

# The Environment and the Host in Chronic Rhinosinusitis

Samuel J. Boase

Bachelor of Biotechnology (Honours)

Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery (Honours)



---

Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery

University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Submitted for the title of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2012

This thesis is dedicated to those who have sacrificed the  
most during my scientific endeavors

My amazing family  
Julia, Thomas & Will

# Financial Support

The work throughout this thesis would not have been possible without the assistance of The Garnett Passe & Rodney Williams Memorial Foundation. The Foundation's generous support of Otolaryngology research in Australia ensures we continue to advance the specialty for the benefit of our patients.

Thank you



# Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
THESIS DECLARATION	VIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IX
PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	XII
AWARDS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	XIII
PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	XIV
ABBREVIATIONS	XVI
LIST OF TABLES	XX
LIST OF FIGURES	XXI
THESIS SUMMARY	1
<b>1 SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1 Chronic rhinosinusitis - Background</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1.1 <i>The definition of rhinosinusitis</i>	6
1.1.2 <i>Epidemiology</i>	9
1.1.3 <i>CRS subclassification</i>	10
1.1.4 <i>Non-surgical management of CRS</i>	14
1.1.5 <i>Allergic Fungal Rhinosinusitis</i>	15
<b>1.2 Environmental factors in CRS - Microbial agents</b>	<b>21</b>
1.2.1 <i>Introduction</i>	21
1.2.2 <i>Microorganisms in disease - historical perspectives</i>	23

1.2.3	<i>Microbial detection in CRS – culture based techniques</i>	25
1.2.4	<i>Microbial detection in CRS – molecular techniques</i>	27
1.2.5	<i>The bacteriology of chronic rhinosinusitis</i>	31
1.2.6	<i>The bacteriology of non-diseased sinuses</i>	33
1.2.7	<i>The mycology of chronic rhinosinusitis</i>	36
1.2.8	<i>Bacterial biofilms in chronic rhinosinusitis</i>	40
1.2.9	<i>Fungal biofilms in chronic rhinosinusitis</i>	44
1.2.10	<i>Polymicrobial flora in CRS</i>	45
1.2.11	<i>Functional equivalence in polymicrobial biofilms</i>	46
<b>1.3</b>	<b>Host factors in CRS</b>	<b>48</b>
1.3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	48
1.3.2	<i>Anatomic abnormalities</i>	48
1.3.3	<i>Ciliary impairment</i>	49
1.3.4	<i>Sinonasal mucus abnormalities</i>	49
1.3.5	<i>Immunocompromise</i>	50
1.3.6	<i>Aspirin sensitivity</i>	51
1.3.7	<i>Asthma</i>	51
1.3.8	<i>The physiochemical barrier</i>	52
1.3.9	<i>Innate immunity</i>	54
1.3.10	<i>Adaptive immunity</i>	56
1.3.11	<i>The role of IgE in chronic inflammation</i>	58
<b>1.4</b>	<b>Host - environment interactions in CRS</b>	<b>59</b>
1.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	59
1.4.2	<i>Environmental factors and atopic disease</i>	59
1.4.3	<i>Polymicrobial flora and the host - Symbiosis</i>	61
1.4.4	<i>Polymicrobial flora and the host - Dysbiosis</i>	63
1.4.5	<i>Microbial bioburden</i>	65
1.4.6	<i>Staphylococcus aureus – host interactions</i>	65
1.4.7	<i>Fungal – host interactions</i>	72
1.4.8	<i>The role of systemic allergy in CRS</i>	76
1.4.9	<i>Entopy - the concept of local allergy</i>	78
1.4.10	<i>Entopy in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps</i>	78
	<b>SUMMARY OF THE LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>80</b>
	<b>AIMS</b>	<b>85</b>
	<b>2 THE MICROBIOME OF CRS CULTURE, MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTICS AND BIOFILM DETECTION</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b>Statement of Authorship</b>	<b>88</b>
2.1	<b>Abstract</b>	<b>90</b>
2.2	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>90</b>
2.3	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<b>93</b>

