PUBLISHED VERSION

Litao Yang, Wanqi Liang, Lingxi Jiang, Wenquan Li, Wei Cao, Zoe A Wilson, and Dabing Zhang A novel universal real-time PCR system using the attached universal duplex probes for quantitative analysis of nucleic acids

BMC Molecular Biology, 2008; 9(1):54-1-54-13

© 2008 Yang et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Originally published at: http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-9-54

PERMISSIONS http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/
cc creative commons
Attribution 2.0 Generic (CC BY 2.0)
Disclaimer
You are free to:
Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.
The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.
Under the following terms:
Attribution — You must give <u>appropriate credit</u> , provide a link to the license, and <u>indicate if changes were made</u> . You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.
No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Methodology article

A novel universal real-time PCR system using the attached universal duplex probes for quantitative analysis of nucleic acids Litao Yang¹, Wanqi Liang¹, Lingxi Jiang¹, Wenquan Li², Wei Cao², Zoe A Wilson³ and Dabing Zhang^{*1}

Address: 1GMO detection laboratory, SJTU-Bor Luh Food Safety Center, School of life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, PR China, ²Amergen Biomed Co., Ltd., 22B, 3131 Hong Mei Rd., Shanghai 201103, PR China and ³Plant Sciences Division, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, UK

Email: Litao Yang - yylltt@sjtu.edu.cn; Wanqi Liang - wql421@yahoo.com.cn; Lingxi Jiang - lingxijiang@yahoo.com.cn; Wenquan Li - zhiq790728@163.com; Wei Cao - williamcao@amergen.cn; Zoe A Wilson - Zoe.Wilson@nottingham.ac.uk; Dabing Zhang* - zhangdb@sjtu.edu.cn

* Corresponding author

Published: 4 June 2008

BMC Molecular Biology 2008, 9:54 doi:10.1186/1471-2199-9-54

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2199/9/54

© 2008 Yang et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Real-time PCR techniques are being widely used for nucleic acids analysis, but one limitation of current frequently employed real-time PCR is the high cost of the labeled probe for each target molecule.

Results: We describe a real-time PCR technique employing attached universal duplex probes (AUDP), which has the advantage of generating fluorescence by probe hydrolysis and strand displacement over current real-time PCR methods. AUDP involves one set of universal duplex probes in which the 5' end of the fluorescent probe (FP) and a complementary quenching probe (QP) lie in close proximity so that fluorescence can be quenched. The PCR primer pair with attached universal template (UT) and the FP are identical to the UT sequence. We have shown that the AUDP technique can be used for detecting multiple target DNA sequences in both simplex and duplex real-time PCR assays for gene expression analysis, genotype identification, and genetically modified organism (GMO) quantification with comparable sensitivity, reproducibility, and repeatability with other real-time PCR methods.

Conclusion: The results from GMO quantification, gene expression analysis, genotype identification, and GMO quantification using AUDP real-time PCR assays indicate that the AUDP real-time PCR technique has been successfully applied in nucleic acids analysis, and the developed AUDP real-time PCR technique will offer an alternative way for nucleic acid analysis with high efficiency, reliability, and flexibility at low cost.

Background

PCR is a powerful tool for the amplification of minimal amounts of initial target genetic sequences [1,2], and it has been widely used for quantitative analysis of nucleic acids in medical diagnostics, drug discovery, virus detection, environmental monitoring, gene expression analysis and the detection of genetically modification organisms (GMO) [3-5]. Fluorescent quantitative PCR (FQ-PCR) can quantify initial target molecules by detecting the amplicon accumulation with fluorescently-tagged probes at

Open Access

Received: 14 December 2007 Accepted: 4 June 2008



each reaction cycle. Because of its ease of use, high throughput ability, decreased post-PCR manipulation, and lack of cross-contamination of PCR amplicons, FQ-PCR method is becoming the new gold standard method for nucleic acid quantification. Currently, several fluorogenic signal reagents have been developed and applied in nucleic acid analyses, for instance, DNA-binding dyes [6,7], FRET probes [8], TaqMan probes [9,10], molecular beacons [11,12] and their derivatives (Amplifluor, Sunrise, Amplisensor, and scorpion primers) [13-16], doublestranded probes [17], adjacent probes [18], iFRET [19], universal template probe [20], and aQRT-PCR [21]. Probes based on the FRET technique, such as TaqMan, Amplisensor, molecular beacon, and UT probes, rely on the principle that a donor fluorophore molecule absorbs excitation energy and delivers this energy via dipoledipole interaction to an acceptor fluorophore when the donor and acceptor molecules are sufficiently close to one another [22]. When the donor fluorophore and acceptor fluorophore are separated in the reaction by cleavage, the change in secondary structure or strand displacement of the fluorescent probe will result in increased fluorescence signal [23-25]. In these real-time PCR assays, either duallabeled probes or primers have to be synthesized, which limits the wide application of these methods due to incomplete quenching, complicated synthesis and labeling, and high costs [26].

Herein we report a novel set of fluorescent signal device named <u>A</u>ttached <u>U</u>niversal <u>D</u>uplex <u>P</u>robes (AUDP) with a single-labeled fluorescent probe (FP) with universal template (UT) sequence and a quenching probe (QP). In the AUDP PCR assay, the fluorescent signals are likely to be generated by means of DNA strand displacement and hydrolysis of the duplex probes. AUDP can not only be used for different target DNA sequences in single PCR assays, but also in duplex PCR assays with higher fluorescent intensity. Moreover, amplified target DNA fragments as long as 1.5 kilo base pairs can be detected with high efficiency using this method. These enable AUDP based real-time PCR assay to be a flexible, reproducible, inexpensive, and effective method for nucleic acid quantification.

