Methods to Assess Environmental Flow and Groundwater Management Scenarios for Floodplain Tree Health in the Lower River Murray



lan Clifford Overton
PhD Thesis

Methods to Assess Environmental Flow and Groundwater Management Scenarios for Floodplain Tree Health in the Lower River Murray

Submitted by

Ian Clifford Overton B.Sc. (Hons.)

As required in full for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In the

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences,

Faculty of Sciences

University of Adelaide

January 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

T	able of Co	ontents	V
Li	ist of Figເ	ıres	vii
Li	ist of Tab	les	xiv
A	bstract		xv i
D	eclaration	າ	xvii
Α	cknowled	lgments	xix
		ations Associated with this Thesis	
1	_	uction	
٠		ature of the Problem	
	1.1.1	Floodplain Ecosystems	
	1.1.2	Water Resource Development	
	1.1.3	Declining Environmental Health	
	1.1.4	Management Scenarios	
	1.1.5	Assessing Management Scenarios	
	_	bjectives	
		hesis Outline	
_			
2	Lower	River Murray Environment	18
		troduction	
	2.1.1	Regional Scale - The Lower River Murray	
	2.1.2	Floodplain Scale – The Chowilla Floodplain	
		limate	
		urface Hydrology	
	2.3.1	River Regulation and Extraction	
	2.3.2	Changes in River Flows and Floods	
	2.3.3	Water Quality	
	2.4 H 2.4.1	ydrogeology and Geomorphology	
	2.4.1	Land Management	
		Changes in Groundwater Depth and Salinisationegetation	
	2.5.1	Changes in Tree Health	
3	Assess	sing Impacts on Floodplain Tree Health	
		troduction	
	3.2 M	apping Floodplain Tree Health	46
	3.3 Fa	actors Affecting Floodplain Tree Health	55
	3.3.1	Flooding Frequency and Duration	57
	3.3.2	Soil Properties and Groundwater Recharge	60
	3.3.3	Groundwater Depth and Salinity	
	3.3.4	Soil Salinisation	64
	3.3.5	Freshwater Sources	
	3.4 M	odelling Floodplain Tree Health	
	3.4.1	Introduction	
	3.4.2	Flow Response Models	
	3.4.3	Habitat Suitability Models	
	3.4.4	Process-Based Models	
	3.4.5	Population and Food Web Models	79

	3.5 3.5.1	Management Decision Support	
		Floodplain Management Scenarios	
		Conclusions	
_			. 0-
4		essing Regional Scale Environmental Flow and Groundwater	
M		nent Scenarios	
		Introduction	
		Mapping Floodplain Tree Health at the Regional Scale	
		Assessing Environmental Flow Scenarios	
	4.3.1 4.3.2		
	4.3.2		
	4.3.4	,	. 33
		arios	108
	4.3.5		
		Assessing Groundwater Management Scenarios	
	4.4.1	Introduction	
	4.4.2		
	4.4.3		
	Scen	arios	
	4.4.4	Discussion of Groundwater Management Scenarios	151
	4.5	Conclusion	153
5	Asse	essing Floodplain Scale Environmental Flow and Groundwate	r
		nent Scenarios	
	_	Introduction	
	5.2	Modelling Environmental Factors Affecting Floodplain Tree Health	1156
	5.2.1	Mapping Tree Health at the Floodplain Scale	156
	5.2.2	3 - 1	
	5.2.3	3	
	5.2.4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	5.2.5	3	
		Modelling Floodplain Tree Health	
	5.3.1	Introduction	
	5.3.2 5.3.3	y	
	5.3.4		
	5.3.4		100 120
	5.3.6		
	5.3.7		_
		Impact of Floodplain Scale Management Scenarios	
	5.4.1	Introduction	
	5.4.2		
	5.4.3	·	
	5.5	Conclusion	
6	Conc	clusions	246
U		Modelling Floodplain Tree Health	
	6.1.1		
	6.1.2		
		Critique of the Methodology and Future Research	
		Conclusions	
P		es	
Δ	ppendix	c – Software Code for WINDS Model	285

