



Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences  
SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

## Modelling and design of magnetic levitation systems for vibration isolation

William Samuel Parker Robertson

*A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy*

*November 2013*

*Supervisors: Assoc. Prof. Ben Cazzolato  
Assoc. Prof. Anthony Zander*

*Copyright 2003–2013 Will Robertson*

*Submission date: August 9, 2013  
Amendment date: November 26, 2013*

*School of Mechanical Engineering  
The University of Adelaide, 5005  
SA, Australia*

#### DECLARATION

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree. ¶ I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. ¶ I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

---

SIGNED

---

DATED

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS & DEDICATION

*Thanks to my supervisors Ben and Anthony, who gave me the freedom to be myself and who inspired me beyond my work. My appreciation couldn't be greater for the long leash they allowed me. With stricter guidance I may have finished sooner, but I believe neither I nor this thesis would have been the better for it.*

*This thesis is dedicated to those many with whom I've shared this happy life, especially to those in this time who have come into this strange world and to those who have departed from it.*

## ABSTRACT

Vibration disturbance has a consistent negative impact on equipment and processes. The central theme of this thesis is the investigation of using permanent magnets in the design of a system for vibration isolation.

The thesis begins with a comprehensive literature review on the subjects of passive and active vibration isolation, permanent magnetic systems, and the common area between these on nonlinear vibration systems using magnetic forces. The use of cylindrical and cuboid magnets is the primary focus of this work for which analytical solutions are known for calculating forces and torques. Subsequently, the state of the art in analytical modelling of permanent magnet systems is covered, including a contribution in this area for calculating the forces between cylindrical magnets.

A range of load bearing designs using simple permanent magnet arrangements are examined, with multiple designs suitable for a variety of objectives. A particular emphasis is placed on a system using inclined magnets, which can exhibit a load-independent resonance frequency. Load bearing using multipole magnet arrays is also discussed, in which a large number of magnets are used to generate more complex magnetic fields. A variety of multipole arrays are compared against each other, including linear and planar magnetisation patterns, and an optimisation is performed on a linear array with some resulting guidelines for designing such systems for load bearing.

Permanent magnet levitation requires either passive or active stabilisation; therefore, the design of electromagnetic actuators for active control is covered with a new efficient method for calculating the forces between a cylindrical magnet and a solenoid. The optimisation of a solenoid actuator is performed and geometric parameters are found which are near-optimal for a range of operating conditions.

Two quasi-zero stiffness systems are introduced and analysed next. These systems are designed with a nonlinearity such that low stiffnesses are achieved while bearing large loads. The first system analysed is a purely mechanical device using linear springs; unlike most analyses of this design, the horizontal forces are also considered and it is shown that quasi-zero stiffness is capable in all translational directions simultaneously. However, a notable disadvantage of such spring systems is their difficulty in online tuning to adapt to changing operating conditions. A magnetic quasi-zero stiffness system is then analysed in detail and design criteria are introduced, providing a design framework for such systems and showing how the complex interaction of variables affects the resulting dynamic behaviour. Although the system is nonlinear, the effects of the nonlinearities on the vibration response are shown to be generally negligible.

The thesis concludes with some experimental results of the same quasi-zero stiffness system, constructed as a single degree of freedom prototype. The quasi-static and dynamic behaviour of the system matches the theory well, and active vibration control is performed to improve the vibration isolation characteristics of the device.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
NOMENCLATURE	xiv
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The central themes of this thesis	1
1.1.1 <i>The problem of vibrations</i>	1
1.1.2 <i>Permanent magnets used for mechanical design</i>	2
1.1.3 <i>Quasi-zero stiffness systems</i>	3
1.1.4 <i>Project context</i>	4
1.2 Vibrations	5
1.2.1 <i>Forms of vibration control</i>	5
1.2.2 <i>Shock isolation</i>	6
1.2.3 <i>Fundamentals of active vibration isolation</i>	7
1.2.4 <i>Semi-active skyhook damping</i>	13
1.2.5 <i>Active vibration control in practice</i>	14
1.2.6 <i>Vibration neutralisers and narrow-band vibration control</i>	16
1.2.7 <i>Summary of the vibrations literature</i>	22
1.3 Magnetics	22
1.3.1 <i>The world of magnetic applications</i>	22
1.3.2 <i>Magnets assisting motion</i>	24
1.3.3 <i>Magnets opposing motion</i>	27
1.3.4 <i>Magnetic damping</i>	32
1.3.5 <i>Summary of magnetics</i>	34
1.4 Magnets and vibrations	35
1.4.1 <i>Vibration isolation platforms</i>	35
1.4.2 <i>Nonlinear vibration and/or magnetic systems</i>	35
1.4.3 <i>Quasi-zero stiffness systems</i>	37
1.4.4 <i>Measuring nonlinear vibrations: the variance gain</i>	39
1.4.5 <i>Summary of vibrations and magnetics literature</i>	43
1.5 Structure of this thesis	44
1.6 Publications arising from this thesis	45
<b>2 MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORCES</b>	<b>47</b>
2.1 Introduction	47
2.2 Whence magnetic fields	47
2.2.1 <i>Magnetic parameters</i>	48

