
**Characterisation of a Novel Family of Eukaryotic
Ammonium Transport Proteins**

A thesis submitted for the Degree of the Doctor of Philosophy

at

The University of Adelaide



Faculty of Sciences

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

Submitted by

Danielle Mazurkiewicz

December 2013

Table of Contents

I. List of Figures	8
II. List of Tables.....	12
III. Abbreviations	13
VI. Abstract.....	17
IV. Declaration	19
V. Acknowledgements	20
Chapter 1 Literature Review.....	1-22
1.1 Reduced nitrogen sources available for plant consumption	1-22
1.2. Legume-rhizobia N ₂ -fixing symbiosis: An alternative nitrogen resource.....	1-23
1.3. Nodulation: The rhizobial infection of legumes	1-24
1.4. Symbiosome: The basic unit of N ₂ -fixation	1-25
1.5. Nutrients exchange between the symbionts is coordinated by the symbiosome membrane	1-25
1.6. An H ⁺ -ATPase associated with the SM drives the secondary transport of nutrients between the symbionts	1-26
1.7. Evidence of an ammonium channel in the SM	1-26
1.8. Aim, significance and contribution of the project to the discipline	1-28
Chapter 2 Functional analysis of ScAMF1, a novel cation transport protein in yeast	2-29
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-29
2.1.1 Legume symbiotic N ₂ -fixation	2-29
2.1.2 The MEP/AMT/Rh superfamily of NH ₄ ⁺ transport proteins.....	2-30
2.1.3 Genetic basis of the NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficiency in the 26972c yeast strain	2-30
2.1.4 Initial characterisation of GmSAT1 in yeast.....	2-31
2.1.5 <i>In planta</i> assessment of GmSAT1 activity	2-32
2.1.6 Global genetic events regulated by GmSAT1 activity in yeast.....	2-33
2.2 METHODS AND MATERIALS	2-35

2.2.1 Cloning <i>ScAMF1</i> from the <i>BG1805</i> yeast expression vector	2-35
2.2.2 The synthesis of the <i>mGFP5::ScAMF1</i> and <i>ScAMF1::mGFP5</i> fusion constructs	2-35
2.2.3 Gateway® cloning.....	2-36
2.2.4 Selection of recombinant plasmids	2-36
2.2.5 DNA sequencing.....	2-37
2.2.6 Modified lithium acetate yeast transformation	2-37
2.2.7 Yeast starter culture preparation	2-38
2.2.8 Yeast phenotypic analysis	2-39
2.2.9 Visualisation of <i>ScAMF1</i> fusion proteins in yeast.....	2-39
2.2.10 Generation of the <i>26972c:Δamf1</i> mutant yeast strain.....	2-40
2.2.11 GmSAT1 binding to the predicted promoter region of <i>ScAMF1</i>	2-41
2.2.11.1 Expression and purification of GmSAT1.....	2-41
2.2.11.2 Electro Mobility Shift Assay	2-41
2.2.12 Transcriptional analysis of <i>26972c</i> yeast overexpressing <i>GmSAT1</i> mutants	2-42
2.2.12.1 Yeast growth conditions for quantitative PCR analysis.....	2-42
2.2.12.2 Hot acid-phenol RNA extraction from yeast cultures	2-42
2.2.12.3 RNA clean up.....	2-43
2.2.12.4 Assessment of qPCR primer efficiency	2-43
2.2.12.5 Quantitative PCR analysis	2-44
2.2.13 ¹⁴ C-Methylammonium yeast flux experiments.....	2-44
2.2.14 Stopped flow spectrophotometry	2-45
2.3 RESULTS	2-47
2.3.1 Identification of <i>ScAMF1</i> as a transcriptional target of the soybean symbiosome bHLH transcription factor GmSAT1	2-47
2.3.2 GmSAT1 regulates the expression of a novel major facilitator protein in yeast..	2-48
2.3.2.1 Preliminary analysis of <i>ScAMF1</i> activity in the yeast mutant yeast strains 26972c and 31019b	2-49
2.3.3 Expression of <i>MEP</i> genes in response to GmSAT1 heterologous activity in yeast	2-51
2.3.4 Methylammonium phenotypes established by GmSAT1 activity in yeast are dependent on <i>ScAMF1</i> expression.....	2-52
2.3.5 Reverse genetics reveals that <i>ScAMF1</i> is responsible for methylammonium phenotypes.....	2-52
2.3.6 <i>ScAMF1</i> is localised to the plasma membrane in yeast.....	2-53
2.4 DISCUSSION	2-91