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Thesis chapter structure showing the regional and floodplain scale
approaches taken in assessing surface water and groundwater
management scenarios for riparian vegetation health
Figure 2.1 Lower River Murray in South Australia showing its location in the Murray-Darling Basin in Australia
Figure 2.2 Lower River Murray in South Australia showing locks and localities
and the location of the Chowilla floodplain in red21
Figure 2.3 The Chowilla anabranch region defined as the limit of the 1 in 13
year flood. Lock 6 lies along the River Murray in Chowilla and there is a
major anabranch system that passes around the lock23
Figure 2.4. Annual inflow in the Murray-Darling Basin since 1892 (MDBA,
2011)26
Figure 2.5 Many wetlands have become permanent such as the upper pool
wetland at Lock 6 in Chowilla. Drowned river red gums are a common site
upstream of locks28
Figure 2.6 Change in monthly flows at the South Australian border under
natural and current conditions (MDBC, 2003). Years from 1890 to 2000 are
presented down the page and months across the page in each column.
Each month is given a colour based on the flow band for the peak flow
conditions indicated in grey, light blue and dark blue for different size flows
The column on the left is for natural conditions pre-regulation and the
column on the right is the same flow that would have occurred if no
regulation was present30
Figure 2.7 Peak flow recurrence intervals for natural and current conditions
(after National Environmental Consultancy, 1988)31
Figure 2.8 Median monthly flows at the South Australian border under natural
and current conditions, and the entitlement of SA (MDBC, 2003). The
median Demonstration Flow is under a flow regime set by the Living
Murray or an extra 500 GL/yr31
Figure 2.9 Flow to South Australia during 1977 to 2011 showing the flow bands
for inundating the majority of river red gum and black box forests and
woodlands32
Figure 2.10. Changes in the average period between floods for a range of
wetlands and floodplains in the Murray-Darling Basin (CSIRO, 2008)32
Figure 2.11 Map showing the regional groundwater flow directions and salinity
in the Lower River Murray region (after Barnett, 1989)34
Figure 2.12 Generalised hydrogeological cross section of the Chowilla
floodplain (after Waterhouse, 1989). Vertical elevation is given in metres
above the Australian Height Datum36
Figure 2.13 Irrigation in the lower River Murray in 2001 shown in green. The
major irrigation areas are labelled. Floodplain risk modelled using the FIP
model described in chapter 5. Note that Chowilla does not have any
fringing irrigation37
Figure 2.14 Seepage of saline water onto the floodplain at the base of the cliffs
caused by irrigation38
Figure 2.15 A) Black box is the dominant tree on the higher parts of the
floodplain in the lower River Murray. B) Dense river red gum forest occurs
on the sandy banks near creeks. C) Large river red gums line the edges of
creeks and wetlands. D) River cooba is the third most dominant tree on the
floodplain, this one displaying poor health. E) The green variant of black
box can be seen easily amongst the less salt tolerant black box41

Figure 2.16 Black box in poor health exhibiting signs of stress through loss of canopy cover, epicormic growth and mistletoe attack
44
Figure 3.1 Black box health classes interpreted from 1:40,000 aerial photography (1994) showing areas of poor and good health (Taylor <i>et al.</i> , 1996)
Figure 3.2 Vegetation health map for 1996-1999 (Telfer et al., 1998; Telfer and Overton, 1999a; Cooling and Overton, 1999; Telfer et al., 2000)
Figure 3.3 Vegetation health map for 1945 (Telfer et al., 1998; Telfer and Overton, 1999a; Cooling and Overton, 1999; Telfer et al., 2000)
Figure 3.4 Lower River Murray between Overland Corner and the South Australian border showing vegetation health as mapped in 2003. Mapping from aerial photography and field assessment by Smith and Kenny (2005).
Figure 3.5 Tree and perennial shrub vegetation health map for the New South
Wales side of the Chowilla floodplain (DSNR, 2003)
local depressions for water accumulation, lead to tree by tree spatial variability
Figure 3.7 Conceptual diagram of the salt accumulation mechanisms in floodplain soils
Figure 3.8 Conceptual model of the natural flow regime method (Bunn and Arthington, 2002)
Figure 3.9 Empirical model of river red gum response to flooding relating health
to inundation duration (Young <i>et al.</i> , 2003)
Figure 3.11 The Chowilla GIS showing good and poor black box health using the class model (based on Taylor <i>et al.</i> , (1996))
Fairweather, 2008)
Figure 4.2 Histogram of band 7 of the image that relates to 102,000 ML/day flow (Path 95/Row 84). The central line indicates the threshold between water and non- water. Two low reflectance value peaks represent Lake Victoria (with deep water) and the River Murray (with shallow turbid water).
Figure 4.3 River levels for kilometres of the river from Lock 2 to the SA/NSW
border for different flows
with river level 5 cm above normal pool level
Figure 4.7 Area of flooding versus flow magnitude for the South Australian border (Chowilla floodplain) to Wellington. The graph shows the area of inundation compared to the area of permanent water and the extent of the 1956 flood (~258,000 ML/day)
Figure 4.8 Example output of the RiM-FIM showing an area around the Sunraysia irrigation area upstream from Lock 2