Results

The fluorescent signal generated principle of AUDP PCR assay

The basic goal of many fluorescence-based quantitative PCR procedures is to produce signal indicative of the presence of double-stranded DNA during PCR amplification. In this study, we have developed a new combined method for fluorescent signal generation, termed AUDP and illustrated in Figure 1. A complete AUDP PCR assay is composed of a target DNA-specific primer pair, with one primer containing an attached UT sequence, and a set of universal duplex probes containing both FP and QP (Figure 1A). During the first and second cycle of amplification, one new synthetic chimeric DNA fragment with UT sequence at the 5' end can be generated from each initial template DNA molecule by PCR amplification (Figure 1B and 1C). During the annealing phase of the third cycle, FP hybridizes with these newly synthesized chimeric DNA strands, separating the QP from the FP (Figure 1D). Meanwhile, FP bound to the newly synthesized chimeric DNA strand can also function as a forward primer for synthesis of a new DNA strand based on the mother strand (Figure 1E). During the annealing period of the fourth cycle, the QP hybridizes with the chimeric mother strand having a FP at its 5' end, thus it can be hydrolyzed by the 5' exonuclease activity of Taq DNA polymerase (Figure 1Fa) or displaced (Figure 1Fb) by new strand synthesis. Either displacement or hydrolysis of the duplex probes will result in increasing fluorescent signal. Throughout the following PCR cycles, more and more DNA strands (Figure 1C and 1E) are employed for amplification and fluorescent signal emission. Unlike the signal generation mechanisms of TaqMan (hydrolysis) and double-stranded probes (strand displacement) at the first or second cycle, the AUDP PCR method can generate fluorescent signal in the third cycle through a combination of hydrolysis and strand displacement [26].

To assess the ability of generating signal depending upon strand displacement in AUDP PCR assay, Pfu DNA polymerase without $5' \rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease activity was used to detect different target sequences, including *cp4EPSPS*, Cry1A(b), Lectin, and the Invertase I gene. In the AUDP PCR assay for the cp4EPSPS gene, similar fluorescent curves were obtained with Pfu and Taq DNA polymerases, except that Pfu DNA polymerase resulted in reduction of fluorescent intensity (Figure 2). Similar results were also obtained in Cry1A(b), Lectin, and Invertase I assays, indicating that DNA polymerases without $5' \rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease activity can still produce florescent signals by strand displacement of probes, verifying that the fluorescent signal emission of this assay can be independent of the $5' \rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease activity. The decreased fluorescence intensity is likely to be due to the different mechanisms of fluorescent signal generation between *Pfu* (strand displacement) and Taq (hydrolysis and strand displacement) in AUDP PCR.

Reproducibility and repeatability of the AUDP PCR assays After optimizing the concentration of primers, AUDP probes and MgCl₂, the repeatability and reproducibility of CaMV35s promoter and NOS terminator AUDP PCR assays were tested using a series of dilutions of transgenic RRS genomic DNA solutions. The series were diluted to give a concentration range of 10–100,000 copies of soybean genomic DNA. Each serial dilution was assayed in



Figure I

Schematic representation of the mechanism of AUDP PCR assay. (A) The structure of the duplex probes and modified target specific primers; (B) The first cycle of PCR amplification; (C) The second cycle of amplification. (D) The third cycle of amplification and fluorescent signal generation; (E), (Fa) and (Fb), The fourth cycle of amplification and fluorescent signal generation.



Figure 2 Comparison of the amplification efficiency of AUDP PCR assays using Taq or Pfu polymerase. (A) The amplification curves of Taq polymerase; (B) The amplification curves of Pfu polymerase.

triplicate per PCR run and runs were repeated three times. The repeatability standard deviation (SDr) and reproducibility standard deviation (SDR) of these two AUDP PCR assays were calculated. The SDr values of the CaMV35s and NOS PCR assays ranged from 0.09 to 0.17 and 0.08 to 0.19, respectively (Table 1); the SDR values ranged from 0.04 to 0.13 in the CaMV35s PCR assays, and from 0.05 to 0.14 in the NOS PCR assays (Table 1). This result indicates that the AUDP PCR assays were highly reproducible and could be used for further nucleic acids quantitative analysis.

Simplex AUDP real-time PCR for GMO quantification

To prove the applicability of this method in DNA quantification, the AUDP PCR method was employed to quantify the CaMV35s promoter and NOS terminator contents in genetically modified RRS by relative quantitative method. Both standard curves of the endogenous and exogenous PCR system were constructed by serial dilution of the target DNA in separate tubes. Samples diluted from 100 ng to 10 pg of RRS DNA were employed by simplex CaMV35s and NOS AUDP PCR assay, respectively. The PCR efficiencies of CaMV35s and NOS assay were 0.94 and 1.06, respectively. The square regression coefficients (R²) of these two exogenous PCR assays were both 0.9978. Comparable results were also obtained from the AUDP PCR assay of soybean endogenous *Lectin* gene with a square regression coefficient of 0.9935 (Figure 3). The limits of detection (LODs) of CaMV35s and NOS AUDP assays were 10 copies. The excellent linearity between DNA concentrations and fluorescence values (Ct) visualized in the calibration curves and low LOD values indicate that the AUDP assays established in this study are well suited for quantitative measurements. Furthermore, more simplex AUDP PCR assays for GMOs quantification were developed, such as CaMV35s promoter and NOS terminator AUDP PCR assays for GMOs screening detection, RRS Cp4-EPSPS and Bt176 Cry1A(b) for gene-specific detection, and event-specific analyses for Bt11, MON863, MON810, and GA21 maize respectively. Totally twentyfour GM soybean and maize samples were quantified by the relative quantification method according to the ratio of transgene to total DNA quantity [28]. The bias of quantitative analysis was calculated based on the formula of ABS (Measurement Value - True value)/True value. For screening assays, three GM soybean samples with different GM contents (5.0%, 3.0%, and 1.0%) were quantified, and the results showed that all the GM soybean samples with different GM contents could be quantified with low bias value compared with their virtual GM contents ranging from 3.92% to 15.12%. As to gene-specific assays of cp4EPSPS and Cry1A(b), the GM soybean and Bt176 maize samples with different GM contents (5.0%, 3.0%, and 1.0%) could be quantified with low bias

А



в



Figure 3

The AUDP PCR assays for the CaMV35s promoter and NOS terminator of GM RRS. (A) The standard curve of CaMV35s promoter quantification. a: Amplification plot generated by five dilutions (10-fold) of transgenic soybean ranging from 0.01 to 100 ng with CaMV35s promoter real-time PCR system; b: Initial DNA concentration versus Ct standard curve; (B) The standard curve of NOS terminator quantification. a: Amplification plot generated by five dilutions (10-fold) of transgenic soybean ranging from 0.01 to 100 ng with NOS terminator real-time PCR system; b: Initial DNA concentration versus Ct standard curve; curve.