2.4.1 Transcriptional studies of GmSAT1 activity in yeast has lead to the identification of a new class of NH ₄ ⁺ transport protein.....	2-91
2.4.2 The <u>M</u> ajor <u>F</u> acilitator <u>S</u> uperfamily.....	2-92
2.4.3 ScAMF1 mediates the low-affinity transport of MA.....	2-93
2.4.4 ScAMF1 mediates the low-affinity transport of NH ₄ ⁺	2-93
2.4.3 GmSAT1 influences the MEP system to complement NH ₄ ⁺ transport in yeast by an unidentified mechanism	2-94
2.4.4 ScAMF1 may facilitate the transport of multiple substrates	2-95
2.4.5 Homologs of ScAMF1 exists in soybean	2-96

Chapter 3 Functional analysis of ScAMF1 in the *Xenopus laevis* oocyte expression

system.....	3-97
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	3-97
3.1.1 Heterologous expression of transmembrane transport proteins in the <i>Xenopus laevis</i> oocyte expression system.....	3-97
3.1.2 Electrical signatures of GmSAT1 activated NH ₄ ⁺ channel(s) in yeast.....	3-98
3.1.3 Detection of NH ₄ ⁺ channel activity in legume SM	3-98
3.1.4 ScAMF1 activity and GmSAT1 regulated NH ₄ ⁺ transport.....	3-99
3.1.5 Multi-drug efflux proteins and NH ₄ ⁺ transport in yeast.....	3-100
3.2 METHODS AND MATERIALS	3-101
3.2.1 Cloning of <i>ScAMF1</i> into <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte expression vector <i>pGEMHE-DEST</i>	3-101
3.2.2 Synthesis of cRNA and injection into <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes	3-101
3.2.3 Preparing injection pipettes and electrodes	3-102
3.2.4 Harvesting oocytes for electrophysiology.....	3-102
3.2.5 <i>X. laevis</i> Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp analysis.....	3-103
3.2.6 Electropysiology data analysis.....	3-104
3.2.7 <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte ¹⁴ C-MA uptake analysis	3-104
3.3 RESULTS	3-105
3.3.1 ¹⁴ C-MA accumulation was enhanced into <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes injected with <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA	3-105
3.3.2 Development of an electrophysiological screen to assess the putative NH ₄ ⁺ current activity of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA	3-105
3.3.2.1 Inward currents catalysed by ScAMF1 activity in <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes were inhibited by the presence of NH ₄ ⁺ and MA in the voltage-clamping buffer	3-106
3.3.2.2 Effect of Na ⁺ and K ⁺ on the current activity of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes injected with <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA.....	3-106

3.3.2.3 ScAMF1 mediated NH ₄ ⁺ currents and anion coupling.....	3-107
3.3.2.4 Relationship between P _i and NH ₄ ⁺ flux mediated by ScAMF1-oocytes.....	3-108
3.3.2.4.1 Effect of P _i on the mortality of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA...3-	108
3.3.3 Electrophysical profile of NH ₄ ⁺ currents facilitated by ScAMF1.....	3-108
3.3.3.1 Effect of Ca ²⁺ on ScAMF1 mediated NH ₄ ⁺ currents	3-109
3.3.3.2 The effect of P _i gradient across the oocyte plasma membrane on ScAMF1 catalysed NH ₄ ⁺ currents.....	3-109
3.3.3.3 Conductance of ScAMF1 mediated currents in <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes as a function of NH ₄ ⁺ concentration.....	3-111
3.3.4 Assessment of carbohydrate catalysed electrochemical signatures in <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA injected into <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes.....	3-111
3.4 DISCUSSION	3-137
3.4.1 ScAMF1 activity in <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes demonstrates monovalent cation non- selectivity.....	3-137
3.4.2 ScAMF1 is a member of a novel class of transport proteins, capable of facilitating low-affinity NH ₄ ⁺ transport in <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes	3-137
3.4.3 The relationship between P _i and NH ₄ ⁺ transport through ScAMF1	3-138
3.4.4 Potential endogenous substrates of AMF1 proteins.....	3-140
 Chapter 4 Functional analysis of soybean and <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 homologs in yeast and <i>Xenopus laevis</i> oocyte expression systems.....	 4-141
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	4-141
4.1.1 Detection of NH ₄ ⁺ channel activity in the legume symbiotic membrane.....	4-141
4.1.2 Legume major facilitator proteins involved in the transport of nutrients between symbionts	4-142
4.1.3 Soybean AMF1 orthologs.....	4-142
4.1.4 <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 orthologs	4-143
4.1.5 NH ₄ ⁺ transport mechanisms operating in plants	4-144
4.2 METHODS AND MATERIALS	4-146
4.2.1 Functional analysis of GmAMF1;3	4-146
4.2.1.1 Soybean growth and cDNA library synthesis.....	4-146
4.2.1.2 Cloning <i>GmAMF1;3</i> CDS	4-146
4.2.2 Functional analysis of AtAMF1;1 and AtAMF1;2	4-147
4.2.2.1 <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> growth and cDNA library synthesis.....	4-147
4.2.2.2 Cloning <i>Arabidopsis</i> <i>AMF1</i> homologs.....	4-148