Figure 4.9 Frequency distribution of the cells from the elevation model
compared to the floodplain inundation model heights for the whole of the
lower River Murray106
Figure 4.10 The Chowilla floodplain showing the drought index for 1964110
Figure 4.11 The Chowilla floodplain showing the drought indicator for 2003. 111
Figure 4.12 Vegetation health on the Bookpurnong and Gurra floodplains,
South Australia showing the decline in vegetation health in 1972 (Telfer
and Overton, 1999b)11
Figure 4.13 Distribution of black box and river red gum on the Chowilla
floodplain in relation to flow at the Border118
Figure 4.14 Volume (ML/day) of monthly flow as a moving window that occurs
over 35,000 ML/day (overbank flow) from 1911 to 2008119
Figure 4.15 Conceptual model of groundwater inputs to the floodplain and
potential groundwater discharge pathways within the floodplain (Holland et
al., 2009)
Figure 4.16 Schematic cross sections showing the different scenarios of
groundwater input from the highlands and/or high river levels. (A)
Downstream of a lock with no irrigation mound. (B) Downstream of a lock
with an irrigation mound. (C) Upstream of a lock with an irrigation mound.
(D) Upstream of a lock with no irrigation mound (Holland et al., 2009)124
Figure 4.17 Diagram of a division showing the parameters used in the FIP
model. Parameters of the model are explained in the text and Table 4.7.
127
Figure 4.18 Map of part of the lower River Murray showing the floodplain
divisions used to implement the spatial analytical model
Figure 4.19 Graph of river heights for entitlement flow, 100,000 ML/day and the
1956 flood. The FIP model uses the entitlement flow for river levels and the
100,000 ML/day heights for floodplain edge height131
Figure 4.20 The FIP model input screen to set values for current and future
scenarios
Figure 4.21 The FIP model in the GIS showing an output map of XCRIT
classes13 ²
Figure 4.22 Predictions of floodplain risk for the lower River Murray in South
Australia (southern section) under current inflow conditions. Areas of
salinisation are those floodplain divisions were some or all of the floodplain
has groundwater within 2 m of the modelled surface136
Figure 4.23 Predictions of floodplain risk for the lower River Murray in South
Australia (northern section) under current inflow conditions. Areas of
salinisation are those floodplain divisions were some or all of the floodplain
has groundwater within 2 m of the modelled surface
Figure 4.24 Predictions of the floodplain attenuation percentage for the area
between Lock 3 and 4 under current inflow conditions. The degree of
attenuation can be seen as a function of locking, floodplain width and of
the magnitude of groundwater inflow139
Figure 4.25 Predictions of floodplain risk of salinisation for the area between
Lock 3 and 4 under current inflow conditions. Areas of salinisation have
been given a gradational colour based on the XCRIT value140
Figure 4.26 Map of part of the lower River Murray showing the spatial
representation of the run-of-river salinity data141
Figure 4.27 Comparison of FIP model predictions of cumulative salt loads to
the river versus the 2001 run-of-river survey
Figure 4.28 Total salt loads to the river for each lock reach showing comparisor
between reported values that assumed 30% attenuation (Barnett <i>et al.</i> ,
2002 data from 1995), FIP results, and run-of-river values for 2001143

