

**Double-stranded RNA as a pathogenic agent in a
Drosophila model of dominant expanded repeat diseases**

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
November 2012

Saumya Erandathi Samaraweera, BSc. (Hons.)

School of Molecular and Biomedical Science, Discipline of Genetics
The University of Adelaide

Table of contents

Index of Figures and Tables	VII
Declaration	XI
Acknowledgements	XIII
Abbreviations	XV
Nomenclature	XVIII
Abstract	XIX
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Repeat expansion diseases.....	1
1.2 Translated repeat diseases	2
1.2.1 Polyglutamine diseases	2
1.2.2 Pathogenesis of polyglutamine diseases	6
1.3 Untranslated repeat diseases	7
1.3.1 Dominantly inherited untranslated repeat diseases	7
1.4 RNA as a common pathogenic agent	12
1.4.1 RNA structure of expanded repeats	12
1.4.2 The role of RNA binding proteins	13
1.5 Dicer mediated RNA processing.....	14
1.6 Immunity and expanded repeat diseases	16
1.7 Bi-directional transcription	16
1.8 <i>Drosophila</i> as a model organism for neurodegenerative diseases	20
1.9 A <i>Drosophila</i> expanded repeat disease model	21
1.10 A model for bi-directional transcription of expanded repeats.....	24
CHAPTER 2: Materials and Methods	27
2.1 Materials	27
2.2 Methods.....	36
CHAPTER 3: Characterisation of double-stranded RNA as a pathogenic agent in <i>Drosophila</i>	47
3.1 Expanded repeat-containing double-stranded RNA is toxic in <i>Drosophila</i>	49
3.2 Double-stranded RNA causes an age-dependent motor defect in <i>Drosophila</i> .	52
3.3 Characterisation of double-stranded RNA mediated cell death	56
3.4 Autophagy restricts double-stranded RNA toxicity.....	62
3.5 Chapter discussion	64

CHAPTER 4: The role of small RNA pathways in double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	67
4.1 Double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity is dependent on Dicer-2.....	70
4.2 Double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity is dependent on Argonaute-2 activity	75
4.3 R2D2 plays a role in double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	77
4.4 Dicer-1 and Loquacious have opposing effects on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity.....	80
4.5 Argonaute-1 is not rate-limiting for double-stranded RNA mediated pathogenesis	82
4.6 Chapter discussion	84
CHAPTER 5: Determining the small RNA signature and its role in double-stranded RNA induced toxicity	87
5.1 Complementary expanded repeat RNA is processed to CAG 21mer	89
5.2 Identification of potential downstream targets of repeat-derived small RNAs..	96
5.3 Investigating the possible targeting of repeat-containing transcripts by repeat-derived small RNA.....	98
5.4. Investigating the pathogenic effect of repeat-derived small RNA on downstream target genes	104
5.5 Expression of double-stranded RNA alters the endogenous miRNA profile ..	110
5.6 Investigating the effect of miRNA changes on double-stranded RNA mediated pathogenesis in <i>Drosophila</i>	114
5.7 The role of potential miRNA target proteins in double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	117
5.8 Preliminary small RNA profiling of Huntington's disease (HD) patient samples	119
5.9 Chapter discussion	123
CHAPTER 6: Identifying novel pathways of pathogenesis in dominant expanded repeat diseases by microarray analysis	127
6.1 Determine transcriptional changes in neuronal cells expressing double-stranded RNA.....	128
6.2 Significant transcriptional changes caused by double-stranded RNA.....	129
6.3 Genetic verification of significantly changed candidates.....	133
6.4 Significant transcriptional changes of repeat-containing genes	136
6.5 Chapter discussion	139

CHAPTER 7: Immune activation in double-stranded RNA induced toxicity	141
7.1 The Toll pathway is required for double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	143
7.2 Pharmacological alteration of the Toll pathway effects double-stranded RNA toxicity	147
7.3 Glial expression of double-stranded RNA is toxic.....	151
7.4 Chapter discussion	153
CHAPTER 8: Discussion.....	155
8.1 Summary of results.....	155
8.2 Implications for dominant expanded repeat diseases.....	157
8.3 Limitations of this study	158
8.4 Future directions	159
Appendices.....	161
Appendix A	161
Appendix B	163
Appendix C	168
Appendix D	199
References.....	207

