Fault and fracture reactivation in the Penola Trough, Otway Basin

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

Bradley Thomas Grosser November 2012



ABSTRACT

The Penola Trough, onshore Otway Basin, is a failed rift structure trending NW-SE on the South Australian-Victorian border. Following its formation during the Late Jurassic, the trough has been subjected to alternating periods of extension and compression, leading to the reactivation under compression of many normal faults associated with the trough's formation during the rifting of Australia and Antarctica. Deposition of carbonaceous shales, fluviatile and lacustrine clastics and coals formed a hydrocarbon system, which has accounted for several successful plays to date. Several palaeohydrocarbon columns have also been drilled, with the absence of any oil or gas attributed to the reactivation of normal faults breaking the sealing mechanism present and allowing trapped hydrocarbons to migrate elsewhere. This project aimed to locate the fault segments that were most likely to dilate, slip and fracture and consequently the areas where hydrocarbons were unlikely to remain trapped. In contrast to this, geothermal exploration is targeted on the fault segments where reactivation is prone. Seismic interpretation and subsequent fault modelling was undertaken, and stress profiles containing stress and lithology data were applied to the interpreted faults, revealing reactivation likelihoods. Fault segments striking NW-SE at shallow depths (<2000m deep) were found to be the most prone to dilation. Shallow fault segments were also found most likely to fracture and slip. This correlates with current data showing known economic gas accumulations to be dominant on E-W trending fault traps. Carbon dioxide sequestration efforts would also be most successful on these sealing traps, while geothermal energy plays should target NW-SE striking faults and their associated fracture networks for optimal permeability.

KEYWORDS

Otway Basin, in-situ stress, fault reactivation, Penola Trough, fault, seismic

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Abstract	1
Keywords	1
List of Figures and Tables	4
Introduction	7
Geological Setting/Background	12
Geological History	12
Late Jurassic Graben Formation	12
Late Jurassic - Early Cretaceous Rifting, Barremian Uplift	12
Mid Cretaceous Inversion.	13
Late Cretaceous Extension and Sag.	13
Early Eocene Inversion	14
Eocene-Miocene Sag	14
Oligocene-Recent Inversion	15
Geomechanical Background	15
Cementation	16
Lithologies and Juxtaposition	16
Pore Pressures	17
Stresses and Faults	18
Stress Orientations	18
Stress Magnitudes	21
Fault Activation and Reactivation	22
Seismic Interpretation Methods	23
Structural Geometries from Seismic Interpretation.	24
Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D Seismic Interpretation	24
Fault Reactivation Modelling	27
Fault Reactivation Model Results	30
Present-Day Stress Profile Models	30
Dilation Tendency Models	31
Fracture Stability Models	32
Slip Tendency Models	33
Palaeostress Models	33
Dilation Tendency Palaeo-Models	33

Fracture Stability Palaeo-Models	
Slip Tendency Palaeo-Models	34
Discussion	41
Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D Seismic Interpretation	41
3D Fault Reactivation Modelling	45
Relevance to hydrocarbon exploration	48
Relevance to geothermal exploration	51
Relevance to carbon dioxide sequestration	53
Data Limitations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Potential Advances	54
Conclusions	55
Acknowledgments	56
References	56
Appendix A: Extended Methods	62
Gathering data	62
Importing SEG-Y	62
Interpreting the survey	63
Interpreting using well data	64
Using TrapTester	65