Figure 4.29 Total salt loads to the river valley for each Land and Water	
Management Plan Area showing comparison between reported values	
(AWE, 2003) and FIP results for 2003144	
Figure 4.30 Predictions of floodplain risk for the area between Lock 3 and 4	
under a 20% increase in current inflows due to increased irrigation. Areas	
of salinisation have been given a gradational colour based on the	
percentage of floodplain with groundwater within 2 m of the modelled	
surface	
Figure 4.31 Predictions of floodplain risk for the area between Lock 3 and 4	
under conditions were irrigation practices have been improved to have	
85% efficiencies rather than the current average of 75%. The improved	
efficiencies equate to a reduction in the current inflows of 40%. Areas of	
salinisation have been given a gradational colour based on the percentage	
of floodplain with groundwater within 2 m of the modelled surface 150	
Figure 4.32 Predictions of floodplain risk for the area between Lock 3 and 4	
under current inflows but with the groundwater surface lowered by 1 metre.	
The lowering of the groundwater could be achieved by lowering the weir	
pool or through groundwater interception schemes. Areas of salinisation	
have been given a gradational colour based on the percentage of	
floodplain with groundwater within 2 m of the surface	
Figure 5.1 Map of vegetation types on the Chowilla floodplain	
Figure 5.2 Map of vegetation health of the Chowilla floodplain (2003) from	
aerial photography and field assessment. This figure can be compared	
with 3.1 from 1994	
Figure 5.3 Floodplain inundation in Chowilla with 5,000 ML/day, Lock 6 at pool	
level and no weir in Chowilla creek159	
Figure 5.4 Floodplain inundation in Chowilla with 20,000 ML/day, Lock 6 at pool	
level and no weir in Chowilla creek160	
Figure 5.5 Floodplain inundation in Chowilla with 60,000 ML/day, Lock 6 at pool	
level and no weir in Chowilla creek160	
Figure 5.6 Floodplain inundation in Chowilla with 80,000 ML/day, Lock 6 at pool	
level and no weir in Chowilla creek161	
Figure 5.7 Floodplain inundation in Chowilla with 100,000 ML/day, Lock 6 at	
pool level and no weir in Chowilla creek161	
Figure 5.8 The locations used in the River-Height-Flow model for the Chowilla	
floodplain (Overton <i>et al.</i> , 2005)163	
Figure 5.9 Inundation of flooding predicted using simple 19.50 m AHD elevation	
and DTM 164	
Figure 5.10 Inundation of flooding at 40,000 ML/day with both Lock 6 and	
Chowilla Creek weir at 19.50 m AHD164	
Figure 5.11 Extent of the maximum inundation on the Chowilla floodplain from	
a weir of 19.87 metres at the bottom of Chowilla Creek under different	
flows in the River Murray 165	
Figure 5.12 Soils of the Chowilla floodplain showing the nine different soil types	
(Overton and Jolly, 2003 based on Hollingsworth, 1990). Soil types are	
described in Table 5.1167	
Figure 5.13 Maximum recharge rates on the Chowilla Floodplain based on soil	
types 169	
Figure 5.14 Satellite NDVI images for January 1995 (12 months following a	
flood and prior to the 1996 flood) and July 1997 (6 months following the	
1996 flood). Blue and green areas show high vegetation vigour and orange	
areas show little vegetation vigour171	
Figure 5.15 NDVI detected areas of high vegetation vigour response to flood	
(1996). The green areas are drawn over the recharge map based on soil	
types only	

Figure 5.16 Recharge map of Chowilla showing the four recharge areas of 1, 2, 6 and 500 mm/day172
Figure 5.17 Losing creek region in Chowilla Creek
Figure 5.18 Gaining creek region in Salt Creek172
Figure 5.19 Airborne electromagnetic imagery over Chowilla showing the
conductivity at approximately 6-8 metres below the surface. Blue areas
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
represent low conductivity (min 0 mS/m) and red areas are high (max 2000 mS/m).
mS/m)
EM data
Figure 5.21 Airborne electromagnetic imagery over Chowilla showing the
conductivity at approximately 2-4 metres below the surface. Blue areas
represent low conductivity (min 0 mS/m) and red areas are high (max 2000
mS/m)
Figure 5.22 Local recharge areas identified by the Airborne EM data. The areas
correspond to sandy areas
Figure 5.23 Potential groundwater dependent areas (green) and local recharge
areas (hatched) identified from the Airborne EM data177 Figure 5.24 Groundwater depth (in metres) surface produced from MODFLOW
model (Yan <i>et al.</i> , 2005)178
Figure 5.25 AEM derived groundwater salinity, 5 m below standing water level
(Munday <i>et al.</i> , 2008)179 Figure 5.26 The Chowilla GIS showing good and poor black box health using
the habitat suitability model (based on Taylor <i>et al.</i> , (1996))184
Figure 5.27 The WAVES model has been applied to the Chowilla floodplain and
indicates that lowering water tables by 5 metres achieves more than the
1,500 GL flooding scenario (Overton and Jolly, 2003). The graph is for
Black Box at Site 2 (Overton Site), soil type 2c, maximum WT Depth=3m,
GW EC=18,000 uS/cm and elevation=20.2mAHD186
Figure 5.28 The salinity risk model for Chowilla showing good and poor black
box health for 1988. Note the flush-zone has been given a zero salinity risk
based on low groundwater salinity190
Figure 5.29 Salinity risk model predictions for black box health (1994) for the
Chowilla floodplain. Poor and good classes divided on salinity value of S=
2.50190
Figure 5.30 Hypothetical WINDS soil water availability index over a fifteen year
period showing the increasing soil water availability during floods and
decreasing availability during drought193
Figure 5.31 Hydrograph of River Murray flow at the border of South Australia
from BigMOD. The graph clearly shows the dry period observed in the last
five years. The red lines indicate the time period used for the 1973, 1988
and 2003 predictions197
Figure 5.32 Vegetation health prediction for black box and river red gum trees
(2003)
Figure 5.33 Vegetation health prediction for black box and river red gum trees
(2003). Red for dead, orange for poor and green for good health202
Figure 5.34 WINDS predicted soil salinity for the Chowilla floodplain (2003). 203
Figure 5.35 The distribution of tree health across the range of soil salinities
(dS/m) modelled from the WINDS model204
Figure 5.36 Depth to groundwater for 30 year prediction (2033) with the do-
nothing scenario (MODFLOW modelling data provided by Overton et al., 2006)213
Figure 5.37 Soil salinity for 30 year prediction (2033) with the do-nothing
scenario (WINDS)214
500.14110 (VVII 4DO)