Index of Figures and Tables

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of expanded repeat diseases.....	2
Table 1.1: Translated repeat diseases	3
Table 1.2: Dominantly inherited untranslated repeat diseases.....	8
Figure 1.2: Predicted secondary structure of expanded CNG repeat RNA	13
Figure 1.3: Dicer mediated RNA processing and gene regulation in <i>Drosophila</i>	15
Figure 1.4: Bi-directional transcription	17
Figure 1.5: Bi-directional transcription at the SCA8 locus.	18
Figure 1.6: Expression of translated and untranslated expanded repeat sequences	23
Figure 1.7: Ubiquitous expression of rCAG _{~100} or rCUG _{~100} causes a tergite phenotype	23
Figure 1.8: GAL4/UAS expression of repeat constructs.....	24

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1: Expression of double-stranded RNA is toxic in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye	50
Figure 3.2: Range of double-stranded RNA toxicity with independent rCAG.rCUG _{~100} lines.....	51
Figure 3.3: Double-stranded RNA toxicity is length dependent.....	51
Figure 3.4: qRT-PCR levels of repeat transcript in rCAG.rCUG _{~50} lines	52
Figure 3.5: Apparatus for climbing assays	53
Figure 3.6: Expression of double-stranded RNA results in age-dependent neuronal pathogenesis.....	54
Table 3.1: Average number of flies that 'fail to climb' with repeat expression using the <i>elavII</i> -GAL4 driver.	55
Table 3.2: Average number of flies that 'fail to climb' with repeat expression using the <i>elav</i> ^{C155} -GAL4 driver.	56
Figure 3.7: Expression of double-stranded RNA caused age-dependent neuronal pathogenesis with an independent neuronal driver.....	56
Figure 3.8: Caspase dependent cell death in <i>Drosophila</i>	57
Figure 3.9: Loss of Dronc has no effect on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity.	58
Figure 3.10: Drice contributes to double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	59

Figure 3.11: DIAP1 has an effect on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity of milder lines.....	60
Figure 3.12: P35 has different effects on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity suggesting a toxicity threshold.	61
Figure 3.13: Autophagy restricts double-stranded RNA toxicity.....	63

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1: Drosophila small RNA biogenesis and RNA interference pathways	68
Figure 4.2: Double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity is dependent on Dicer-2 levels.	71
Figure 4.3: Heterozygous loss of Dicer-2 restores internal patterning of the eye.....	72
Figure 4.4: Dicer-2 dependent toxicity in weak rCAG.rCUG ₋₁₀₀ lines	73
Figure 4.5: Dicer-2 mediated toxicity of double-stranded RNA is length dependent .	74
Figure 4.6: Over-expression of Dicer-2 has no effect on single-stranded RNA expressing eyes.....	75
Figure 4.7: Argonaute-2 is a rate-limiting factor in double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity.....	76
Figure 4.8: R2D2 plays a significant role in double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity	78
Figure 4.9: Dicer-1 and Loquacious have opposite effects on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity.	81
Figure 4.10: Argonaute-1 has no effect on double-stranded RNA mediated toxicity.	83

Chapter 5

Table 5.1: Trinucleotide repeat reads observed in the small RNA fractions of <i>Drosophila</i> deep sequencing experiments	90
Table 5.2: Tandem CAG and CTG repeat reads- <i>Drosophila</i> Experiment 1	92
Table 5.3: Tandem CAG repeat reads- <i>Drosophila</i> Experiment 2.....	94
Table 5.4: Summary of CAG and CTG repeat reads in <i>Drosophila</i> experiments	96
Table 5.5: Genes identified by bioinformatics to containing eight or more tandem CUG or CAG repeats	98
Table 5.6: Expression levels of CUG repeat containing transcripts	100
Table 5.7: Expression levels of CAG repeat containing transcripts.....	101
Table 5.8: Significantly altered genes containing CAG repeats identified by microarray analysis	103
Table 5.9: Expression levels of CAG repeat containing transcripts identified by microarray analyses.....	103