(4.34%~19.22%) and standard deviation (0.04~0.20). For event-specific assays, the bias was between 3.53 and 21.13, and the SD between 0.05 and 0.40. All these indicate that the AUDP PCR assay can be successfully used in the simplex quantification of target DNAs. All the data is shown in Table 3.

Duplex AUDP real-time PCR for GMO quantification

Duplex PCR is less vulnerable to pipetting errors, less labor intensive, and less expensive than simplex PCR. In this study, duplex PCR systems for the quantitative analysis of RRS (*cp4EPSPS* and *Lectin* genes) and Bt176 maize (*Cry1A(b)* and *Invertase 1* genes) were established, respectively. The sensitivity test for RRS indicated that the exogenous gene *cp4EPSPS* and endogenous *Lectin gene* could both be detected using as low as 10 pg, and which corresponded to 10 copies of haploid genomic DNA. The quantitative standard curve, which plots the log RRS concentration versus Δ Ct(Ct_{cp4EPSP5}-Ct_{Lectin}), was constructed using 100 ng of total DNA varying in GM contents from 0.1 to10% (w/w) according to the described method (*24*). The R² value of 0.9964 was obtained from the standard

curve of the *cp4EPSPS* gene amplification. The standard curve generated by a plot of log GM content versus Δ Ct(Ct_{cp4EPSPS}-Ct_{Lectin}), indicated that the amplification efficiencies of the *cp4EPSPS* and *Lectin* genes were close and that the amount of the target transgene could be calculated based on the difference between the Ct values of the target and an endogenous reference gene (Figure 4).

As to the duplex PCR assay for Bt176 maize, the generated standard curve showed that the R² value was 0.9954. The tests of the three GM maize samples (5.0%, 3.0%, and 1.0%) showed that the mean GM content was of 4.69% to the 5.0% sample, 3.41% for the 3.0% sample, and 0.84% for the 1.0% sample. All above data indicated that the established duplex AUDP PCR assays are credible.

Gene expression analysis using AUDP PCR

To test the applicability of AUDP PCR in gene expression analysis, several *Arabidopsis* anther specific genes were selected, such as *SPL*, *DYT1*, *TPD1*, *AMS*, and *EMS1*, and their AUDP PCR probe and primers were designed. Gene



Figure 4

Duplex fluorogenic PCR analysis for RRS detection employing the endogenous reference gene Lectin and exogenous gene cp4EPSPS. (A) Amplification plot of endogenous Lectin gene. Each dilution contained 100 ng of total soybean DNA. (B) Amplification plot of the transgenic cp4EPSPS gene, five different GM contents (10.0%, 5.0%, 1.0%, 0.5% and 0.1%) of soybean DNA that contained 10, 5, 1, 0.5 and 0.1 ng GM soybean DNA per reaction, respectively, were plotted as the initial RRS DNA concentration versus Ct standard curve ($R^2 = 0.9964$). (C) Standard curve, plotting log [GMOs concentration] versus change in threshold of detection (Δ Ct), $R^2 = 0.9964$.

Figure 5



Arabidopsis gene expression analysis using AUDP PCR. SPL: SPOROCYTELESS, TPD1: TAPETUM DETERMINANTI, DYT1: DYSFUNCTIONAL TAPETUMI, AMS: ABORTED MICROSPORES, EMS1: EXCESS MICROSPOROCYTESI.

expression in *Arabidopsis* flower bud was analyzed using AUDP real-time PCR according to the 2^{-ΔΔCt} methods [35]. In these optimized AUDP real-time PCR assays of these genes, the PCR amplified efficiencies were all above 0.90 and their amplified curves had good linearity. The results from AUDP real-time PCR assays indicated that these genes were all expressed in *Arabidopsis* flower bud, and the transcription level of these was shown in Figure 5, which is consistent with previous reports [36]. The results indicated that the AUDP PCR can be successfully applied to investigate different gene expression patterns using only one AUDP probe.

Genotype discrimination using AUDP PCR

In the *ms1* mutant of *Arabidopsis*, one "G" base was replaced by "A" in the genome, resulting in a loss of splicing of the first intron [34]. One AUDP PCR assay was employed to discriminate between the *ms1* mutant and wild type. The AUDP PCR detection results showed that fluorescent signal could be obtained in the reaction of the homozygous and heterozygous *ms1* plants. A mean Ct value of 25.29 was obtained in 100 ng homozygous *ms1* genomic DNA reactions with 9 repeats, the mean Ct value of 26.41 in 100 ng heterozygous *ms1* genomic DNA reactions (Figure 6), and the estimated copy number of homozygous *ms1* mutated genomic DNA. From these results we believed that the AUDP PCR method can be further used for genotype discrimination.

Analysis of longer target DNAs using AUDP PCR

From the unique principle of fluorescent signal generation in AUDP PCR, we hypothesize that this PCR assay has the ability to quantify target DNA with a range of template sizes. To test this, four AUDP PCR assays with different amplicon lengths (195, 546, 1032, and 1630 bp) were designed based on the pBI121 DNA. Likewise, four Taq-Man and UT-PCR assays with similar amplicon sizes were designed, respectively, based on pBI121 sequence (Table 3). For each PCR assay chemistries, the PCR conditions were optimized with high efficiency (above 0.90), and the limits of detection (LOD) were tested. Fluorescent signals were obtained in all the four AUDP real-time PCR assays, regardless of amplified DNA length, and the LOD was 10 copies for the 195-bp and 546-bp amplicons, and 50 copies for the 1032-bp and 1630-bp amplicons (Table 4). However, fluorescent signals were detected for only 210bp and 510-bp amplicons in TaqMan PCR assays, and no fluorescent signal was observed in the 992-bp and 1596bp PCR assays. The LODs of the TaqMan PCR assays were 10 and 20 copies for the 210-bp and 510-bp amplicons, respectively (Table 4). Also we found that the UT-PCR assay could not be used for quantifying longer DNA fragments, as no fluorescent signal was observed in 1032-bp and 1630-bp amplicon assays (Table 4). These results demonstrate that the AUDP PCR assay described in this study can be successfully used for quantifying longer target DNAs with higher efficiency and sensitivity.