2.2.2	<i>Relationship between magnetic parameters</i>	49
2.2.3	<i>Properties of magnetic flux</i>	52
2.3	<b>Magnet properties and selection</b>	54
2.3.1	<i>Homogeneity of magnetisation</i>	55
2.4	<b>General techniques for calculating forces between magnets</b>	57
2.5	<b>Equations for calculating the magnetic flux density</b>	59
2.6	<b>Forces between cuboid magnets</b>	60
2.6.1	<i>(Anti-)parallel alignment</i>	60
2.6.2	<i>Forces between orthogonal cuboid magnets</i>	63
2.6.3	<i>Simplified force and stiffness expression for cube magnets</i>	64
2.6.4	<i>Cuboid magnets with arbitrary magnetisations</i>	65
2.6.5	<i>Forces between magnets with relative rotation</i>	67
2.6.6	<i>Torques between cuboid magnets</i>	72
2.7	<b>Forces between cylindrical magnets</b>	75
2.7.1	<i>Numerical evaluation of the axial force</i>	77
2.7.2	<i>Implementation efficiency</i>	77
2.8	<b>Summary of the magnetic theory</b>	78
3	<b>MAGNETIC SPRINGS</b>	79
3.1	<b>Overview</b>	79
3.2	<b>Single-axis magnetic spring</b>	79
3.2.1	<i>Square-face cuboid magnets</i>	80
3.2.2	<i>Cube magnets in two orientations</i>	82
3.2.3	<i>Cylindrical magnets</i>	82
3.2.4	<i>Comparing cuboid and cylindrical magnets</i>	83
3.2.5	<i>Force coupling between degrees of freedom</i>	84
3.3	<b>Simple magnetic springs using cuboid magnets</b>	87
3.3.1	<i>Unstable vertical spring</i>	88
3.3.2	<i>Stable vertical spring</i>	88
3.3.3	<i>Quasi-zero stiffness spring</i>	89
3.3.4	<i>Horizontal spring</i>	90
3.3.5	<i>Combination spring</i>	90
3.3.6	<i>Combination quasi-zero stiffness spring</i>	92
3.3.7	<i>Isoforces and isostiffnesses for magnetic springs</i>	92
3.3.8	<i>Stability in two degrees of freedom</i>	99
3.3.9	<i>Rotational degrees of freedom</i>	100
3.4	<b>Inclined magnetic spring design</b>	107
3.4.1	<i>Inclined spring geometry and theory</i>	108
3.4.2	<i>Influence of design parameters</i>	110
3.4.3	<i>Investigation into planar stability</i>	116



---

3.4.4	<i>Conclusion on inclined magnetic springs</i>	122
3.5	Prototype inclined magnet system	123
3.5.1	<i>Limitations of the prototype</i>	127
3.6	Summary of magnetic spring design	128
4	MULTIPOLE ARRAYS FOR IMPROVED LEVITATION	129
4.1	Background of multipole arrays	129
4.2	Geometry of multipole magnet arrays	132
4.3	Towards optimising the forces between linear multipole arrays	136
4.3.1	<i>Varying magnetisation discretisation and wavelength</i>	136
4.3.2	<i>Constant number of magnets</i>	138
4.3.3	<i>Non-equal magnet sizes</i>	138
4.3.4	<i>Separation between successive magnets</i>	141
4.4	Planar multipole arrays	143
4.4.1	<i>Equations describing planar magnetisation patterns</i>	144
4.4.2	<i>Example comparison between planar arrays</i>	147
4.4.3	<i>Forces due to horizontal displacement</i>	149
4.5	Conclusions on multipole arrays	152
5	ELECTROMAGNETIC ACTUATORS	153
5.1	Introduction	153
5.2	Cylindrical magnet and thick coil systems	154
5.2.1	<i>Thick-coil/magnet axial force methods</i>	155
5.2.2	<i>Axial force with eccentric displacement</i>	162
5.3	Optimisation of a sleeve coil magnetic actuator	165
5.3.1	<i>Relationship between coil impedance and outer diameter</i>	166
5.3.2	<i>Notation</i>	167
5.3.3	<i>Optimisation of magnet and coil geometry</i>	168
5.3.4	<i>Optimisation of wire diameter</i>	169
5.3.5	<i>Consideration of maximum current rating</i>	170
5.3.6	<i>Trends in the optimisation results</i>	172
5.3.7	<i>Effects of magnet volume and coil resistance</i>	173
5.3.8	<i>Summary</i>	174
5.4	Dual-coil electromagnet design	175
5.5	Summary of electromagnetic coil design	177
6	QUASI-ZERO STIFFNESS DESIGN	179
6.1	Introduction to quasi-zero stiffness springs	179
6.2	Inclined springs	180
6.2.1	<i>Geometry</i>	180
6.2.2	<i>Vertical forces</i>	182

6.2.3	<i>Vertical stiffnesses</i>	184
6.2.4	<i>Horizontal stiffness characteristic due to vertical displacement</i>	186
6.2.5	<i>Spring compression</i>	189
6.2.6	<i>The general applicability of the inclined springs system</i>	189
6.3	<b>Magnetic quasi-zero stiffness</b>	191
6.3.1	<i>Magnet forces</i>	193
6.3.2	<i>Design criteria</i>	198
6.3.3	<i>Measure of stiffness reduction</i>	205
6.3.4	<i>Nonlinear behaviour</i>	205
6.3.5	<i>Summary of magnetic quasi-zero stiffness design</i>	211
6.4	<b>Conclusion on quasi-zero stiffness systems</b>	212
7	<b>PROTOTYPE LOW-STIFFNESS MAGNETIC SPRING</b>	213
7.1	<b>Overview</b>	213
7.2	<b>Design of the experimental apparatus</b>	213
7.2.1	<i>Magnets</i>	216
7.2.2	<i>Translational effects of the rotating beam</i>	216
7.2.3	<i>Actuators</i>	218
7.2.4	<i>Sensors</i>	219
7.3	<b>Experimental results</b>	222
7.3.1	<i>Static displacement measurements</i>	222
7.3.2	<i>Predicted resonance frequencies</i>	224
7.3.3	<i>Open loop dynamic measurements</i>	224
7.3.4	<i>Analysis of the open loop data</i>	225
7.3.5	<i>Observed nonlinear behaviour</i>	228
7.3.6	<i>Closed loop velocity feedback dynamic measurements</i>	229
7.3.7	<i>Analysis of the gain-induced resonance</i>	231
7.4	<b>Conclusion on the experimental results</b>	233
8	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	235
8.1	<b>Thesis summary</b>	235
8.2	<b>Future work</b>	237
8.2.1	<i>Magnetic forces</i>	237
8.2.2	<i>Electromagnetic forces</i>	238
8.2.3	<i>Vibration control</i>	239
8.2.4	<i>Quasi-zero stiffness</i>	239
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	241
	<b>A REPRODUCIBLE RESEARCH</b>	273