Figure 5.38 Tree health for 30 year prediction (2033) with the do-nothing
scenario (WINDS), last 15 years repeated
Figure 5.39 Change in groundwater depth for 30 years (2033) under the 38
bore groundwater interception scheme
Figure 5.40 Soil salinity in 30 years (2033) under the 38 bore groundwater interception scheme
Figure 5.41 Tree health in 30 years (2033) under the 38 bore groundwater
interception scheme
Figure 5.42 Living Murray MDBC proposed flow strategy to increase flows by
1,500 GL/yr. The hydrograph represents actual flows (blue) and enhanced
flows (red)
Figure 5.43 Extent of flooding for a 5,000, 10,000, 20,000, 40,000 and 60,000
ML/day flow with the Lock 6 weir raised to 19.87m and the area of
influence buffered by 50 metres
Figure 5.44 Chowilla Creek environmental regulator proposal location of the
weir and the blocking banks (based on designs by URS Pty Ltd (Overton et
al., 2006)
Figure 5.45 Extent of flooding for a range of flows with the proposed new
environmental regulator in Chowilla creek
Figure 5.46 Hydrograph of flows into South Australia (through Chowilla) from
1988 to 2003 showing the current flow, the modelled natural flow and a
modelled flow enhancement of 500 GL/yr. The dotted line shows the effect
of operating the environmental regulator each year on the current
hydrograph223
Figure 5.47 Percentage of time inundated during the last 15 years (1998 –
2003) versus the size of the flow across the border into South Australia.
Figure 5.48 Tree health predictions for 30 years (2033) using the proposed
environmental regulator in Chowilla creek (WINDS)225
Figure 5.49 Change in tree health prediction for 2033 from 'do nothing' to the
proposed environmental regulator operation on the Chowilla floodplain
from WINDS modelling. Operation is based on the weir being used every
year ay 19.87m with the last 15 years of flow repeated. The figure only
shows those areas affected by the weir that are trees and were not in good
health in 2003
Figure 5.50 Soil salinity decrease from proposed environmental regulator
operation on the Chowilla floodplain from WINDS modelling at 2033 with
last 15 years flow repeated. Units are in dS/m EC. Operation is based on
the weir being used every year ay 19.87m with the last 15 years of flow
repeated. The figure only shows those areas affected by the weir that are
trees and were not in good health in 2003228
Figure 5.51 Biodiversity conservation areas on the Chowilla floodplain 233
Figure 5.52 Target management areas on the Chowilla floodplain with areas
inundated by a raised weir234
Figure 5.53 Draw down required to create a groundwater table of 5 metres. 235
Figure 5.54 Number of flood inundated days during a five year period to halt
salt accumulation
Figure 5.55 An example of the use of the DEH biodiversity rating in
combination with the environmental risk modelling to prioritise areas for
rehabilitation237
Figure 5.56 Impact on water features of the Chowilla floodplain from the
operation of the proposed environmental regulator
Figure 5.57 Soil salinity ranges for different vegetation types showing the
presence of communities spread over a 'natural' salinity range likely to
have occurred prior to river management241