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Outline of the western portion of the Otway Basin, showing the location and
trend of the main structural features. The Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey used
for the study is included as an enlargement, along with wells within and in close
proximity to the survey. Wells marked with a red circle indicate their use in the depth
conversion and formation interpretation processes in Badleys TrapTester. The study
area is outlined by the dashed red polygon within the inset map. (ST. C = St. Claire,
BPT = Beachport)8
Figure 2. Chronostratigraphy and summary of tectonic events of the Penola Trough,
Otway Basin (after Lyon et al. 2005, modified from Lovibond et al. 1993)
Figure 3: Cross-section of a borehole illustrating the position of borehole breakout and
DITFs relative to in-situ horizontal maximum stress (σ_H) in a vertical wellbore (after
Reynolds and Hillis (2000)).
Figure 4. a) Vertical seismic time section without structural interpretation of the NE
corner of the reprocessed Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey. b) Vertical seismic
time section showing an interpretation of the geometry of the main normal faults used
for 3D stress-profile modelling. From L-R the faults are herein termed Ladbroke Grove
Fault, NE Fault 1, Pyrus Fault, NE Fault 2, and NE Fault 3. c) Vertical seismic time
section showing a full interpretation of faults within the NE Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D
seismic survey, Penola Trough. The listric nature of the faults is exaggerated due to the
effects of interpretation in the time domain. See Figure 5 for line location
Figure 5. Time slice at 1.78 seconds, showing the focus of interpretation on the
northeast section of the survey. The "+" symbols indicate fault cuts at 1.78 seconds. The
green circles show borehole locations. The red and blue line shows the location of the
vertical section in Figure 4. Intersections with the 5 modelled faults (Figure 4b) are
indicated by colour coded Xs
Figure 6. Mohr circle demonstrating the calculations involved with the three different
stress attributes modelled in Trap Tester; Dilation Tendency, Fracture Stability and Slip
Tendency. T represents shear stress, while σ represents normal stress. The white circle
is an example pole to a fault plane. Green arrows indicate the current normal stress
value, and the double headed green arrows show the ratio between the normal stress
value and the maximum principal stress (σ_1) and the ratio between σ_1 and the minimum
principal stress (σ_3), used to determine dilation tendency. The blue arrow shows the
Griffith-Coulomb failure criterion, which indicates the change from current pore
pressure (ΔPp) at the pole to the plane needed to cause shear or extensional failure. Pink
arrows show the values of shear and normal stresses exerted at that fault location, as
well as the current slip tendency (stable) and the slip tendency at which beyond the pole
would be unstable (σ_2 represents the intermediate principal stress) (Figure after
Cosgrove (1998))
Figure 7: Comparison of present-day fracture stabilities of unfaulted reservoir rock at
different depths. Top stereogram and Mohr Circle Diagram show fracture stabilities at
460m depth. Bottom stereogram and Mohr Circle Diagram show fracture stabilities at
2500m depth. SHmin and SHmax show the orientations of the minimum and maximum
horizontal stresses respectively. The colour scale is showing the change in pore pressure

(in megapascals, MPa) needed to move the fault plane into failure. The Y axis of the
Mohr Circle Diagrams shows the shear stress, T
Figure 8. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day unfaulted cataclasite rock
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 9. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day unfaulted reservoir rock
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 10. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day resheared cataclasite rock
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 11. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day resheared reservoir rock
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 12. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of resheared reservoir rock from 11-6Ma
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 13. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of unfaulted reservoir rock from 23-11Ma
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres
Figure 14. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of unfaulted reservoir rock from 55Ma
coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on
modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and
footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and
footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres

Figure 15: Time slice comparisons of faults at 0.45 (top) and 1.75 (bottom) seconds,
showing a focus on fault interpretation within the area chosen for modelling. Minor
faults at 1.75 seconds appear to be preferentially oriented E-W, while at 0.45 seconds
there is a higher likelihood of faults striking NW-SE as indicated
Figure 16. Time slice at 1.78 seconds showing the location of known past and present
hydrocarbon accumulations within the modelled area in relation to the interpreted faults
(+) and boreholes (green circles). Red areas indicate commercial accumulations while
yellow and green polygons represent breached and partially breached palaeocolumns
respectively
Figure 17. Horizontal slices at top Crayfish (top slice) and top Pretty Hill (bottom slice)
levels showing the interpreted fault cuts (+) within the survey area, overlain with a map
of heat flow (map units in degrees Celsius). Contours are at 100 degrees
Table 1: Values used in the formation of stress attribute displays for each of the seven
stress profiles (far-left column). Palaeostress models show age brackets in millions of
years ago (Ma). σH , σV and σh represent the maximum horizontal, vertical and
minimum horizontal stresses respectively, in megapascals per kilometre (MPa/km).
(Jones et al. 2000, Dewhurst & Jones 2002, Dewhurst et al. 2002, Lyon et al. 2005b,
Muller et al. 2012)
Table 2. Maturity modelling of areas in proximity to the Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D
seismic survey, showing the maturities as classified by the depth in metres below kelly-
bushing (m KM) and maximum vitrinite reflectance (R _v max). The Sawpit area is
located 10km to the north of the modelled faults (from Hill and Boult (2002a)) 49