Figure 5.1: RNAi knockdown of tandem CUG-repeat containing genes has little effect on double-stranded RNA toxicity.....	105
Figure 5.2: RNAi knockdown of tandem CAG-repeat containing genes.....	107
Figure 5.3: RNAi knockdown of tandem CAG-repeat containing genes significantly altered in microarray analysis.....	108
Table 5.10: List of <i>Drosophila</i> miRNAs showing significant changes	112
Table 5.11: List of <i>Drosophila</i> miRNAs showing significant changes	113
Figure 5.4: Altered miRNAs have a minimal effect on double-stranded RNA toxicity	116
Table 5.12: Genes targeted by at least two of the altered miRNAs.....	118
Figure 5.5: Altered miRNA targets have a minimal effect on double-stranded RNA toxicity	119
Table 5.13: Summary of CAG or CTG repeat reads in human patient samples.....	120
Table 5.14: List of human miRNAs showing significant changes	122

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1: qRT-PCR levels of repeat transcripts in rCAG.rCUG _{~100} samples used in the microarray	129
Figure 6.2: Comparison of microarray data	129
Figure 6.3: Gene ontology analysis of significantly altered genes in flies expressing dsRNA pan-neuronally	130
Table 6.1: Top 10 significantly increased genes	131
Table 6.2: Top 10 significantly decreased genes	132
Figure 6.4: RNAi knockdown of genes showing a significant increase in expression in rCAG.rCUG _{~100} flies.....	134
Figure 6.5: RNAi knockdown of genes showing a significant decrease in expression in rCAG.rCUG _{~100} flies	135
Table 6.3: Significantly altered genes containing a tandem repeat tract	137

Chapter 7

Figure 7.1: Schematic representation of the <i>Drosophila</i> Toll pathway.	143
Figure 7.2: qRT-PCR levels of Drosomycin	144
Figure 7.3: Knockdown of <i>Drosophila</i> Toll receptors reduces double-stranded RNA toxicity.	145
Figure 7.4: Double-stranded RNA toxicity is dependent on the Toll pathway.....	146

Figure 7.5: Exposure to pharmaceutical compounds has no effect on the viability of rCAG.rCUG _{~100} flies.....	149
Figure 7.6: Typical phenotypes observed due to supplementation with pharmaceutical compounds.	149
Figure 7.7: Proportion of double-stranded RNA expressing flies showing a phenotype in addition to a rough eye following exposure to pharmaceutical compounds.....	150
Table 7.1: Average number of flies that ‘fail to climb’ with repeat expression using the <i>repo-GAL4</i> driver.	152
Figure 7.8: Expression of double-stranded RNA in glial cells results in a neurodegenerative phenotype	152

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Saumya Samaraweera and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Saumya Samaraweera

Date

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Rob Richards, for advice and support throughout this project. I am also extremely grateful to my co-supervisor Louise O'Keefe, for excellent mentorship, expert advice, constant encouragement and confidence in me. Thank you to all the members of the Richards lab, past and present, and members of the Discipline of Genetics, for support during this project and for creating an excellent work environment. Special thanks to Clare van Eyk and Amanda Choo for their friendship and good humour throughout the years.

I also wish to thank Lisa Nicholas for her friendship and understanding, as well as the many discussions over coffee, both scientific and otherwise. To Nivasinee Sreetharan and Paul Cavuoto, thank you for being my first port of call, and for the selfless effort of proofing this thesis. Finally I am grateful to my family for their love and encouragement and for teaching me to strive to be the best that I can.

Abbreviations

°C: degrees Celsius

µg: microgram

µl: microlitre

µm: micrometre

18W: 18-wheeler

ADAR: Adenosine deaminase acting on RNA

AGO: Argonaute

ALS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

AR: Androgen receptor

Ark: Apaf1-related-killer

Atg: autophagy-specific gene

ATN: Atrophin

Atx: Ataxin (*Drosophila*)