## LIST OF TABLES

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Simulation parameters for the vibration neutraliser results.	18
1.2	Applications of permanent magnet materials.	23
1.3	Parameters used to simulate the dynamics of Eq. 1.16 (Fig. 1.14).	43
2	MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORCES	47
2.1	Typical values for various permanent magnets.	55
2.2	Summary of the quasi-static magnetic theory presented in the literature.	78
3	MAGNETIC SPRINGS	79
3.1	Parameters used for dynamic simulations of the inclined magnetic spring.	120
3.2	Modelled and measured vibration results for the prototype isolator.	124
4	MULTIPOLE ARRAYS FOR IMPROVED LEVITATION	129
4.1	Calculated normalised magnetisation vectors using Eq. 4.7 for the linear Halbach array shown in Figure 4.14(c).	146
5	ELECTROMAGNETIC ACTUATORS	153
5.1	Magnet-coil parameters for simulation of thin-coil forces.	161
5.2	Numerical output with increasing integration precision.	163
5.3	Explicit and implicit parameters for the dual-coil example.	177
6	QUASI-ZERO STIFFNESS DESIGN	179
6.1	Properties of the springs in the inclined spring system.	181
6.2	Best fit parameters for Eq. 6.37.	196
6.3	Summary of design criteria for quasi-zero stiffness magnetic design.	202
6.4	Nonlinearity values and maximum displacements of the responses.	210
6.5	Nonlinearity values and maximum displacements of the high amplitude responses shown in Figure 6.24.	210
7	PROTOTYPE LOW-STIFFNESS MAGNETIC SPRING	213
7.1	Physical properties of the experimental apparatus.	215
7.2	Material properties for the magnets in the experimental apparatus.	216
7.3	Dual-coil electromagnet parameters.	219
7.4	Relevant properties of the Wenglor 05 MGV 80 laser distance sensor.	220
7.5	Relevant properties of the accelerometers used in the experimentation.	221
7.6	Parameters used in the signal and spectrum analysis for the experimental measurements.	225

## LIST OF FIGURES

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Two main types of vibration control problem.	5
1.2	Displacement feedback control.	11
1.3	Acceleration feedback control.	11
1.4	Velocity feedback control.	11
1.5	Root-sum-square transmissibility versus feedback gain of relative and absolute ('skyhook') velocity feedback control.	12
1.6	An inertial force $f_a$ designed to reduce the vibration response $x_2$ due to disturbance $x_1$ .	16
1.7	Transmissibility of a structure with a vibration neutraliser.	18
1.8	Single-frequency transmissibility reduction and broadband root-sum-square transmissibility versus vibration neutraliser stiffness.	19
1.9	Radial bearing cross section.	26
1.10	Multipole bearing cross section.	27
1.11	Two equivalent radial magnetic bearings.	27
1.12	A ball in unstable equilibrium on a saddle-shaped curve.	29
1.13	Orthogonal configurations of eddy current dampers for a vibrating (non-magnetic) mass. The shaded section indicates conductive material.	34
1.14	Frequency response simulations of a nonlinear dynamic system with cubic stiffness with random noise input.	42
1.15	Equivalent simulations to Figure 1.14 using linearised Eq. 1.18.	42
2	MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORCES	47
2.1	The magnetic field and its components, both inside and outside a magnet.	49
2.2	Characteristic $BH$ curves for a strong magnet and a weak magnet.	51
2.3	Lines of magnetic flux of a single magnet.	53
2.4	Magnet price versus magnet volume for rare earth magnets.	54
2.5	Magnetic flux density measurements of a cylindrical permanent magnet.	56
2.6	Geometry for calculating the force between parallel cuboid magnets.	60
2.7	Geometry for calculating the force between rotated magnets.	68
2.8	Vertical and horizontal forces on a rotating magnet due to a fixed one versus rotation angle for fixed horizontal and vertical displacements.	69
2.9	Vertical and horizontal forces on a rotating magnet situated 20 mm above another and displaced horizontally for a range of magnet angles.	70
2.10	Photo of the experimental apparatus to measure magnet forces.	71
2.11	Geometry of the rotational assembly to determine magnet centre offsets.	71
2.12	Measured forces versus angle of rotation for a rotating magnet assembly.	72
2.13	Two examples of interacting magnetic nodes.	74

2.14	The equivalence between a permanent magnet and a current-carrying coil.	75
2.15	Two-dimensional side view of a system composed of two coaxial cylindrical magnets with a generated force on the second magnet.	76
3	MAGNETIC SPRINGS	79
3.1	Schematic of a repulsive magnetic spring.	80
3.2	Normalised force for square-faced cuboid magnets as a function of displacement.	81
3.3	Force/displacement curves between two cube magnets in two orientations.	82
3.4	Force between two magnets as a function of magnet ratio for a set of fixed displacements.	83
3.5	For magnets with equal volume and face area to length ratios, cylindrical magnets produce greater force.	84
3.6	Oscillations over time of the displacement of a suspended magnet that is excited through horizontal vibrations of the base magnet.	86
3.7	Magnetic coupling between horizontal offset and vertical forces.	86
3.8	Three magnetic springs for load bearing in the vertical direction.	88
3.9	Quasi-zero stiffness vertical spring forces versus vertical displacement from the centred position.	89
3.10	A horizontal spring with attracting magnets to create positive vertical stiffness.	91
3.11	Spring forces of the stable vertical and horizontal springs.	91
3.12	Combination vertical/horizontal magnetic spring.	91
3.13	The combination quasi-zero stiffness magnetic spring with horizontal magnets for stabilisation.	92
3.14	Individual and total vertical forces on the combination quasi-zero stiffness spring.	93
3.15	Isoforces of the unstable vertical spring.	94
3.16	Isoforces of the stable vertical spring.	94
3.17	Isoforces of the quasi-zero stiffness spring.	94
3.18	Isoforces of the horizontal spring.	95
3.19	Isoforces of the combination spring.	95
3.20	Isoforces of the combination quasi-zero stiffness spring.	95
3.21	Isostiffnesses of the unstable vertical spring.	96
3.22	Isostiffnesses of the stable vertical spring.	96
3.23	Isostiffnesses of the quasi-zero stiffness spring.	96
3.24	Isostiffnesses of the horizontal spring.	97
3.25	Isostiffnesses of the combination spring.	97
3.26	Isostiffnesses of the combination quasi-zero stiffness spring.	97
3.27	The singularly-unstable magnetic spring proposed by Choi et al. [75].	99

