Bailey, Garry Miller, Eun Hee Kim, Geum Ho Kim, Sung Ching Kao, Ian Probyn, Rosalind Jones, and John and Cherly Wilmshurst for assistance in the field and the ponds. I also thank Julie Francis, Helen Brown and Teresa Fowles for technical assistance. Thanks to my office mates Dominic Skinner, Abigail Goodman, Deborah Furst and Jia Jia Fen for sharing this time with me. A special thanks to Rob Reid who generously gave me his time and knowledge to provide essential advice which I greatly appreciate.

Many thanks to Kane and Anna; you welcomed me into your family on Christmas day of my first year. I have really enjoyed being a postgraduate student, mainly due to the wonderful people who made my research achievable and also allowed me to pursue other opportunities. I would like to thank my friends, Ian, Rosalind, Trevor and Gina, and a special thanks to elders, Sung Kwan Choi, Kyung Sook Kyun and many others, for your support.

Most of all, I would like to thank my parents, family, Garry and friends back in Korea for their continued support, encouragement and love. I could not have come so far without your assistance.