Arabidopsis ms I mutant genotype identification using AUDP PCR.

Discussion

A new type of fluorescent real-time PCR has been developed in this study. The fluorescent probe in AUDP has a dual-role, it functions not only as the fluorescent probe, but also as an extension primer, which is different from other probes, such as TaqMan, UT probe, and aQRT PCR etc. This design enhances the intensity of the fluorescent signal intensity and allows for the quantitative analysis of longer DNA fragments by utilizing both the 5' \rightarrow 3' exonuclease activity and the 5' \rightarrow 3' DNA polymerase activity of the Taq DNA polymerase. In this quantitative PCR assay, the universal duplex probes hybridize to amplified product strands with attached UT sequences, thus permitting the use of a single set of duplex probes for multiple different target DNAs and greatly decreasing the cost of the assays. The present price of fluorescent probes is decuple more than that of AUDP PCR primers in general, which furthermore illustrates the lower cost of AUDP PCR compared with other fluorescent real-time assays except for the SybGreen I real-time PCR. Compared with the Syb-Green I real-time PCR, the advantage of AUDP PCR is the applicability in duplex PCR analysis. However, the specificity of this PCR method depends upon target-specific primers. Thus the forward and reverse primers must be designed following the principles described above; otherwise, this PCR system may generate non-specific signals.

We established both simplex and duplex PCR methods for GMO quantification using the AUDP technique. The quantification capability of the simplex and duplex PCR assays has low sensitivity and wide dynamic range. In the duplex PCR system, different sets of duplex probes bearing different fluorescent reporters were designed for the exogenous and endogenous genes, allowing the simultaneous detection of multiple targets in one tube. The AUDP PCR technique could be also applied in gene expression analysis and genotype test. The results obtained in these experiments fully validate the extensive applicability and high accuracy of this technique for nucleic acid analysis. Furthermore, in all these tests, only one AUDP probe was used combined with more than 20 different primer pairs, which indicates that one AUDP probe can be used for different target DNA quantification reducing the cost of probes greatly and improved the PCR throughput.

Additionally, our results indicate that AUDP PCR assay can be used for longer fragments (at least as long as 1630 bp) with high efficiency (higher than 0.90) and low LOD (50 copies), this allows this method to be used to overcome difficulties in primer and probe design because of the limited length of the target DNA fragment in conventional assays.

Conclusion

In this study, we present a novel real-time PCR technique, AUDP-PCR, which allows combined fluorescent signal generation. The applicability, reliability of AUDP PCR has been validated in GMOs quantification, gene expression, and genotype detection, and which will improve nucleic acids analysis with low costs and high throughput. We also expect that this technique will also be widely used in clinical diagnostics and pathogen identification for nucleic acids analysis in the near future.

Methods

Materials

Transgenic Bt176 and Bt11 maize developed and supplied by Syngenta Seeds, Inc. (Greensboro, NC, USA), GM maize lines (MON863, MON810, and GA21) and Roundup Ready soybean (RRS) developed and supplied by Monsanto Company (St Louis, MO), and non-transgenic maize and soybean (obtained from Shanghai local market) were used in this study. Wild type *Arabidopsis* Landsberg *erecta* and the *ms1* mutant were from University of Nottingham. The pBI121 plasmid was purchased from BD Biosciences Clontech (Palo Alto, CA, USA).

DNA extraction

Plant genomic DNA was extracted using Plant DNA Mini-Prep kit (Ruifeng Agro-tech Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China). Plasmid DNAs were isolated using Plasmid Mini Kit (Watson Biotechnologies, Inc, Shanghai, China). DNA quantity and quality was evaluated using absorbance measurements at 260 nm and 280 nm, and copy numbers were estimated by comparison to the size of plant and plasmid genomic DNA [27,28].

RNA isolation and reverse transcription

Arabidopsis total RNA was isolated from flower buds using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). After treatment with DNase (Promega), 0.3 μ g RNA was used to synthesize the oligo(dT) primed first-strand cDNA using the ReverTra Ace-a-First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (TOYOBO). Three microliters of the reverse transcription products were subsequently used as template for PCR.

Design of AUDP probes and primers

In this study, some candidate UT sequences were created to avoid significant sequence similarity between the UT sequence and any known genomic sequences especially those of pBI121, maize, canola and soybean, as well as the sequence of relevant transgenes and universal regulatory elements [18]. One UT sequence is selected and attached to the 5' end of the target specific primers. The universal duplex probes are composed of two complementary single-labeled oligonucleotides of differing length. The fluorescent probe (FP) labeled with a fluorophore at its 5' end has the UT nucleotide sequence with about 30 nucleotides (nt) in size, while the quenching probe (QP) is labeled with a non-fluorescence quencher (dabcy1) at its 3' end with about 20 nt that are complementary to the 5' end of FP.

The target-specific PCR primers were analyzed and designed by modifying the analysis results of Primer premier 5.0 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Inc.). The 5' end of one target-specific PCR primer was then attached to the UT sequence. In addition, the PCR primers and duplex probes were designed to minimize the formation of primer dimers, and other types of secondary structures between the primers and probes. Primer premier 5.0 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Inc) and Oligo 6 software (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc.) were used to analyze candidate primers to avoid these problems. The GC content for the primers, UT sequence, and duplex probes were 30-80%. The melting temperature (Tm) of FP/QP duplex probes was about 5~10°C higher than that of the target DNA specific primers. To optimize the GC content and Tm values of the target PCR primers, a linker region of about 3~6 nt was added between the UT sequence and the target-specific primer region. This linker also served as a flexible region for the primer during PCR.