3.28	Stiffnesses as a function of vertical displacement across a range of fixed magnet gaps.	101
3.29	Force versus vertical displacement across a range of magnet gaps.	101
3.30	An example of stabilising rotationally-unstable springs.	102
3.31	Top-view schematic of a single unstable degree of freedom concept.	103
3.32	Geometry used for analysing the magnetic spring with stable rotation.	103
3.33	Demonstration of single degree of freedom instability.	104
3.34	Moment of the magnetic spring as it rotates around the $\hat{y}$ and $\hat{z}$ axes with a varying lever arm for the stabilising magnets.	105
3.35	Varying the size of the stabilising magnets for the conceptual single degree of freedom magnet spring.	106
3.36	Moment of the magnet spring as it rotates around the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{z}$ axes with a varying spring depth.	106
3.37	Schematic of the inclined magnet spring.	109
3.38	Force versus displacement of the inclined magnet spring for a range of magnet angles.	111
3.39	Natural frequency versus load force for a range of magnet angles.	112
3.40	Natural frequency versus load force for a range of magnet offset ratios.	113
3.41	Stiffness in three directions versus vertical displacement for a fixed magnet offset ratio.	114
3.42	Natural frequency versus load force for a fixed magnet angle.	115
3.43	Natural frequency versus load force for a fixed magnet offset ratio and angle.	115
3.44	Geometry of the planar system in which forces and torques due to rotation are calculated.	117
3.45	Representation of the small angle approximation for magnet rotations.	117
3.46	Torque versus rotation for three spring configurations.	119
3.47	Visual representation of the forces and torques at a certain rotation.	120
3.48	Dynamic simulation of the rotationally-constrained system.	121
3.49	Dynamic simulation of the horizontally-constrained system.	122
3.50	Dynamic simulation of the unconstrained system.	123
3.51	Prototype inclined magnet isolation device.	124
3.52	Measured values of resonance frequency and damping ratio of the inclined magnet isolation prototype as a function of load force.	125
3.53	Measured and modelled transmissibility of the inclined magnet isolation prototype.	126
3.54	Measured transmissibility of the inclined magnet isolation prototype with and without active vibration control.	127
4	MULTIPOLE ARRAYS FOR IMPROVED LEVITATION	129

---

4.1	Magnetic flux lines of a Halbach array.	130
4.2	Opposing Halbach arrays demonstrating magnetic field strength on the strong and weak sides.	131
4.3	Magnetic field lines of linear multipole arrays.	132
4.4	Geometry of a linear Halbach array with four magnets per wavelength of magnetisation.	133
4.5	Three Halbach arrays of equal length.	134
4.6	Vertical force versus displacement between two facing linear Halbach arrays with a varying number of magnets per wavelength and wavelengths of magnetisation.	137
4.7	Two multipole arrays of equal length and number of magnets demonstrating extremes in wavelength of magnetisation and number of magnets per wavelength.	139
4.8	Force characteristic with arrays each composed of fifty magnets.	139
4.9	Schematic of a four-magnet Halbach array with variable magnet sizes.	139
4.10	Influence of the magnet length ratio on the force between two modified Halbach arrays.	140
4.11	Opposing multipole arrays with magnet separation.	141
4.12	Multipole force characteristic with varying gaps between magnets.	142
4.13	Opposing multipole arrays with too large a separation.	142
4.14	Three planar multipole array designs.	144
4.15	A planar Halbach array, facing up, with magnetisation directions as the superposition of two orthogonal linear Halbach arrays.	145
4.16	Vertical forces versus vertical displacement between the array centres to compare the load-bearing ability of a range of magnet arrays.	148
4.17	Linear multipole system with five magnets depicting horizontal displacement and vertical displacement between the array centres.	149
4.18	Force versus horizontal displacement results between linear and planar multipole arrays of total size 50 mm × 50 mm × 10 mm.	150
4.19	Double planar Halbach design in which complementary horizontal offsets are imposed to decrease the cross-coupling stiffness.	150
4.20	Force versus horizontal displacement results between a pair of double-Halbach multipole arrays.	151
5	ELECTROMAGNETIC ACTUATORS	153
5.1	3D sketch of the system composed of a permanent magnet and thick coil.	154
5.2	Schematic of a 'sleeve coil' magnetic actuator.	155
5.3	The filament and shell models.	156
5.4	Results of various methods for calculating the force versus axial displacement between a coaxial coil and magnet.	160

5.5	Illustrative computation times for evaluating the results shown in Table 5.2.	162
5.6	Region of integration for a radially displaced magnet.	163
5.7	Two examples of eccentric thick coil magnet forces calculations.	165
5.8	Normalised force versus displacement calculations for two cases.	168
5.9	Peak normalised force as magnet and coil ratios are varied.	169
5.10	Maximum peak and normalised force per ampere of current, optimised by magnet and coil geometry as a function of wire diameter.	171
5.11	Typical values for maximum current rating for copper wire of varying diameter.	172
5.12	Optimal values of magnet ratio and coil ratio.	173
5.13	Maximum peak force as a function of coil resistance over a range of magnet volumes.	174
5.14	Electromagnet system with dual push/pull coils.	175
6	QUASI-ZERO STIFFNESS DESIGN	179
6.1	Inclined springs with negative stiffness in the vertical direction in parallel with a positive stiffness vertical spring.	181
6.2	Vertical forces due to the inclined springs.	183
6.3	Vertical and horizontal stiffness characteristics for a range of geometric ratios.	184
6.4	Force and stiffness of the inclined spring system near quasi-zero stiffness, showing the effect of unstable equilibrium.	185
6.5	Horizontal stiffness characteristic at vertical quasi-zero stiffness.	188
6.6	Vertical and horizontal stiffness characteristics at quasi-zero stiffness in both directions.	190
6.7	Normalised horizontal stiffness with parameters to achieve a small range of displacement with positive stiffness.	190
6.8	Compression relationship of the springs for a range of spring stiffness ratios, at quasi-zero stiffness both horizontally and vertically.	191
6.9	Schematic of a magnetic spring with quasi-zero stiffness.	192
6.10	Modelling errors of Eq. 6.37 compared to the exact.	196
6.11	Normalised force versus displacement curves of a quasi-zero stiffness magnetic system.	197
6.12	Normalised force versus normalised displacement curve illustrating the bounds of allowable load and the displacement range.	199
6.13	Map of the normalised equilibrium position over varying magnet size and normalised magnet gap.	199
6.14	Regions of $a$ and $d$ satisfying the static deflection criterion.	200
6.15	Regions of $a$ and $d$ satisfying the natural frequency criterion.	201
6.16	Regions of $a$ and $d$ satisfying the maximum displacement criterion.	202