4.2.3 Functional characterisation of soybean and <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 homologs in yeast.	4-149
4.2.3.1 Subcloning <i>AMF1</i> homologs into <i>pYES3-DEST</i> yeast expression vector	4-149
4.2.3.2 Transformation of <i>pYES3-GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>pYES3-AtAMF1;1</i> and <i>pYES3-AtAMF1;2</i> into yeast.	4-149
4.2.3.3 Phenotypic analysis of AMF1 homologs in yeast.	4-149
4.2.3.4 ¹⁴ C-methylammonium yeast flux experiments.	4-150
4.2.3.5 Stopped flow spectrophotometry	4-150
4.2.4 <i>In planta</i> analysis	4-150
4.2.4.1 Localisation of GmAMF1;3 in onion epidermal cells	4-150
4.2.4.2 Localisation of AtAMF1;1 and AtAMF1;2 in onion epidermis	4-151
4.2.4.2.1 Ligation of <i>AtAMF1;1</i> and <i>AtAMF1;2</i> into the <i>pAVA554</i> plasmid.	4-151
4.2.3 <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte experiments	4-153
4.2.3.1 Subcloning <i>GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> and <i>AtAMF1;2</i> into the <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte expression vector <i>pGEMHE-DEST</i>	4-153
4.2.3.2 Synthesis of <i>GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> and <i>AtAMF1;2</i> cRNA and injection into <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes	4-153
4.2.3.3 <i>X. laevis</i> electrophysiology	4-154
4.2.3.5 Bioinformatics	4-154
4.3 RESULTS	4-156
4.3.1 Cloning of <i>GmAMF1;3</i>	4-156
4.3.2 Soybean and <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 family	4-156
4.3.3 Cellular localisation of GmAMF1;3 protein in onion epidermal cells.	4-157
4.3.4 Preliminary analysis of NH ₄ ⁺ transport of GmAMF1;3 in yeast.	4-158
4.3.5 Heterologous expression of <i>GmAMF1;3</i> in the <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte expression system.	4-158
4.3.6 The NH ₄ ⁺ -stimulated currents in <i>GmAMF1;3</i> cRNA injected oocytes.	4-159
4.3.6.1 External Ca ²⁺ and P _i on NH ₄ ⁺ currents in oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> cRNA.	4-159
4.3.7 Identification and cloning of <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 homologs	4-161
4.3.8 Cellular localisation of AtAMF1;1 and AtAMF1;2 C-terminal YFP fusion proteins	4-162
4.3.9 Characterisation of <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 homologs in yeast.	4-163
4.3.10 Heterologous expression of <i>AtAMF1;1</i> and <i>AtAMF1;2</i> homologs in the <i>X. laevis</i> oocyte expression system.	4-163

4.3.11 Assessment of <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 catalysed currents in the presence or absence of various monovalent cations	4-164
4.3.12 Are other cations influencing <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 NH ₄ ⁺ inducible currents?	4-164
4.3 DISCUSSION	4-208
4.3.1 The NH ₄ ⁺ transport properties of GmAMF1;3	4-209
4.3.2 The relationship between GmAMF1;3 mediated NH ₄ ⁺ and P _i transport.....	4-209
4.3.3 Putative <i>in planta</i> function of AMF1 activity in soybean	4-210
4.3.4 The NH ₄ ⁺ transport properties of AMF1 proteins in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	4-211
4.3.5 Putative <i>in planta</i> function of <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1 homologs and future prospects ...	4-211
Chapter 5 General Discussion.....	5-213
Chapter 6 Bibliography.....	6-217