2.4 Results \_\_\_\_\_ 97

2.5 Discussion \_\_\_\_\_ 104

**3 A SHEEP MODEL TO INVESTIGATE THE ROLE OF FUNGAL BIOFILMS  
IN SINUSITIS: FUNGAL & BACTERIAL SYNERGY \_\_\_\_\_ 111**

Statement of Authorship \_\_\_\_\_ 113

3.1 Abstract \_\_\_\_\_ 114

3.2 Introduction \_\_\_\_\_ 115

3.3 Materials and Methods \_\_\_\_\_ 116

3.4 Results \_\_\_\_\_ 123

3.5 Discussion \_\_\_\_\_ 138

3.6 Conclusion \_\_\_\_\_ 142

**4 BACTERIAL INDUCED CILIA DAMAGE PROMOTES FUNGAL BIOFILM  
FORMATION IN A SHEEP MODEL OF SINUSITIS. \_\_\_\_\_ 144**

Statement of Authorship \_\_\_\_\_ 145

4.1 Abstract \_\_\_\_\_ 147

4.2 Introduction \_\_\_\_\_ 148

4.3 Materials and Methods \_\_\_\_\_ 149

4.4 Results \_\_\_\_\_ 155

4.5 Discussion \_\_\_\_\_ 169

4.6 Conclusion \_\_\_\_\_ 173

**5 MICROORGANISMS AND HOST IMMUNOGLOBULIN E RESPONSES IN  
CRS: *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* POTENTIATES INHALANT  
AEROALLERGEN SENSITIZATION \_\_\_\_\_ 174**

<b>Statement of Authorship</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>5.1 Abstract</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>5.2 Introduction</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>5.3 Materials and Methods</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>5.4 Results</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>5.5 Discussion</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>5.6 Conclusion</b>	<b>202</b>
<b>THESIS SYNOPSIS</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>210</b>



# Thesis declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holders of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Dr Sam Boase

# Acknowledgements

Nothing has been more satisfying throughout my journey back into research life than sitting with my colleagues and friends discussing a research dilemma, and synergistically finding a solution. The dynamic group of people at the ENT Department, Queen Elizabeth Hospital have contributed significantly to my scientific knowledge, presentation skills, and critical thinking. The fantastic camaraderie made the difficult days bearable, and the good days memorable. Professor Wormald has fostered this environment in the department that we all enjoy. The opportunity to work under his supervision has been phenomenal. His commitment to absolute excellence both surgically, and academically is inspirational. I thank him for offering me this opportunity, his guidance and friendship, and for his unwavering passion for academic surgery.

To my surgeon-scientist colleagues, my friends, who have shared with me the trials and tribulations of combining two simultaneous apprenticeships - the clinical and the academic. Dr Andrew Foreman, Dr Rowan Valentine, Dr Josh Jarvis-Bardy, Dr Ed Cleland and Dr Camille Jardeleza. On so many levels you have helped bring this work to fruition. I cannot thank you enough for your support.

To my friends in the laboratory, Leonie Baker, Damien Jones, and Dijana Miljkovic thank you for your patience as I learnt to be a research scientist again. You have taught me so much – I could not have done it without you.

To Dr Lorwai Tan, my co-supervisor. Your regular 'consultations' to keep my research on track were invaluable. Thanks for believing it would be OK, sometimes against all odds.

To Dr Harshita Pant, my co-supervisor. Thank you for your guidance and invaluable expertise, helping to keep my research relevant. Your advice and encouragement were always appreciated. Thank you also for your constructive criticism – helping me to be better.

Thanks to Matthew Smith & Michelle Slawinski, of The Animal House, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia. Your assistance, and patience was always appreciated. Thanks to Lyn Waterhouse of Adelaide Microscopy, who taught me so much about the intricacies of biological microscopy, which formed an integral component of this work. Dr John Field, thank you for passing on so much of your statistical knowledge, and invaluable advice in planning and publishing research works.

Special thanks to the staff of The Memorial Hospital who have consistently supported ENT research, especially Dr Graham van Renen and Kathy Jarman.

To my great friend Ben van den Akker, your friendship & scientific expertise have helped me keep it together throughout this project. Always on the end of the phone for statistical advice or a chat – thanks mate. To my family, Matt, Zoe, Ben, Dale, Jason, Laura, Ron, Airlie, Sarah & Paul – thanks for your lifelong support –

this is what I have been up to for the last few years. To my Parents Deb & Leon, thank you for giving me the gift of education. You have given me the confidence to follow my ambitions wherever they take me, without ever applying pressure or criticism – thank you. To my Grandfather Bevan, thank you for quietly helping out in the pursuit of education.

To my phenomenal, gorgeous wife Julia. Your support is the foundation to my achievements. Without you there would be nothing. You have offered unwavering dedication to my professional interests, often to the detriment of your own. You have raised our beautiful boys, often in my absence and always offered me everything I need to be completely fulfilled in life. I cannot thank you enough. To my phenomenal boys, Thomas & Will. You have been my inspiration to complete this work. The light at the end of the tunnel every day. What you two have learned over the period of this research makes this thesis seem insignificant.