---

6.17	Regions of $a$ and $d$ satisfying the stability criterion.	203
6.18	Regions of $a$ and $d$ satisfying all design criteria.	204
6.19	Contours of stiffness reduction due to the negative stiffness of the attractive magnet.	206
6.20	Contours of nonlinearity ratio.	207
6.21	Zoom of Figure 6.20 to show the behaviour of the nonlinearity ratio in the lower limits of a magnetic design.	207
6.22	Phase plot of the magnetic system at steady state resonance.	208
6.23	Variance gain at a position close to quasi-zero stiffness.	209
6.24	Variance gain of the magnetic system comparing two excitation amplitudes.	211
7	PROTOTYPE LOW-STIFFNESS MAGNETIC SPRING	213
7.1	Schematic of the experimental apparatus.	214
7.2	Photo of the experimental apparatus.	214
7.3	Geometry for calculating the minimum horizontal tolerance of the inner dimensions of the coil due to vertical displacement of the magnet arrangement.	217
7.4	Schematic of the dual-coil electromagnet built for the apparatus.	219
7.5	Normalised force versus displacement curve for the electromagnet.	219
7.6	Rest position of the system as the magnet position varies.	223
7.7	Expected natural frequency as the magnet position varies.	224
7.8	Open loop measurements of transmissibility with the electromagnetic coil connected in an open and closed circuit.	226
7.9	Curve fit model of measurements with actuator disconnected.	227
7.10	Curve fit model of measurements with actuator connected	227
7.11	Analysed results from fitting the open loop measurements to the isolator model of Eq. 7.13.	228
7.12	Open loop transmissibility measurements without the coil connected.	229
7.13	Closed loop frequency response measurements for a range of velocity feedback gains.	230
7.14	Transmissibility reduction for increased velocity feedback gain.	231
7.15	Vibration isolation schematic with active feedback.	233
7.16	Block diagram of Eq. 7.17 representing the system shown in Figure 7.15.	233
7.17	Closed loop simulation with increasing gain before instability.	234

## NOMENCLATURE

Most mathematical symbols used in this document are listed herein with page number cross-referencing. Page numbers listed will not include where these symbols are used in graphs or some figures. There are some cases where the same symbol has been used for multiple purposes; these should be unambiguous based on the context of the work.

In mathematical contexts, care has been taken to be consistent in the use of parentheses for function arguments (such as  $f(x) = x^2$ ) and square brackets for both grouping ( $A \times [B \times C]$ ) and vector/matrix notation ( $\mathbf{F} = [F_x, F_y, F_z]^T$ ).

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>A</b>	Vector area (direction normal to plane)	48, 52
$A$	Area	58, 59
$A_e$	Amplitude of sinusoidal base excitation	40, 208–211
$a$	Half-length of cuboid magnet, $\hat{x}$ direction	60, 62, 65, 67, 68
$a$	Cuboid magnet depth	80, 84, 109, 110
$a$	Cube magnet side length	xii, xiii, 64, 65, 192–194, 196–209
$a_w$	Cross-sectional area of the wire in the coil	166
<b>B</b>	Magnetic flux density vector	28, 33, 48–52, 57, 59, 158
$B_r$	Remanence magnetisation	50–52, 55, 58, 61–68, 73, 75, 76, 120, 144, 146, 155, 157–159, 161, 164, 167, 195–197, 215
$b$	Cuboid magnet face side length	80, 84, 108–110, 133–136, 138, 139, 141
$b$	Half-length of cuboid magnet, $\hat{y}$ direction	60, 62, 65, 67–69
$[BH]_{\max}$	Maximum energy product	51, 55
$C_i$	Compression of the inclined springs at quasi-zero stiffness	189
$C_v$	Compression of the vertical spring at quasi-zero stiffness	189
$c$	Relative velocity damping coefficient	xxiii, 5, 7, 8, 16, 119, 120
$c$	Damping coefficient	5, 7–10, 18, 41, 43, 85, 206, 208, 226, 231–233
$c$	Half-length of cuboid magnet, $\hat{z}$ direction	60, 62, 65, 67, 68
$c$	Cube magnet side length	91, 103, 106

Symbol	Description	Page
$c_a$	Damping coefficient of the vibration neutraliser	16, 18
$\mathbf{d}$	Distance vector between the centres of two magnets, $\mathbf{d} = [d_x, d_y, d_z]^T$	xv, 60, 62, 63, 65–67, 73
$\mathbf{d}_1$	Position vector for differential magnet volume in cylindrical coordinates $\mathbf{d}_1 = [r_1, \phi_1, z_1]^T$	xv, 158
$\mathbf{d}_2$	Position vector for differential coil volume in cylindrical coordinates, $\mathbf{d}_2 = [r_2, \phi_2, z_2]^T$	xv, 158
$d$	Inclined magnets horizontal offset at the nominal position	108–112, 114, 117–120
$d$	Normalised magnet gap	xii, xiii, xix, 192–195, 197–211
$d$	Magnetic spring depth	103, 105, 106, 133
$d_w$	Diameter of wire	166–171, 173
$e_r$	Radial distance between two cylindrical objects	164, 165
$\mathbf{F}$	Force vector	57, 58, 68, 99, 109, 110, 116, 118, 119, 158
$\mathbf{F}_{z,x}$	Force between two cuboid magnets; the first magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, the second in $\hat{x}$	66
$\mathbf{F}_{z,y}$	Force between two cuboid magnets; the first magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, the second in $\hat{y}$	xxiii, 63, 66, 78
$\mathbf{F}_{z,z}$	Force between two cuboid magnets both magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction	xxiv, 61, 65, 66, 78, 80, 81, 109, 118
$\mathbf{F}_{\text{eddy}}$	Eddy current force vector	33
$F$	Magnitude of force	41, 68, 78, 80, 81, 85, 93, 102, 107, 110–113, 119, 120, 169–171, 173, 224
$F(s)$	Force input response, Laplace domain	231, 232
$F_c$	Axial force between a thick coil and a magnet	156–159, 164, 167–169, 175, 176
$F_f$	Axial force between two circular current loops	156, 164
$F_g$	Gravity force	102
$F_r$	Radial force between two cylindrical thin coils/magnets	78
$F_T$	Quasi-zero stiffness vertical magnet force function	194, 206
$F_z$	Axial force between two cylindrical thin coils/magnets	76, 78, 157
$F_{\text{attr}}$	Magnet force (attraction magnet)	194
$F_{\text{repl}}$	Magnet force (repulsion magnet)	194