Figure 5.58 Soil salinity ranges for different vegetation types showing the presence of communities likely to be occurring in areas that are salinisin	_
Figure 5.59 Soil salinity ranges for different vegetation types showing the presence of communities occurring in highly saline areas and therefore	
suggesting invasive species to the floodplain	242
Figure 5.60 Difference between the old and the new 'active floodplain' for the	
Chowilla floodplain	243
Figure 5.61 River red gum establishing in lower areas in the wetland as floor regimes alter	
- 3	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Existing tools for assessing regional and floodplain scale surface and
groundwater management scenarios
Table 4.1: Image Path / Row and dates for the 21 satellite Landsat TM images
used in the study. All images were from Row 8494
Table 4.2 Relationship between vegetation health, drought index and area
when vegetation is greater than 50 m from the river at Chowilla 111
Table 4.3 Relationship between vegetation health, drought index and area
when vegetation is less than 50 m from the river at Chowilla 112
Table 4.4 Relationship between vegetation health and drought index when
vegetation is greater than 50 m from the river at Chowilla
Table 4.5. Relationship between vegetation health and drought index when
vegetation is less than 50m from the river at Chowilla 112
Table 4.6 Return periods of a range of flows to South Australia at Chowilla
(modified from Sharley and Huggan, 1995)117
Table 4.7 Division coverage fields and descriptions
Table 4.8 Division output values calculated from the parameters in Table 7.1
and the analytical model equations132
Table 4.9 Summary of preliminary model predictions for the lower River Murray
in South Australia
Table 4.10 Summary of preliminary model predictions for the lower River
Murray in South Australia divided into Land and Water Management Plan
areas. Salt loads and floodplain attenuation percentages are compared to
reported figures (AWE, 2003)
Table 4.11 Summary of the areas at risk for the lower River Murray in South
Australia147
Table 5.1 Soil types and the soil hydraulic properties used in the modelling
(Overton and Jolly, 2003)167
Table 5.2 Recharge rates based on Ksat of soil types
Table 5.2 Recharge rates based on Rsat of soil types
170
(Hodgson, 1993)
Table 5.5 Contingency matrix showing the degree of spatial matching between
salinity risk model predictions of black box health (Figure 5.29) and
interpretation of health from aerial photographs (Figure 3.1) as a
proportion
Table 5.6 Contingency matrix showing the degree of spatial correspondence as
a proportion between flood extent differences and detected changes in the
vegetation cover from satellite imagery between 1988 and 1995 for
different soil textures
Table 5.7 Vegetation health prediction for black box and river red gum trees.
Predicted from the WINDS model (2003) versus recorded health mapping.
Table 5.8 Results for current and future predictions (total area is 8,582 ha). 212
Table 5.9 Area increases from the raising of Lock 6 weir by 62 cm 219
Table 5.10 Area of inundation of different flows in the river using the proposed
environmental regulator
Table 5.11 Tree vegetation on the Chowilla floodplain and the change in
WINDS index
Table 5.12 Riparian vegetation water sources and the potential impacts from
management scenarios231

Table 5.13 Biodiversity conservation values on the Chowilla floodplain ar	nd the
area inundated by the proposed environmental regulator	233
Table 5.14 Areas of the floodplain with poor vegetation health can be	
categorised on management scenarios	239
Table 6.1 Tools for assessing regional and floodplain scale surface and	
groundwater management scenarios. This study has developed a nu	umber
of modelling tools (green) to add to existing models (orange). A future	re
challenge is an integrated process model for tree health at regional s	scales
(blue). The models are classified as either process based or habitat	class
based	246

ABSTRACT

Riparian environments have degraded world-wide as a consequence of human development and climatic change. The native floodplain tree communities of semi-arid river systems are under stress from reduced flooding frequencies as a consequence of water extractions, river regulation and climate change. In regions with saline aquifers, river regulation and land management have also caused soil salinisation, further impacting on floodplain tree health.

The lower River Murray in south-eastern Australia is a major ecological asset considered as an area of international significance. The dominant floodplain vegetation is suffering severe decline in health, with approximately 80% of floodplain trees reported as being in poor condition or dead. A reduction in water availability from reduced flooding and soil salinisation, has been identified as the primary cause. This has resulted from large irrigation extractions across the Murray-Darling Basin and elevated saline groundwater levels due to river regulation and land clearance.

Management of these ecosystems needs to address both surface and groundwater changes. Increasing flooding regimes from environmental flow management and lowering of groundwater in regions of shallow saline aquifers are the most common scenarios adopted world-wide. Traditionally the assessment of management options for floodplain habitats has focussed on changes in river flow with no consideration given to surface water and groundwater interactions. In addition groundwater has been treated as a single homogenous unit. Wide floodplains have high spatial variability of habitats due to historic meandering anabranch creek systems that cause changing elevations and soil types. This in turn creates a highly variable pattern of surface and groundwater interactions. This thesis investigates the major causes of floodplain tree decline and develops methods for predicting the spatial impacts on floodplain tree health from a range of management scenarios.

Surface and groundwater changes are often highly inter-connected but are usually considered separately at regional scales because of the complexity of management and modelling of surface and groundwater interactions over large areas. This thesis addresses the surface and groundwater changes at the regional scale of the lower River Murray. A floodplain inundation model for the River Murray (RiM-FIM) is developed to predict the extent of flooding at various magnitudes of flow and river regulation and a 'drought index' was used to indicate the risk to floodplain tree health of changing flow regimes. A floodplain impacts model (FIP) was applied spatially to predict groundwater discharge onto the floodplain and model vegetation risk.

At the floodplain scale, surface and groundwater need to be integrated to assess detailed management scenarios. This thesis develops a method for assessing soil water availability from surface and groundwater interactions using a spatial and temporal model of salt accumulation and recharge (WINDS). This model is then used to predict floodplain tree health.

The thesis contributes to the science of floodplain processes and develops a number of innovative modelling techniques for predicting the spatial variability of floodplain tree impacts, improving on traditional broad assessment methods. The tools are applicable to other saline semi-arid rivers and are useful for environmental flow and groundwater management decision making.