I owe a great debt of gratitude to our patients, who graciously consent to involvement in much of this research, in a hope that we will be able to improve the lives of our future patients. Finally to Serendipity, thank you for your help – your gifts are scattered throughout this thesis.

# Publications arising from this thesis

## **The microbiome of chronic rhinosinusitis: culture, molecular diagnostics and biofilm detection**

Boase, S., Foreman, F., Tan, L.W., Melton-Kreft, R., Pant, H., Hu, F.Z., Ehrlich, G.D., Wormald, P.J.

*BMC Infectious Diseases* (in review)

## **A sheep model to investigate the role of fungal biofilms in sinusitis: fungal and bacterial synergy**

Boase, S., Valentine, R., Singhal, D., Tan, L. W., Wormald, P.J.

*International Forum of Allergy & Rhinology* 2011, 1 (5): 340-347

## **Bacterial induced cilia damage promotes fungal biofilm formation in a sheep model of sinusitis.**

Boase, S., Jervis-Bardy, S., Cleland, C., Pant, H., Tan, L.W., Wormald, P.J.

*International Forum of Allergy & Rhinology* (in review)

## **Microorganisms and host immunoglobulin E responses in chronic rhinosinusitis: *Staphylococcus aureus* potentiates inhalant aeroallergen sensitization.**

Boase, S., Baker, L., Foreman, A., Tan, L.W., Pant, H., Wormald, P.J.

*Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* (in review)

# **Awards arising from this thesis**

## **Maurice Cottle Award - Best Scientific Manuscript**

American Rhinologic Society

Boston, MA, USA. September 2010.

## **Best oral presentation - Senior PhD Category**

The Queen Elizabeth Hospital Research Foundation Research Day

Adelaide, October 2010.

## **The Ron Gristwood Medal - Best Registrar Presentation**

The Australian Society of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery Scientific

Meeting Adelaide, Nov 2011.

# **Presentations arising from this thesis**

## **Developing an animal model of fungal sinusitis: promises and pitfalls**

Basil Hetzel Institute Post-Graduate Seminar

Adelaide, July 2010

## **A sheep model to investigate the role of fungal biofilms in sinusitis: fungal & bacterial synergy**

American Rhinologic Society Annual Meeting

Boston, USA, September 2010

## **A model to investigate the role of fungal biofilms in chronic rhinosinusitis**

The Australian Society of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery Scientific Meeting (SA), Adelaide, November 2010.

## **Fungal biofilm formation in sinusitis: fungal & bacterial interactions in the sheep model of sinusitis.**

The Queen Elizabeth Hospital Research Day

Adelaide, October 2010

## **The aetiopathogenesis of CRS**

14th Advanced Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery Course

Adelaide, November 2011

**CRS: microorganisms and the host**

The Australian Society of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery Scientific Meeting (SA), Adelaide, November 2011.

**Microorganisms and the host in Chronic Rhinosinusitis: Making the link.** The Royal Australian College of Surgeons (SA) Annual Scientific Meeting: The RP Jepson Medal, Adelaide, November 2012.



# Abbreviations

<i>A. alternata</i>	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>
<i>A. flavus</i>	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>
Aa	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>
ABPA	Allergic bronchopulmonary Aspergillosis
AD	Atopic dermatitis
Af	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>
AFRS	Allergic fungal rhinosinusitis
AIDS	Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
APC	Antigen presenting cell
AR	Allergic rhinosinusitis
ARS	Acute rhinosinusitis
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
BAFF	B cell activating factor
<i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>
cAMP	3'-5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CAZS	Citric acid zwitterionic surfactant
CD	Cluster of differentiation
CF	Cystic fibrosis
CHIPS	Chemotaxis inhibitory protein of <i>S. aureus</i>
CNS	Coagulase-negative <i>staphylococci</i>
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CRS	Chronic rhinosinusitis
CRSsNP	Chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps
CRSwNP	Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
CT	Computed Tomography
CVID	Common variable immunodeficiency
DC	Dendritic cell
DGGE	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ECP	Eosinophilic cationic protein
EM	Eosinophilic mucus
ESI	Electrospray ionisation
ESS	Endoscopic sinus surgery
FISH	Fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation
GM-CSF	Granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor
<i>H. influenzae</i> / HI	<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>
H&E	Haematoxylin and Eosin
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
IgE	Immunoglobulin E
IL	Interleukin
IQR	Interquartile range
IT	Immunotherapy
L-M	Lund-Mackay
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging