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
$F_i$	Force characteristic of the inclined spring, with vertical component $F_{i_v}$ and horizontal component $F_{i_h}$	xvi, 182, 186
$F_v$	Force characteristic of the vertical spring, with vertical component $F_{v_v}$ and horizontal component $F_{v_h}$	xvi, 182, 186
$F_t$	Force characteristic of the overall inclined spring quasi-zero stiffness mechanism, with vertical component $F_{t_v}$ and horizontal component $F_{t_h}$	xvi, 182–186
$F_s$	Magnet force for coaxial cube magnets	64, 81, 193, 194, 196, 197
$f$	Force input	5–7, 231, 233
$f_a$	Active force input for an inertial mass or vibration neutraliser	viii, 16, 17
$f_d$	Force disturbance	5
$f_q$	Normalised force at equilibrium	198, 199
$f_T$	Normalised Quasi-zero stiffness vertical magnet force	194, 197–200, 206
$f_s$	Normalised magnet force for coaxial cube magnets	64, 193–195
<b>G</b>	Force vector between inclined magnets in the local coordinate systems of the fixed magnet	109
$G$	Axial gap between the dual coils	175, 176, 219
$G$	Gap between magnets in various magnetic spring designs	91, 99, 100, 103, 133, 141, 142
$G(s)$	Transfer function	231–233
$g$	Acceleration due to gravity	85, 107, 111, 119, 120, 179, 198, 200, 206, 224
$g$	Normalised magnet gap	222, 226
$g_a$	Feedback gain on relative acceleration	7–9, 11
$g_c$	Feedback gain on relative velocity	7, 8, 10, 11
$g_d$	Feedback gain on absolute acceleration	7–9, 11
$g_k$	Feedback gain on relative displacement	7–9, 11, 85
$g_m$	Feedback gain on absolute displacement	7–9, 11
$g_v$	Feedback gain on absolute velocity	7, 8, 10, 11, 232, 233
<b>H</b>	Magnetic field strength vector	48–51
$H$	Height of the apparatus	214, 215, 222–224
$H$	Length of vertical spring under load	181, 183, 186, 189
$H_0$	Undelected vertical spring length	180, 181, 183, 189
$H_c$	Coercivity	51, 52
$h$	Inclined spring vertical dimension	180–183, 186

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
$h$	Multipole array height	133, 136–138, 140, 141
$h$	Normalised nominal magnet displacement	192, 194, 195, 197–199, 201, 206, 210
$h_b$	Beam height	215, 223
$h_c$	Height of the coil	219
$h_m$	Height of the magnets support	214, 215, 222–224
$h_q$	Normalised displacement at equilibrium	198–201, 205, 206, 208
$h_s$	Height of the laser sensor	214, 215, 223
$h_e$	Height ‘buffer’ to account for additional thicknesses	222, 224
$I$	Current	48, 75, 155–159, 161, 164, 167, 170, 171, 175
$I_m$	Inclined magnet system moment of inertia	119, 120
$i$	The imaginary number $\sqrt{-1}$	7, 8, 10, 226
$i$	Magnet index in the $\hat{x}$ direction.	144–147
$\mathbf{J}$	Current density vector	48, 158
$\mathbf{J}_m$	Equivalent ‘surface current’ vector due to magnetisation	48
$\mathbf{J}_{\text{eddy}}$	Eddy current density vector	33
$j$	Magnet index in the $\hat{y}$ direction.	144–147
$\mathbf{K}_{z,y}$	Stiffness between two cuboid magnets; the first magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, the second in $\hat{x}$	63
$\mathbf{K}_{z,z}$	Stiffness between two cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction	xxiii, 62
$K$	Magnitude of stiffness characteristic (derivative of force with respect to displacement)	87–90, 92
$K_c(s)$	Controller transfer function	232, 233
$K_h$	Stiffness characteristic in the horizontal direction	186, 187
$K_q$	Stiffness at equilibrium	200, 205, 208, 210
$K_T$	Quasi-zero stiffness vertical magnet stiffness function	194, 200, 206, 208, 210
$K_v$	Stiffness characteristic in the vertical direction	184
$K_{\text{attr}}$	Magnet stiffness (attraction magnet)	205
$K_{\text{repl}}$	Magnet stiffness (repulsion magnet)	205
$K_s$	Magnet stiffness characteristic for coaxial cube magnets	64, 193

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
$k$	Stiffness coefficient	xxiii, xxiv, 5, 7–10, 16, 18, 39, 41, 43, 107, 110, 111, 113, 120, 179, 224, 226, 231–233
$k_i$	Inclined spring stiffness	180–184, 187
$k_v$	Vertical spring stiffness	180–183, 186
$k_a$	Stiffness of the vibration neutraliser	16, 18
$k_T$	Normalised Quasi–zero stiffness vertical magnet stiffness	194, 197, 206
$k_{lin}$	Linearised stiffness at a certain point	43
$k_s$	Normalised magnet stiffness characteristic for coaxial cube magnets	64, 65, 193, 194
$L$	Length of inclined spring under load	181, 182, 186, 189
$L_0$	Undeformed inclined spring length	180–182, 186, 189
$L_b$	Beam length	215
$L_c$	Coil length	75, 154, 155, 157–159, 161, 164, 166, 167
$L_m$	Magnet length	82–84, 154, 155, 157–159, 161, 164, 167, 172, 215, 219, 222–224
$\mathbf{l}$	Displacement vector between magnet centre and centre of mass (lever arm)	118
$l$	Lever arm	103, 105, 117–120
$l$	Multipole array length	133–136, 138
$l$	Normalised nominal magnet displacement	64, 65, 193, 195
$l_m$	Horizontal offset of the magnets support	214, 215, 223
$l_s$	Horizontal offset of the laser sensor	214, 215, 223
$l_w$	Length of the wire in the coil	166, 167
$\mathbf{M}$	Magnetisation vector	28, 48–50, 57, 144, 158
$\hat{\mathbf{M}}$	Unit magnetisation vector	144–147
$M_{sat}$	Magnetisation at saturation	50, 51
$\mathbf{m}$	Magnetic dipole	48
$m$	Mass	xxiii, xxiv, 5, 7–10, 16, 18, 41, 43, 85, 107, 119, 120, 179, 198–202, 204–209, 226, 231–233
$m_a$	Vibration neutraliser mass	16, 18