Primers and probes

Two sets of universal duplex probes were designed and used for more than 20 different target DNA/RNA sequences quantification in this study (Table 4). One was labeled with FAM fluorescent reporter and another with HEX fluorescent reporter.

To reveal the advantages of AUDP technique, a series of target-specific primers were designed based on the plasmid DNA sequence of pBI121 to amplify target DNA sequences ranging from ~200 bp to ~1600 bp in length to validate the applicability of this real-time method. Meanwhile, TaqMan and UT-PCR primers and probes designed to amplify the DNA fragments with similar sizes to those of the AUDP PCR assays were produced for comparison. In the design of the four TaqMan real-time PCR assays with different sizes, the same TaqMan probe was designed for all the assays, the same sense primer was used except for the assay with 510 bp amplicon in size. The distances between the sense primer and TagMan probe were less than 6 bp in these four real-time PCR assays according to the design principle of TaqMan PCR, and which might generate the similar efficiency of fluorescent signal production. The designed AUDP, UT, and TaqMan PCR primers and probes were listed in Table 3.

For GMOs quantification, the FAM-labeled probes were used for these exogenous genes, i.e. CaMV35s promoter, NOS terminator, *cp4EPSPS* gene, *Cry1A(b)* gene, and event-specific DNA fragments for Bt11, MON863,

Application	Target Gene	Orientation	Sequence (5'3')	Amplicon Length (bp)	
GMO quantification	CaMV35s promoter	Sense		68	
		Anti-sense		50	
	NOS terminator	Sense		52	
		Anti-sense	IGACGITATITATGAGATGGGT	100	
	CP4EPSPS	Sense		199	
		Anti-sense	CHAIIGCAIICAIICAAAATAAG		
	Cry IA(b)	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacataAIACAACCCCCCCICAGAACAACAA	56	
		Anti-sense	GGCTCAGACGGTGGCT		
	GA2 I	Sense	CTTATCGTTATGCTATTTGCAACTTTAGA	112	
		Anti-sense	atccttgtccgccgctgctcgcaccctgtatATATGGCTCGCGATCCTCCT		
	Bt I I	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacataATAGCGGAACCCCTATTTGTTTATT	112	
		Anti-sense	atcettgtccgccgctgctcgcaccctgtatCGCACACCTACAGATTTTAGACCAA		
	MON863	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacata ATAGGTTGGTTGGTGAGCCTAGTGA	130	
		Anti-sense	CATCCGAACAAGTAGGGTCAAT		
	MON810	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacata ATATCTTTCAAGCCGAAGGTACATC	177	
		Anti-sense	GCGAAGGTTATGAAGGACATAC		
Gene expression analysis	Actin	Sense	TGAAGTTCTGTTCCAGCCATCC	171	
		Anti-sense	atccttgtccgccgctgctcgcaccctgtatCGCTTACTCATCCTATCACCAATCCC		
	SPL	Sense	TCCTCCGATGAACGGCTAC	70	
		Anti-sense	atccttgtccgccgctgctcgcaccctgtatGGAAACCTTGGCTCCTCTG		
	EMSI	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagggggggggggggggggggg	197	
		Anti-sense	CTCGGGCACTCTGACCATAC		
	AMS	Sense		102	
		Anti-sense	ACCAGGCTGAGGTAGCGAGT		
	TPD I	Sense	CGTCTCCGTTGAAGCCTCC	188	
		Anti-sense			
	DYTI	Sense	CCAGTGAGGATGAGCTGAG	133	
	2	Anti-sense	atecttetecercectercteraccetetatAATGAGATCGCAGAGCCATAAGC		
Genotype identification	ms/ mutant	Sense		251	
Genotype Identification	insi mutant	Anti conco		251	
		ED ED			
	AUDF				
		Qr	AGICGICGCCGCCIGITCCTA Dadcyl		

Table 1: Primer pairs and universal duplex probes used in duplex PCR in this studya

^aUT sequences: taggaacaggcggcgacgagcggggacata, italicized nucleotide sequences are the linker region of the modified target specific primer between the UT sequence and target specific DNA primer.

MON810 and GA21 maize, and the HEX-labeled probes were used for plant endogenous reference genes (*Lectin* and *Invertase I*), respectively. The primer pairs and probes are listed in Table 4.

To test the applicability of the AUDP PCR assay for gene expression and genotype discrimination, the FAM-labeled probe set were used for the following genes: *Actin, SPO-ROCYTELESS (SPL)* [29], *TAPETUM DETERMINANT1 (TPD1)* [30], *DYSFUNCTIONAL TAPETUM1 (DYT1)* [31], *ABORTED MICROSPORES (AMS)* [32], *EXCESS MICROSPOROCYTES1 (EMS1)* [33], and *ms1* mutant [34]; their corresponding primers are also listed in Table 4.

Real-time PCR assays

In this study, all the real-time PCR assays (AUDP, UT, and TaqMan) were performed with the similar program and PCR reagents except for the primers, probes, and Mg Cl₂ conditions. The final volume of each real-time PCR assay was 25 μ L, and the generated fluorescence was monitored in every PCR cycle at extension stage. The PCR assays contained the following mixture: 1 × PCR buffer, 100–300

nM primers, 200–400 nM FP, and 400–800 nM QP for different target sequences, 400 nM each of dATP, dGTP, dCTP, 800 nM dUTP, 1.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase, 0.2 units of Amperase uracil N-glycosylase (UNG), and 6 mM MgCl₂. In terms of UT-PCR and TaqMan PCR, the PCR conditions were similar to duplex probe PCR except for the concentrations of primers (100–300 nM), probes (600–900 nM), and MgCl₂.

All real-time PCR assays were run using the following program: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 50 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 30 s at 72°C, except for the amplification of pBI121 with amplicon lengths greater than 500 bp, where 60 s at 72°C was used instead. Primers were synthesized by Invitrogen Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China), the fluorescent probes were synthesized by TaKaRa biotechnology Co., Ltd (Dalian, China), and other PCR reagents were purchased from Biocolors Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China).