MS	Mass spectroscopy
OR	Odds Ratio
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
<i>P. chrysogenum</i>	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>
PA	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
PAMPs	Pathogen-associated molecular patterns
PCD	Primary ciliary dyskinesia
PNAG	poly-N-acetylglucosamine
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
<i>S. epidermidis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>
SA	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
SAE	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> enterotoxin
SAg	Superantigen
SCIN	<i>Staphylococcal</i> complement inhibitor
SCV	Small colony variants
SD	Standard deviation
SE	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>
SEA	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> enterotoxins A
SEB	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> enterotoxins B
SEC	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> enterotoxins C
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy

SNOT-20	Sino-nasal Outcomes Test 20
TCR	T cell receptor
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
Th	T helper lymphocyte
TLRs	Toll-like receptors
TOF	Time of flight
T <sub>reg</sub>	Regulatory T lymphocyte
TSLP	Thymic stromal lymphopoietin
TSST	Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin
V $\beta$	Variable $\beta$

# List of tables

<i>Table 1.1 The classification of rhinosinusitis</i>	6
<i>Table 1.2 EP<sup>3</sup>OS Criteria for the diagnosis of CRS</i>	9
<i>Table 1.3 Diagnostic criteria for AFRS (Bent &amp; Kuhn, 1994)</i>	17
<i>Table 1.4 Koch's Postulates</i>	24
<i>Table 1.5 Criteria for control sinonasal tissue</i>	35
<i>Table 1.6 The classification of fungal rhinosinusitis</i>	38
<i>Table 1.7 The three types of symbiosis</i>	62
<i>Table 2.1 Demographic &amp; Clinical Data</i>	97
<i>Table 2.2 Molecular detection top ten organisms: detection frequency and prevalence</i>	101
<i>Table 3.1 Frontal sinus fungal biofilm formation results</i>	135
<i>Table 4.1 Fungal and bacterial biofilm formation according to frontal sinus inoculum.</i>	158
<i>Table 5.1 Patient characteristics</i>	185
<i>Table 5.2 Biofilm &amp; Culture Results</i>	190

# List of figures

<i>Figure 2-1 The relationship between S. aureus abundance, and detection by FISH and culture.</i>	103
<i>Figure 3-1 Timeline of the experimental protocol.</i>	117
<i>Figure 3-2 Skin Prick Test Results.</i>	124
<i>Figure 3-3 H&amp;E stained sinus tissue, 20x micrograph – Control tissue.</i>	126
<i>Figure 3-4 A. alternata / S. aureus inoculation.</i>	127
<i>Figure 3-5 Histology scoring: Inflammation compared to frontal sinus inoculum.</i>	128
<i>Figure 3-6 - Histology scoring: Secretory hyperplasia compared to frontal sinus inoculum.</i>	129
<i>Figure 3-7 Fungal Biofilm Analysis CSLM – Control mucosa 20x.</i>	131
<i>Figure 3-8 Fungal Biofilm Analysis CSLM – S. aureus inoculation 63x.</i>	132
<i>Figure 3-9 Fungal Biofilm Analysis CSLM – A. fumigatus / S. aureus.</i>	133
<i>Figure 3-10 Fungal Biofilm Analysis CSLM – A. fumigatus / S. aureus.</i>	134
<i>Figure 3-11 Fluorescence in situ hybridisation CSLM 63x.</i>	137
<i>Figure 4-1 Fungal and bacterial biofilm formation according to frontal sinus inoculum.</i>	157
<i>Figure 4-2 Cilia grading according to sinus inoculum.</i>	160
<i>Figure 4-3 Histological grading according to sinus inoculum.</i>	162
<i>Figure 4-4 A. fumigatus inoculation - TEM 3400x.</i>	163
<i>Figure 4-5 A. fumigatus / P. aeruginosa co-inoculation - TEM 3400x.</i>	164
<i>Figure 4-6 A. fumigatus inoculation - H&amp;E stain 20x.</i>	165
<i>Figure 4-7 A. fumigatus / P. aeruginosa co-inoculation - H&amp;E stain.</i>	166
<i>Figure 4-8 A. fumigatus inoculation CSLM.</i>	167
<i>Figure 4-9 A. fumigatus / P. aeruginosa co-inoculation, CSLM.</i>	168
<i>Figure 5-1 Correlation between serum and mucosal anti-fungal IgE levels.</i>	188
<i>Figure 5-2 Correlation between serum and mucosal anti-SAE IgE levels.</i>	189
<i>Figure 5-3 The relationship between the presence of S. aureus and mucosal anti-SAE IgE.</i>	193
<i>Figure 5-4 The relationship between the presence of fungal organisms and mucosal anti-fungal IgE.</i>	194
<i>Figure 5-5 The relationship between S. aureus organisms and mucosal anti-fungal IgE levels in non fungal allergic CRSwNP patients.</i>	196