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
$m_{eq}$	Equivalent mass	111, 224
$N$	Magnet grade, units MG Oe	55
$N$	Multipole array number of magnets	133–136, 144, 146
$N$	Number of turns in the coil	75, 155, 158, 159, 164, 175
$N_m$	Magnet equivalent ‘turns’ for filament current model	156, 157, 161
$N_r$	Number of turns in the radial direction	155–157, 160, 161, 166, 167, 175
$N_z$	Number of turns in the axial direction	155–157, 161, 166, 167
$\hat{n}$	Surface normal vector	57, 158
$n$	Exponential for empirical magnet force equation	195–197, 200
$P_{bb}(\omega)$	Power spectrum accelerometer measurements of the base disturbance	39, 40, 228
$P_{mb}(\omega)$	Cross spectrum accelerometer measurements between the moving magnet and base disturbance	39, 40
$P_{mm}(\omega)$	Power spectrum accelerometer measurements of the moving magnet	228
$\mathbf{p}$	Displacement vector due to rotation around centre of mass	118
$p$	Disturbance	41, 43, 85, 86
$p$	Dual-multipole array horizontal offset	150, 151
$p_b$	Beam pin origin height	214, 215, 223
$p_g$	Magnet gap	222, 223
$p_m$	Low magnet height	214, 215, 222, 224
$p_n$	High magnet height	214, 215, 222–224
$p_q$	Quasi-zero stiffness position	222, 223
$Q_0$	Coefficient for empirical magnet force equation	195–197, 200
$Q_1$	Coefficient for empirical magnet force equation	195–197, 200
$Q_2$	Coefficient for empirical magnet force equation	195
$Q_3$	Coefficient for empirical magnet force equation	195
$q_0(d)$	Polynomial coefficient for modelling magnet force	194, 195
$q_2(d)$	Polynomial coefficient for modelling magnet force	194, 195
$q_4(d)$	Polynomial coefficient for modelling magnet force	194, 195
$\mathbf{R}_x$	Planar rotation matrix around the $\hat{x}$ axis	66, 67
$\mathbf{R}_y$	Planar rotation matrix around the $\hat{y}$ axis	66
$\mathbf{R}_z$	Planar rotation matrix around the $\hat{z}$ axis	66, 109, 118
$\mathbf{R}$	Distance vector between a magnet’s centre and one of its corners/nodes (floating magnet)	62, 63, 73

Symbol	Description	Page
$R$	Multipole array number of magnets per wavelength	133–139
$R$	Coil resistance	166–171, 173, 218, 219
$R_c$	Thick coil outer radius	155, 157–159, 161, 164, 166, 167, 175, 219
$R_m$	Magnet outer radius	82–84, 154–159, 161, 164, 166, 167, 172, 215, 219
$\mathbf{r}$	Distance vector between a magnet's centre and one of its corners/nodes (fixed magnet)	62, 63
$r$	Euclidean distance of $\delta$ , $\sqrt{\delta_x^2 + \delta_y^2 + \delta_z^2}$	62–64
$r$	Radial component of distance vector in cylindrical coordinates	xv, 158, 163, 164
$r$	Radius	156, 164, 165
$r_c$	Thick coil inner radius	154, 155, 157–159, 161, 164, 166, 167, 175, 219
$r_g$	Clearance between magnet and inner coil radii	154, 167
$\mathbf{S}$	Vector of magnet side lengths (floating)	65–67
$S$	Integration surface	57, 158
$S_f$	Factor of safety	171, 173
$S_w$	White noise variance	41–43
$\mathbf{s}$	Position vector	109, 118
$\mathbf{s}$	Vector of magnet side lengths (fixed)	65–67
$s$	Differential region of the integration surface	57, 158
$s$	Laplace variable	xv–xvii, xx, xxi, 7, 8, 231–233
$\mathbf{T}_{z,y}$	Torque between two cuboid magnets; the first magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, the second in $\hat{y}$	78
$\mathbf{T}_{z,z}$	Torque between two cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction	xxiv, 73, 78
$T$	Period	40
$T(s), T(\omega)$	Transmissibility	xxi, 8, 10, 39, 226, 228, 230, 231
$T_{\text{RSS}}$	Root-sum-square of the transmissibility magnitude	10, 230, 231
$T_z$	Inclined magnets torque	118, 119
$\mathbf{t}$	Displacement vectors from the spring magnet centres to the centre of rotation in the coordinate system of the magnets	118