All real-time PCR reactions were run on a fluorometric thermal cycler, Rotor-Gene 3000A (Corbett Research, Aus-

Fluorescent real-time PCR Orientation		Sequence ^a (5'3')	Amplicon length (bp)	
TaqMan	Sense	CCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCTGATA	210	
	Anti-sense	TGTAGACAACATCCCCTCCCC		
	Probe	FAM CGCCGCAGCCGAACGACCGAG TAMRA		
	Sense	GTATTACCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCT	510	
	Anti-sense	ATGACAATCAGCTACTTCACTGTTG		
	Probe	FAM CGCCGCAGCCGAACGACCGAG TAMRA		
	Sense	CCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCTGATA	992	
	Anti-sense	CTCCGCTGGTCCGATTGAAC		
	Probe	FAM CGCCGCAGCCGAACGACCGAG TAMRA		
	Sense	CCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCTGATA	1596	
	Anti-sense	AATGGTTTCTGACGTATGTGCTTAG		
	Probe	FAM CGCCGCAGCCGAACGACCGAG TAMRA		
UTª	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgaATACAA CATCCGCTTGCCCTCATC	195	
	Anti-sense	TGCCAAAGGGTTCGTGTAG		
	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacga ATACAA CATCCGCTTGCCCTCATC	546	
	Anti-sense	GGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACAT		
	Sense	CAGACGTGAAACCCAACATACC	1032	
	Anti-sense	Taggaacaggcggcgacga ATACAA CCCAATAGCAGCCAGTCCCT		
	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacga ATACAA TCTGCTGTAGTGAGTGGGTTGC	1630	
	Anti-sense	GCCGATTGTCTGTTGTGCC		
	UT probe	FAM TCGTCGCCGCCTGTTCCTA TAMRA		
AUDP probe ^b	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacataAAACTCCATCCGCTTGCCCTCATC	195	
	Anti-sense	TGCCAAAGGGTTCGTGTAG		
	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacataAAACTCCATCCGCTTGCCCTCATC	546	
	Anti-sense	GGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACAT		
	Sense	CAGACGTGAAACCCAACATACC	1032	
	Anti-sense			
	Sense	taggaacaggcggcgacgagcgtgggacata AAACCTCTCTGCTGTAGTGAGTGGGTTGC	1630	
	Anti-sense	GCCGATTGTCTGTTGTGCC		
	FP	FAM TAGGAACAGGCGGCGACGAGCGTGGGACATA		
	QP	AGTCGTCGCCGCCTGTTCCTA Dabcyl		

Table 2: Primers and probes of real-time PCR assays using TaqMan, UT and AUDP based on the genomic DNA sequence of pBI121 (GenBank No. <u>AF485783</u>).

^aUT sequence: taggaacaggcggcgacga, italicized nucleotide sequences are the linker region of the UT PCR primer between the UT sequence and target specific DNA primer.

^bUT sequence: taggaacaggcggcgacggcgggggacata, italicized nucleotide sequences are the linker region of UT sequence and target specific DNA primer.

Table 3: Repeatability and Reproducibility of CaMV35s and Nos AUDP PCR assays

True copy number		Ct value:	5	Mean	SDr	SD ^r			
	I	2	3						
CaMV35s									
100000	30.43	30.24	30.09	30.25	0.17	0.08			
10000	33.19	33.25	33.38	33.27	0.09	0.04			
1000	36.59	36.75	36.48	36.61	0.14	0.10			
100	40.05	40.16	39.97	40.06	0.10	0.13			
10	43.11	42.99	43.16	43.07	0.09	0.09			
Nos									
100000	30.22	30.12	30.28	30.21	0.08	0.05			
10000	33.65	33.42	33.49	33.52	0.12	0.06			
1000	36.94	36.58	36.84	36.79	0.19	0.11			
100	40.66	40.98	40.75	40.80	0.17	0.09			
10	43.19	43.56	43.31	43.35	0.19	0.14			

 $\mathsf{SD}^r\!,$ repeatability standard deviation; $\mathsf{SD}^R\!,$ reproducibility standard deviation.

tralia), and data was analyzed with the Rotor-Gene 3000A software (Corbett Research, Australia).

AUDP PCR assay with Pfu DNA polymerase

To test the applicability of other DNA polymerase in AUDP real-time PCR assay, *Pfu* DNA polymerase lacking $5'\rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease activity was used in AUDP real-time PCR assay with the similar reaction condition to those mentioned above except that an equal amount of *Pfu* DNA polymerase and *Pfu* PCR buffer (BioColor Co., Ltd, Shanghai. China) were substituted for *Taq* DNA polymerase related reagents.

Abbreviations

AUDP: Attached Universal Duplex Probe; UT: universal template; FP: fluorescent probe; QP: quenching probe; GMO: genetically modified organism; RRS: Roundup Ready soybean.

Authors' contributions

LY designed and performed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. WaL, conceived the experiments. LJ par-

Amplicon Length	TaqMa	n PCR	UT-I	PCR	AUDP PCR		
	Amplification (Y/N)	Sensitivity (copies)	Amplification (Y/N)	Sensitivity (copies)	Amplification (Y/N)	Sensitivity (copies)	
~200 bp	Y	10	Y	10	Y	10	
~500 bp	Y	20	Y	Y 20		10	
~1000 bp	Ν		Ν		Y	20	
~1600 bp	Ν		Ν		Y	50	

Table 5: Comparison of the amplified length and sensitivity of TaqMan, UT and AUDP PCR