DECLARATION

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the

award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary

institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material

previously published or written by another person, except where due reference

has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in

the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any

university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University

of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the

joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library,

being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of

the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on

the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue

and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by

the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Ian Clifford Overton B.Sc. (Hons.)

Date:

xviii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr Megan Lewis from the University of Adelaide and Dr Glen Walker from CSIRO Division of Land and Water. I would also like to thank the other people that provided guidance throughout the work including Ian Jolly, Peter Slavich, Kate Holland, Rebecca Doble and Tanya Doody, from CSIRO Division of Land and Water, Tony Herbert and Alison Stokes, from the South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Brenton Erdmann from the South Australian Department of Water Resources, Bob Newman from the Murray-Darling Basin Commission, and Mike Harper from the South Australian Department of Environment and Heritage.

During the development of the floodplain inundation model (Chapter 4.3), technical assistance was provided by Suzanne Slegers, Jane Lawley and Matthew Sandercock from Mapping and Beyond Pty Ltd, and Chris Smitt, Kerryn McEwan and Christina Gabrovsek from CSIRO Division of Land and Water. Dr Jamie Sherrah, Cognisant Systems Pty Ltd, and Ben Raymond undertook the image interpolation work.

The modelling of regional groundwater depth (Chapter 4.4.2) was built on the analytical model of Dr Kate Holland and Dr Glen Walker from CSIRO Division of Land and Water. My component was to apply this science in a spatial framework. The mapping of recharge areas (Chapter 5.2.3) was supported by soil interpretation from Ian Jolly, CSIRO Division of Land and Water, and electromagnetic data collection by Dr Tim Munday from CSIRO Division of Exploration and Mining.

Credit needs to be given in the area of modelling salinity processes (Chapter 5.3.3) which built on previous work by Ian Jolly, Dr Peter Slavich, Dr Glen Walker and others from the CSIRO Division of Land and Water. Much of the theory, conceptualisation and modelling parameters came from their studies, again my component was to implement the modelling concept spatially. Tanya Doody and Steve Marvanek produced some of the management scenario results using the WINDS model (Chapter 5.4.2).

Financial Support

Initial study of salt accumulation processes and vegetation health was funded by a CSIRO Division of Land and Water studentship. The development of the River Murray Floodplain Inundation Model (Chapter 4.3) was funded by:

- The Murray-Darling Basin Commission;
- South Australian Water Corporation;
- South Australian Department of Environment and Natural Resources;
- South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation;
- National Action Plan for Salinity and Water Quality;
- River Murray Catchment Water Management Board;
- CSIRO Division of Land and Water; and
- CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Flagship.

The Floodplain Impacts Model (FIP) (Chapter 4.4) was funded by the National Action Plan for Salinity and Water Quality through the River Murray Catchment Water Management Board and the SA Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation.

The WINDS vegetation health model (Chapter 5.3) was supported financially in its development and application to management scenarios by the CSIRO Division of Land and Water and the South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation.

The impacts of management scenarios (Chapter 5.4) was developed for the South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation and the South Australian Murray-Darling Basin Natural Resource Management Board as part of the Chowilla Salt Interception Scheme Proposal, the Chowilla Asset Water Management Plan, the Chowilla Environmental Regulator Proposal and environmental watering investigations.

KEY PUBLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THESIS

Refereed Book Chapters

- Overton, I.C., Penton, D. and Doody, T.M. (2010). 'Ecosystem Response Modelling in the River Murray'. In: Saintilan, N. and Overton, I.C. (eds.) 'Ecosystem Response Modelling in the Murray-Darling Basin'. CSIRO Publishing, Canberra.
- Overton, I.C. and Doody, T.M. (2010). 'Ecosystem Response Modelling in the Chowilla Floodplain and Lindsay-Wallpolla Islands'. In: Saintilan, N. and Overton, I.C. (eds.) 'Ecosystem Response Modelling in the Murray-Darling Basin'. CSIRO Publishing, Canberra.

Refereed Journal Papers

- Holland, K.L., Jolly, I.D., **Overton, I.C.** and Walker, G.R. (2009). 'Analytical Model of Salinity Risk from Groundwater Discharge in Semi-Arid, Lowland Floodplains'. *Hydrological Processes* 23: 3428-3439.
- Overton, I.C., Jolly, I.D., Slavich, P., Lewis, M.M. and Walker, G.R. (2006). 'Modelling Vegetation Health from the Interaction of Saline Groundwater and Flooding on the Chowilla Floodplain, South Australia'. Australian Journal of Botany 54: 207-220.
- **Overton, I.C.** (2005). 'Modelling Floodplain Inundation on a Regulated River, South Australia'. *River Research and Applications* 21: 991-1001.