Symbol	Description	Page
$t$	Time	7, 40, 41, 43, 208, 231
$t_b$	Beam shell thickness	215, 223
$U$	Potential energy	28
$u$	Cuboid magnet unit length, cube root of volume	110–112, 114, 117, 119, 120
$V$	Volume	33, 48, 80, 81, 83, 110, 114, 158, 167–171, 173
$V(\omega)$	Variance gain, alternative of transmissibility $T$	40
$\mathbf{v}$	Velocity vector	33
$v$	Differential region of the integration volume	33, 158
$W$	Multipole array number of wavelengths	133–140
$w$	Inclined spring horizontal dimension	180–182, 186
$w_b$	Beam width	215
$X_1(s)$	Base response, Laplace domain	7, 8, 18, 231–233
$X_2(s)$	Vibration mass response, Laplace domain	7, 8, 18, 231–233
$\mathbf{x}$	Displacement vector	57–59
$x$	Horizontal displacement of the inclined spring	180–187, 189
$\hat{x}$	Cartesian unit vector	x, xiv, xvi–xviii, xx, xxiii, 60, 61, 66, 67, 69, 78, 88, 91, 92, 94–99, 101–103, 105, 106, 109, 118, 121, 124, 144–146, 149, 150
$x$	Displacement	41, 43, 80, 81, 179, 222, 223
$x$	Inclined magnets horizontal displacement	109, 110, 112, 118, 119, 121–123
$x_1$	Base displacement	viii, 5, 7, 8, 16, 40, 192, 206, 208, 220, 221, 231, 233
$x_2$	Displacement of the vibration mass	viii, 5, 7, 8, 16, 40, 192, 194, 206, 220, 221, 231, 233
$x_a$	Vibration neutraliser displacement	16
$x_b$	Displacement of the beam at the position of the laser sensor from 'zero'	223
$x_m$	Displacement of the magnets support	223, 224

Symbol	Description	Page
$x_p$	Projected displacement of the beam to the magnets support	223
$x_s$	Displacement measured by the laser sensor	214, 215, 222, 223
$x_m$	Magnet centre position	224
$\hat{y}$	Cartesian unit vector	x, xiv, xvi, xvii, xx, xxiii, 60, 61, 63, 66, 68, 70, 71, 86, 90, 94–98, 103–105, 107, 109, 121, 123, 124, 144–146, 149–151
$y$	Horizontal displacement	85, 86, 149, 150
$y$	Inclined magnets vertical displacement	108–111, 113, 118–123
$\hat{z}$	Cartesian unit vector	x, xiv, xvi, xviii, xx, xxiii, xxiv, 60, 61, 63, 66, 68, 70, 71, 86, 88, 91–99, 101–107, 109, 117, 118, 144–146, 149, 150
$z$	Axial displacement	155–159, 164, 165, 167–169, 175, 176
$z$	Vertical displacement	85, 86, 99, 100, 103, 136, 140, 141, 149, 150, 180–186, 189, 217
$z$	Axial component of distance vector in cylindrical coordinates	xv, 158, 164
$z$	Inclined magnets out-of-plane displacement	109, 110, 118
$z_{\min}$	Maximum deflection of the vertical spring	183
$\alpha$	Ratio between the inclined and vertical spring stiffnesses	180, 181, 183, 184, 187–190
$\alpha$	Cylindrical magnet aspect ratio	82–84, 154, 155, 167–170, 173
$\beta$	Coil aspect ratio	154, 155, 167–170, 173
$\gamma$	Ratio between the inclined spring width and height	xxii, 182–187, 189
$\gamma$	Ratio between magnet lengths in a quasi-Halbach array	138, 140
$\gamma$	Square-face cuboid magnet aspect ratio	80, 81, 84, 110, 120
$\delta$	Distance vector between a pair of corners/nodes of two magnets, $\delta = [\delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_z]^T$	xx, xxii, 61–63, 73

Symbol	Description	Page
$\delta$	Maximum displacement bound	199, 201–203, 205–207
$\delta$	Displacement increment	110, 111, 113
$\epsilon$	Percentage difference between $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{QZS}$	185, 186
$\epsilon$	Closest (normalised) allowable displacement from quasi-zero stiffness to avoid instability	199, 201–203, 205, 207
$\zeta$	Damping ratio, $0.5c/\sqrt{km}$ .	xxiv, 120, 208, 226, 232, 236
$\eta$	Ratio between inclined and vertical spring lengths	180, 181, 183, 184, 186–190
$\eta_k$	Nonlinearity measure	206–208, 210
$\theta$	Magnet rotation/inclination	67–70, 72, 108–112, 114, 117–120
$\vartheta$	Multipole array magnetisation rotation between successive magnets	133–135, 146
$\vartheta_0$	Magnetisation direction of the first magnet in a multipole array	133, 146
$\vartheta_{xz}$	Magnetisation direction in the $\hat{x}$ – $\hat{z}$ plane of the first magnet in a multipole array	146
$\vartheta_{yz}$	Magnetisation direction in the $\hat{y}$ – $\hat{z}$ plane of the first magnet in a multipole array	146
$\kappa_{z,y}$	‘Stiffness’ between two magnetic nodes for cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ and $\hat{y}$ directions respectively, used to calculate $\mathbf{K}_{z,z}$	63, 64
$\kappa_{z,z}$	‘Stiffness’ between two magnetic nodes for cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, used to calculate $\mathbf{K}_{z,z}$	62, 63
$\kappa$	Stiffness ratio	205
$\lambda$	Multipole array wavelength	133–136, 139
$\mu$	Magnetic permeability of a material	30, 31, 49, 52
$\mu_0$	Magnetic permeability of the vacuum	48–52, 55, 57, 58, 61–65, 68, 73, 75, 76, 156–158, 164, 274
$\mu_r$	Relative permeability of a material	49, 50
$\nu$	Ratio of magnet length squared to face area	84
$\xi$	Inclined spring normalised displacement in the load bearing direction	182–185, 187, 188, 190
$\rho$	Resistivity	166, 167
$\sigma$	Conductivity	33
$\Phi$	Magnetic flux vector	52
$\phi_{z,y}$	‘Force’ between two magnetic nodes for orthogonally-magnetised cuboid magnets, used to calculate $\mathbf{F}_{z,y}$	63

Symbol	Description	Page
$\phi_{z,z}$	'Force' between two magnetic nodes for cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, used to calculate $\mathbf{F}_{z,z}$	61, 62, 73
$\phi$	Angular component of distance vector in cylindrical coordinates	xv, 158, 163, 164
$\varphi$	Inclined magnets planar rotation	116–123
$\psi$	'Torque' between two magnetic nodes for cuboid magnets magnetised in the $\hat{z}$ direction, used to calculate $\mathbf{T}_{z,z}$	73
$\omega$	Frequency	xix–xxi, 7, 8, 10, 39, 40, 202, 204, 205, 226, 228, 230–232
$\omega_d$	Resonance frequency, $\omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$	200, 206, 207
$\omega_n$	Natural frequency, $\sqrt{k/m}$	xxiv, 107, 111, 179, 208, 224–226