Table 4: GM contents quantification of GM samples using AUDP PCR assays

Target gene/event	Sample	Endogenous/exogenous	Ct		Ct		Ct Mean copies	SD	GM content (%)	Bias
			Ctl	Ct2	Ct3					
		Scree	en specific	detection	1					
CaMV35s	5%	exogenous	34.42	34.17	34.08	34.22	0.18	4.33	13.37	
		endogenous	26.9	26.89	26.78	26.86	0.07			
	3%	exogenous	35.01	34.84	34.67	34.84	0.17	2.81	6.22	
		endogenous	26.78	26.82	26.93	26.84	0.08			
	1%	exogenous	36.56	36.21	36.89	36.55	0.34	0.86	13.87	
		endogenous	26.81	26.79	26.88	26.83	0.05			
NOS	5%	exogenous	32.46	32.38	32.1	32.3 I	0.19	4.80	3.92	
		endogenous	26.91	26.73	26.83	26.82	0.09			
	3%	exogenous	33.21	33.32	33.07	33.2	0.13	2.67	10.92	
		endogenous	26.81	26.92	26.97	26.9	0.08			
	1%	exogenous	34.37	34.29	34.78	34.48	0.26	1.15	15.12	
		endogenous	26.93	26.99	27.13	27.02	0.10			
		Gen	e-specific	detection						
Cp4EPSPS	5%	exogenous	31.1	31.32	31.24	31.22	0.11	4.78	4.34	
		endogenous	26.91	26.97	27.06	26.98	0.08			
	3%	exogenous	31.89	31.93	32.14	31.99	0.13	2.63	12.35	
		endogenous	26.81	26.93	26.95	26.90	0.08			
	1%	exogenous	33.21	33.47	33.11	33.26	0.19	1.18	17.86	
		endogenous	26.93	26.99	27.14	27.02	0.11			
CryIA(b)	5%	exogenous	29.32	29.13	28.92	29.123	0.20	4.04	19.22	
		endogenous	25.78	25.81	25.73	25.7	0.04			
	3%	exogenous	29.42	29.28	29.35	29.35	0.07	3.50	16.70	
	10/	endogenous	25.69	25.81	25.92	25.81	0.12		1 / 07	
	1%	exogenous	31.45	31.39	31.26	31.37	0.10	0.83	16.97	
		endogenous	25.83	25.86	25.91	25.87	0.04			
	F 9/	Ever	nt-specific	detection	20.20	20.54	0.21	F F/		
MON803	5%	exogenous	27.46	27.78	29.39	27.54	0.21	5.56	11.21	
	29/	endogenous	25.84	25.89	25.93	25.89	0.05	211	2 5 2	
	3 /0	exogenous	20.00	30.37	20.41	30.47	0.17	3.11	3.33	
	19/	endogenous	23.73	23.77	23.77	23.70	0.03	1.21	21.12	
	1 /0	exogenous	32.13	32.23	32.31	32.27	0.17	1.21	21.13	
GA21	E%	endogenous	20.01	20.13	20.74	20.30	0.51	5.40	12.27	
GAZI	5%	exogenous	27.01	27.70	27.33	27.71	0.15	5.62	12.57	
	3%	exogenous	30.39	30.48	30.57	30.48	0.00	2.81	6 2 9	
	576	endogenous	25.92	25 79	25.84	25.85	0.07	2.01	0.27	
	1%	exogenous	31 49	3141	32 13	31.68	0.40	112	11 55	
	170	endogenous	25 79	25.63	25.89	25 77	0.13	1.12	11.55	
MON810	5%	exogenous	33 52	33 78	33 13	33.48	0.13	5 29	5 89	
	0,0	endogenous	25.84	25.89	25.95	25.89	0.06	0127	0.07	
	3%	exogenous	34.67	34.13	34.25	34.35	0.28	3.20	6.76	
		endogenous	25.94	26.03	26.13	26.03	0.10			
	1%	exogenous	35.91	35.78	35.69	35.79	0.11	1.14	13.67	
		endogenous	25.84	25.89	26.19	25.97	0.19			
Btll	5%	exogenous	32.52	32.59	32.83	32.65	0.16	5.85	16.99	
		endogenous	25.99	26.08	26.13	26.07	0.07			
	3%	exogenous	33.41	33.64	33.29	33.45	0.18	3.18	5.74	
		endogenous	25.93	26.21	26.09	26.08	0.14			
	1%	exogenous	34.98	34.79	35.23	35.00	0.22	0.87	13.36	
		endogenous	25.94	26.05	25.83	34.22	0.18			

ticipated in the experiments of GMOs quantification using AUDP PCR. WeL conceived the design of AUDP probe. WC conceived the design of AUDP probe and the experiments. ZAW supplied the ms1 mutant, conceived genotyping and revised the manuscript. DZ conceived of this study, participated in its design and coordination and co-wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Basic Research Developments Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology, P. R. China (2007CB109201), the national high-tech project "863" (2006AA10Z443, 2007AA10Z418), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30725022,30700499), International Science and Technology Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology P. R. China (2006DFA32820), Shanghai Municipal Committee of Science and Technology (06DZ22908), the Science and Technology program of Shanghai Agricultural committee (No. 10-2, 2006) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B205).