Technical Reports

- Overton, I.C. and Doody, T.M. (2008). 'Groundwater, Surface Water, Salinity and Vegetation Responses to a Proposed Regulator on Chowilla Creek'. Report for the South Australian Murray-Darling Basin Natural Resource Management Board by the CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship, Canberra.
- Overton, I.C., Slarke, S. and Middlemis, H. (2006). 'Chowilla Management Options'. Report for the South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity by URS Pty Ltd, the CSIRO Division of Land and Water and Aquaterra Pty Ltd, Adelaide.

- Overton, I.C., McEwan, K., Gabrovsek, C. and Sherrah, J. (2006). 'The River Murray Floodplain Inundation Model Hume Dam to Wellington (RiM-FIM'. CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship, Technical Report, Canberra.
- Overton, I.C., Rutherford, J.C. and Jolly, I.D. (2005). 'Flood Extent, Groundwater Recharge and Vegetation Response from the Operation of a Potential Weir in Chowilla Creek, South Australia'. Report for the South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity by the CSIRO Division of Land and Water, Canberra.
- Overton, I.C. and Jolly, I.D. (2004). 'Integrated Studies of Floodplain Vegetation Health, Saline Groundwater and Flooding on the Chowilla Floodplain South Australia'. CSIRO Division of Land and Water, Technical Report No. 20/04, May 2004, Canberra.
- Overton, I.C., Jolly, I.D., Holland, K. and Walker, G.R. (2003). 'The Floodplain Impacts Model (FIP): A Tool for Assisting the Assessment of the Impacts of Groundwater Inflows to the Floodplains of the lower River Murray'. Report for the River Murray Catchment Water Management Board and the National Action Plan for Salinity and Water Quality by the CSIRO Division of Land and Water, Canberra.

Conference Papers

- Overton, I.C. and Doody, T.M. (2008). 'Ecosystem Changes on the River Murray Floodplain over the Last 100 Years and Predictions of Climate Change'. Proceedings of the International Conference on HydroChange, October 2008, Kyoto.
- Overton, I.C., Penton, D., Gallant, J. and Austin, J. (2007). 'Determining Environmental Flows for Vegetation Water Requirements on the River Murray Floodplain'. Proceedings of the International Conference on Environmental Flows, September 2007, Brisbane.
- Overton, I.C., Jolly, I.D. and Lewis, M.M. (2006). 'A Spatial Model of Riparian Vegetation Health Based on Surface and Groundwater Interaction'. Proceedings of the International Multidisciplinary Conference on Hydrology and Ecology: The Groundwater/Ecology Connection, September 2006, karlovy Vary.

- Overton, I.C., Jolly, I.D., Middlemis, H. and Lewis, M.M. (2006). 'Managing a Regulated River Floodplain with Altered Hydrology and Surface-Groundwater Interactions, River Murray, Australia'. Proceedings of the International Conference on Riverine Hydroecology: Advances in Research and Applications TISORSII, August 2006, Stirling.
- Overton, I.C., Jolly, I.D., Rutherford, K., and Lewis, M.M. (2005). 'Integrated Spatial Tools for Managing the Chowilla Floodplain Ecosystem'. Proceedings of the Spatial Sciences Institute Biennial Conference, September 2005, Melbourne.
- **Overton**, I.C. and Jolly, I.D. (2004). 'Groundwater Lowering and Environmental Flow Scenarios for Chowilla'. Proceedings of the 9th Murray-Darling Basin Groundwater Conference, February 2004, Bendigo.

ACRONYMS

AHD Australian Height Datum, standard measurement for

heights in metres above sea level.

BigMOD MDBA River Murray Flow Model used to predict River

Murray flows for natural (pre-development), current and

future conditions

CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research

Organisation, which supported much of this research

FIP Floodplain Impacts Model, a groundwater model to

predict impacts on floodplain vegetation, groundwater seepage and salt loads, further developed further by this

research

GIS Geographic Information Systems

Light Detection and Ranging system for collecting

elevation data

MDB Murray-Darling Basin

MDBA Australian Government Murray-Darling Basin Authority

MDBC Australian Government Murray-Darling Basin Commission

MODFLOW USGS Modular Three-Dimensional Groundwater Flow

Model

RiM-FIM River Murray Floodplain Inundation Model, a predictive

model of flood extent developed by this research

WAVES Water Vegetation and Salt Model, developed by the

CSIRO as a model of vegetation growth incorporating

surface and groundwater influences

WINDS Weighted Index of Salinisation Model, developed by the

CSIRO as a model of soil water availability to infer

vegetation health, developed further by this research