*Figure 5-6 The relationship between S. aureus organisms and mucosal anti-fungal IgE levels*<sup>197</sup>

# Thesis summary

The research described herein follows an extensive literature review of the role of environmental agents and the host immune system in the manifestation of CRS. There are clear deficiencies in our understanding regarding the microbial flora of CRS patients and non-diseased sinuses. Chapter two describes a detailed study of the fungal and bacterial microbiome of diseased and healthy sinuses and forms a basis on which to build the subsequent research projects. The third and fourth chapters describe the development of two animal models to determine the environmental and host factors, which are associated with sinonasal fungal biofilm formation. The final chapter seeks to determine the relevance of sinonasal microorganisms by detecting them on host surfaces and correlating these with specific host immune responses. The interaction of bacteria and host hypersensitivities to allergens is also explored.

The initial investigation focused on understanding the microbial flora in CRS patients. This study forms a foundation for the thesis, and was critical to address the many deficiencies and contradictions in the published literature regarding the microbiome of CRS patients. We used state of the art microbial detection techniques to determine the presence and abundance of fungi and bacteria on the mucosa of CRS patients, and appropriate healthy control mucosa. This highlighted some cornerstones of microbial variability between healthy and diseased sinuses. We have shown that the healthy sinus is clearly not sterile, and that prevalence, but more importantly, species composition and population



density are critical factors in determining the disease state. Comparisons between various detection techniques such as molecular analysis, Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), and conventional culture showed FISH to be highly sensitive and specific, with a detection threshold related to organism abundance, whereas culture has a tendency to select for rapidly growing organisms.

The subsequent study is detailed in chapter three, and addresses two of the most contentious, environment versus host issues in the CRS research community – the interaction between fungal organisms, and the host with type I hypersensitivity to fungi. We developed a large animal (sheep) model of fungal sinusitis to investigate these factors and successfully sensitized 45% of animals to fungal antigens, as evidenced by positive skin prick tests. Despite the presence of fungal hypersensitivity, we were unable to produce fungal biofilms in the occluded frontal sinus. Following our clinical observations of fungi frequently co-habiting with bacteria, particularly *Staphylococcus aureus*, we co-inoculated fungi with this bacterium and florid fungal biofilm formed on sinus mucosa. Type I hypersensitivity to fungi had no correlation with fungal biofilm or inflammation. These results suggested that fungi may not be able to form biofilm on mucosa with intact immune defences and a primary insult from the bacteria was requisite for fungal adhesion and proliferation.

A follow up study addressed the factors, which contribute to fungal biofilm establishment on sinus mucosa. An animal model was again developed to determine if co-inoculation of fungi with other bacterial species would allow fungi to proliferate. Four bacterial species commonly detected in CRS patients were

utilized. We hypothesized that bacterial induced cilia injury may have a role in allowing early fungal adhesion, and a cilia toxin was utilized to assess the effect of isolated cilia impairment on fungal proliferation. Cilia were assessed using transmission electron microscopy. Again, no fungal biofilm formed when fungi was inoculated in isolation. Three of the bacterial species formed bacterial biofilms in >75% of sinuses, and this was associated with significant cilia damage, and fungal biofilm formation. One of the bacterial species did not form biofilm, and no fungal biofilm formed in co-inoculated sinuses. Cilia toxin caused significant cilia injury, and was also associated with fungal proliferation. This study demonstrates the importance of the physiochemical barrier in defence against fungal organisms. This led to the question of the role of fungi in CRS patients – are they contributing to the inflammation or merely saprophytic colonizers of the impaired mucosa?

The final study addressed this question in a human subject cohort. To determine if microorganisms have a role in inflammatory processes, we need to be able to display an organism specific immune response in the host. We measured the organism specific IgE levels in the serum and mucosa of 48 CRS patients and 10 controls. We also determined the presence of these microorganisms on the mucosa using conventional culture, and FISH using specific probes. We showed that in CRSwNP patients, the presence of *S. aureus* and fungi on the mucosa was related to elevated organism specific IgE within the mucosa. This phenomenon was specific to nasal polyp patients, and was not observed in non-polyp CRS or control patients. This demonstrates that these organisms have the capacity to incite specific immune responses in the host, potentially contributing

to mucosal inflammation in CRS. Additionally we determined that the presence of *S. aureus* on the mucosa also exacerbates mucosal fungal allergy, potentially enhancing hypersensitivity to ubiquitous airborne fungal allergens. Although this mechanism has been observed in other atopic diseases, this is the first study to document the phenomenon in CRS. It adds to the mounting evidence that *S. aureus* has an important role in the pathogenesis of CRS.