References

- Mullis KB, Faloona F: Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase catalyzed chain reaction. Methods Enzymol 1987, 155:335-350.
- 2. Vaïtilingom M, Pijnenburg H, Gendre F, Brignon P: Real-time quantitative PCR detection of genetically modified Maximizer maize and Roundup Ready soybean in some representative foods. J Agric Food Chem 1999, 47:5261-5266.
- Mackay IM, Arden KE, Nitsche A: Real-time PCR in virology. Nucleic Acids Res 2002, 30:1292-1305.
- Nazarenko I, Lowe B, Darfler M, Ikonomi P, Schuster D, Rashtchian A: Multiplex quantitative PCR using self-quenched primers labeled with a single fluorophore. Nucleic Acids Res 2002, 30:e37.
- Holst-Jensen A, Rønning SB, Løvseth A, Berdal KG: PCR technology for screening and quantification of genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Anal Bioanal Chem 2003, 375:985-993.
- Higuchi R, Fockler Ć, Dollinger G, Watson R: Kinetic PCR: Real time monitoring of DNA amplification reactions. Biotechnology 1993, 11:1026-1030.
- Wittwer CT, Herrmann MG, Moss AA, Rasmussen RP: Continuous fluorescence monitoring of rapid cycle DNA amplification. Biotechniques 1997, 22:130-131.
- Wittwer CT, Ririe KM, Andrew RV, David DA, Gundry RA, Balis UJ: The LightCycler: a microvolume multisample fluorimeter with rapid temperature control. *Biotechniques* 1997, 22:176-181.
- Holland PM, Abramson RD, Watson R, Gelfand DH: Detection of specific polymerase chain reaction product by utilizing the 5'----3' exonuclease activity of Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991, 88:7276-80.
- Lie YS, Petropoulos CJ: Advances in quantitative PCR technology: 5' nuclease assays. Curr Opin Biotechnol 1998:43-48.
- Kostrikis LG, Tyagi S, Mhlanga MM, Ho DD, Kramer FR: Molecular beacons-spectral genotyping of human alleles. Science 1998, 279:1228-1229.
- 12. Marras SA, Tyagi S, Kramer FR: **Real-time assays with molecular beacons and other fluorescent nucleic acid hybridization probes.** *Clin Chim Acta* 2006, **363**:48-60.
- Nazarenko IA, Bhatnagar SK, Hohman RJ: A closed tube format for amplification and detection of DNA based on energy transfer. Nucleic Acids Res 1997, 25:2516-2521.
- Whitcombe D, Theaker J, Guy SP, Brown T, Little S: Detection of PCR products using self-probing amplicons and fluorescence. Nat Biotechnol 1999, 17:804-807.
- Jordens JZ, Lanham S, Pickett MA, Amarasekara S, Abeywickrema I, Watt PJ: Amplification with molecular beacon primers and reverse line blotting for the detection and typing of human papillomaviruses. J Virol Methods 2000, 89:29-37.
- Thelwell N, Millington S, Solinas A, Booth J, Brown T: Mode of action and application of Scorpion primers to mutation detection. Nucleic Acids Res 2000, 28:3752-61.

- Li Q, Luan G, Guo Q, Liang J: A new class of homogeneous nucleic acid probes based on specific displacement hybridization. Nucleic Acids Res 2002, 30:e5.
- Lay MJ, Wittwer CT: Real-time fluorescence genotyping of factor V Leiden during rapid-cycle PCR. Clin Chem 1997, 43:2262-2267.
- Howell WM, Jobs M, Brookes AJ: iFRET: an improved fluorescence system for DNA-melting analysis. Genome Res 2002, 12:1401-1407.
- Zhang Y, Zhang D, Li W, Chen J, Peng Y, Cao W: A novel real-time quantitative PCR method using attached universal template probe. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:e123.
- Li J, Wang F, Mamon H, Kulke MH, Harris L, Maher E: Antiprimer quenching-based real-time PCR and its application to the analysis of clinical cancer samples. *Clin Chem* 2006, 52:624-633.
- 22. Stryer L, Haugland RP: Energy transfer: a spectroscopic ruler. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1967, 58:719-726.
- Lee LG, Connell CR, Bloch W: Allelic discrimination by nicktranslation PCR with fluorogenic probes. Nucleic Acids Res 1993, 21:3761-3766.
- 24. Livak KJ, Flood SJ, Marmaro J, Giusti W, Deetz K: Oligonucleotides with fluorescent dyes at opposite ends provide a quenched probe system useful for detecting PCR product and nucleic acid hybridization. PCR Methods Appl 1995, 4:357-362.
- Todd ÁV, Fuery CJ, Impey HL, Applegate TL, Haughton MA: DzyNA-PCR: Use of DNAzymes to detect and quantify nucleic acid sequences in a real-time fluorescent format. *Clin Chem* 2000, 46:625-630.
- 26. Kong D, Huang A, Zhang X, Yang W, Shen H, Mi H: **Duplex probes:** a new approach for the detection of specific nucleic acids in homogenous assays. Anal Chim Acta 2003, **491:**135-143.
- Arumuganathan K, Earle ED: Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1991, 9:211-215.
- Yang L, Pan A, Zhang K, Guo J, Yin C, Chen J, Zhang DB: Identification and quantification of three genetically modified insect resistant cotton lines using conventional and TaqMan realtime polymerase chain reaction methods. J Agric Food Chem 2005, 53:6222-6229.
- Yang WC, Ye D, Xu J, Sundaresan V: The SPOROCYTELESS gene of Arabidopsis is required for initiation of sporogenesis and encodes a novel nuclear protein. Genes Dev 1999, 13:2108-2017.
- Yang SL, Xie LF, Mao HZ, Puah CS, Yang WC, Jiang L: Tapetum determinant I is required for cell specialization in the Arabidopsis anther. *Plant Cell* 2003, 15:2792-2804.
- Zhang W, Sun Y, Timofejeva L, Chen C, Grossniklaus U, Ma H: Regulation of Arabidopsis tapetum development and function by DYSFUNCTIONAL TAPETUMI (DYTI) encoding a putative bHLH transcription factor. Development 2006, 133:3085-3095.
- Sorensen AM, Kröber S, Unte US, Huijser P, Dekker K, Saedler H: The Arabidopsis ABORTED MICROSPORES (AMS) gene encodes a MYC class transcription factor. *Plant J* 2003, 33:413-423.
- 33. Zhao DZ, Wang GF, Speal B, Ma H: The excess microsporocytes I gene encodes a putative leucine-rich repeat receptor protein kinase that controls somatic and reproductive cell fates in the Arabidopsis anther. Genes Dev 2002, 16:2021-2031.
- Wilson ZA, Morroll SM, Dawson J, Swarup R, Tighe PJ: The Arabidopsis MALE STERILITYI (MSI) gene is a transcriptional regulator of male gametogenesis, with homology to the PHD-finger family of transcription factors. *Plant J* 2001, 28:27-39.
- 35. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 2001, 25:402-408.
- Wijeratne AJ, Zhang W, Sun Y, Liu W, Albert R, Zheng Z, Oppenheimer DG, Zhao D, Ma H: Differential gene expression in Arabidopsis wild-type and mutant anthers: insights into anther cell differentiation and regulatory networks. *Plant J* 2007, 52:14-29.