ATXN: Ataxin

BEAN: brain expressed, associated with Nedd4

cact: cactus

c-cup: calcutta cup

cDNA: complementary DNA

CLCN-1: Chloride channel 1

cpo: couch potato

CTCF: CCCTC-binding factor

CUG-BP: CUG binding protein

Dcr: Dicer

DEPC: diethyl pyrocarbonate

DIAP: *Drosophila* inhibitor of apoptosis

Dif: dorsal-related immunity factor

dl: dorsal

DM: Myotonic dystrophy

DMPK: Dystrophia myotonica protein kinase

dnc: dunce

DRPLA: Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy

Dscam: Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule

dsRNA: double-stranded RNA
EDTA: ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
eIF2: eukaryotic initiation factor 2
elav: embryonic lethal abnormal vision
endo-siRNA: endogenous siRNA
exo-siRNA: exogenous siRNA
FMR1: Fragile X mental retardation 1
FRAXE: Fragile XE syndrome
FTD: Frontotemporal dementia
FXS: Fragile X syndrome
FXTAS: Fragile X tremor-ataxia syndrome
GABA: gamma-aminobutyric acid
Gen: XPG-like endonuclease
GFP: green fluorescence protein
GluR: glutamate receptor
Glut1: glucose transporter-1
GMR: glass multimer reporter
GSK3: Glycogen synthase kinase 3
HD: Huntington's disease
HDL2: Huntington's disease-like-2
hid: head involusion factor
hnRNP: Heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein
HTT: Huntingtin
IL: Interleukin
IM: immune-induced molecule
IR: Insulin receptor
jagn: jagunal
KLHL1: Kelch-like 1
LB: Luria broth
Loqs: Loquacious
M: molar
MBNL: Muscleblind-like
miRNA: micro-RNA
mRNA: messenger RNA
mg: milligram

ml: millilitre
mM: millimolar
mm: millimetre
ng: nanogram
nM: nanomolar
MQ: MilliQ™ purified water
NAT: natural antisense transcript
Nc: Nedd-like caspase
ORF: open reading frame
pax: paxillin
PKR: RNA regulated protein kinase
pll: pelle
pmol: picomole
PP2R2B: PP2A regulatory subunit 2B
pre-miR: precursor miRNA
Proc R: Proctolin receptor
pros: prospero
pum: pumilio
qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
rcf: relative centrifugal force
rdgA: retinal degeneration A
rdx: roadkill
repo: reversed polarity
RISC: RNA-induced silencing complex
RNAi: RNA interference
Rp49: Ribosomal protein 49
rpr: reaper
RT-PCR: reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
rut: rutabaga
SAP: shrimp alkaline phosphatase
SCA: Spinocerebellar ataxia
SDS: sodium dodecyl sulphate
SMBA: Spinal bulbar muscular atrophy
siRNA: small interference-RNA
spz: spaetzle

sqa: spaghetti squash activator
stau: staußen
T: thymine
TAE: tris-acetate EDTA
TBP: TATA-box binding protein
TDP43: TAR-DNA binding protein-43
TK2: thymidine kinase 2
Tl: Toll receptor
TLR: Toll-like receptor
TNR: trinucleotide repeat
UAS: upstream activation sequence
UTR: untranslated region
VGLUT1: vesicular glutamate transporter-1
w/v: weight/volume

Nomenclature

Throughout this thesis, *Drosophila* nomenclature is denoted by conventional notation as found in the *Drosophila* database, FlyBase (www.flybase.org). Genes are represented in italicised text (e.g. '*htt*') and proteins are represented in non-italicised text (e.g. 'htt').

Abstract

The expansion of tandem repeat sequences beyond a pathogenic threshold is responsible for a series of neurodegenerative diseases known as dominantly inherited expanded repeat diseases. A number of these diseases are caused by the expansion of a CAG repeat tract in the coding region of various genes and are termed polyglutamine diseases. In these cases the polyglutamine tract is thought to contribute to pathogenesis. Several other clinically indistinguishable diseases however, are caused by the expansion of various repeat sequences in untranslated regions of genes. As expanded repeat RNAs are present in each of these cases, these RNAs have been proposed as a common pathogenic agent. Increasing evidence now exists for bi-directional transcription across the expanded repeat sequence of disease genes, leading to toxicity. The products of bi-directional transcription are predicted to form complementary double-stranded RNA. This study uses a *Drosophila* model of bi-directional transcription to determine the physical properties of the RNA and the downstream pathways that could contribute to pathogenesis in these diseases.

Expression of complementary repeat sequences predicted to form double-stranded RNA was toxic in this model and caused age-dependent neurodegeneration. This toxicity was dependent on several components of the RNA biogenesis pathway, including Dicer-2. The abundance of rCAG 21mer RNA and an altered miRNA profile were identified as biomarkers of this pathway. Microarray analysis identified genes involved in redox regulation, immune activation, cellular signalling and neurotransmission as novel candidates of pathogenesis. Furthermore, activation and signalling via the Toll pathway was required for pathogenesis indicating that an elevated immune response contributes to toxicity. Glial expression of double-stranded RNA caused severe neurodegeneration suggestive of non-autonomous toxicity as the cause of neuronal dysfunction. The identification of pathogenic pathways and molecular biomarkers are a critical step in developing therapeutic interventions for these diseases.