I. List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Vector map of <i>pYES3</i> -destination	2-55
Figure 2.2 Expression profile of selected genes across the genome of 26972c yeast in response to GmSAT1 activity	2-56
Figure 2.3 Quantitative PCR verification of the 5-most transcriptionally enhanced genes in microarray analysis.....	2-57
Figure 2.4 Overview of <i>ScAMF1</i> promoter sequence.....	2-59
Figure 2.5 GmSAT1 binds to <i>ScAMF1</i> promoter in vitro	2-60
Figure 2.6 Cloned <i>ScAMF1</i> CDS and translation	2-61
Figure 2.7 <i>ScAMF1</i> predicted topology.....	2-63
Figure 2.8 Alignment of <i>ScAMF1</i> with <i>ScATR1</i> and <i>ScATR2</i>	2-64
Figure 2.9 Growth of 26972c NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>GmSAT1</i> or <i>ScAMF1</i> in the presence of methylammonium.....	2-65
Figure 2.10 Growth of 31019b NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>GmSAT1</i> or <i>ScAMF1</i> in the presence of methylammonium.....	2-66
Figure 2.11 Rate of ¹⁴ C-MA influx into yeast cells over-expressing <i>GmSAT1</i> or <i>ScAMF1</i> relative to the empty <i>pYES3-DEST</i> vector	2-67
Figure 2.12 Concentration dependent uptake of ¹⁴ C-MA in 31019b cells transformed with <i>pYES3-DEST</i> or <i>pYES3-ScAMF1</i>	2-68
Figure 2.13 Influence of external pH on the sensitivity to MA of 31019b cells expressing <i>pYES3-DEST</i> or <i>pYES3-ScAMF1</i>	2-69
Figure 2.14 Influence of mutant GmSAT1 proteins on <i>ScAMF1</i> expression	2-70
Figure 2.15 Complementation of NH ₄ ⁺ transport mutant yeast strains on low NH ₄ ⁺ containing media	2-72

Figure 2.16 The swelling profile of 26972c spheroplasts expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> in response to NH_4^+ and MA.....	2-73
Figure 2.17 Competition of ^{14}C -MA influx in 31019b yeast over-expressing <i>pYES3-ScAMF1</i> or <i>pYES3-DEST</i>	2-74
Figure 2.18 Overview of <i>MEP</i> loci putative promoter sequences.....	2-75
Figure 2.19 Transcriptional verification of GmSAT1 induced changes in <i>mep1-1</i> and <i>MEP3</i> expression in 26972c cells	2-78
Figure 2.20 Transcriptional analysis of <i>ScAMF1</i> induced changes in <i>mep1-1</i> and <i>MEP3</i> expression in 26972c cells	2-79
Figure 2.21 <i>ScAMF1</i> locus replacement with <i>kanMX4</i>	2-80
Figure 2.22 PCR verification of <i>ScAMF1</i> locus replacement with <i>kanMX4</i>	2-81
Figure 2.23 Growth analysis of 26972c yeast with or without <i>ScAMF1</i> locus	2-83
Figure 2.24 Rate of ^{14}C -MA influx into 26972c yeast with or without <i>ScAMF1</i> locus	2-84
Figure 2.25 Relationship between GmSAT1 and <i>ScAMF1</i> on <i>mep1-1</i> and <i>MEP3</i> gene expression.....	2-85
Figure 2.26 Synthesis of <i>ScAMF1</i> fused with GFP constructs.....	2-86
Figure 2.27 Localisation of mGFP5 alone or fused to the N-terminus of <i>ScAMF1</i> in yeast cells.....	2-88
Figure 3.1 Vector map of <i>pGEMHE-destination</i>	3-113
Figure 3.2 Synthesised <i>ScAMF1</i> capped RNA	3-114
Figure 3.3 Concentration-dependence of ^{14}C -MA uptake into <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA.....	3-115
Figure 3.4 Current / voltage relationship of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA in the presence of Modified Barths Solution	3-116
Figure 3.5 Current / voltage relationship of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA as a function of external anions coupled with NH_4^+	3-118

Figure 3.6 Mortality of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA in different pre-incubation buffers	3-119
Figure 3.7 Effect of P_i preincubation on endogenous currents elicited in H_2O -injected <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes	3-120
Figure 3.8 The NH_4^+ elicited current through <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA in the absence of monovalent cations and low $CaCl_2$	3-122
Figure 3.9 Effect of calcium on NH_4^+ elicited currents through <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA.....	3-124
Figure 3.10 Influence of P_i preincubation on the NH_4^+ current elicited by <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA.....	3-126
Figure 3.11 The influence of P_i -preincubation and Ca^{2+} concentration on the conductance of NH_4^+ activated <i>ScAMF1</i> currents.....	3-128
Figure 3.12 Representative currents elicited by <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA or injected with H_2O in the presence of varied Ca^{2+} concentrations.....	3-129
Figure 3.13 Representative currents elicited by <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> cRNA or injected with H_2O in the presence of varied Ca^{2+} concentrations after P_i -preincubation	3-131
Figure 3.14 Steady state currents of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>ScAMF1</i> at -140 and $+60$ mV in response to different monosaccharides	3-133
Figure 3.15 Voltage clamp protocol.....	3-135
Figure 4.1 Comparison of GmAMF1;3 and <i>ScAMF1</i> amino acid sequence	4-166
Figure 4.2 Alignment of identified soybean MFS members.....	4-167
Figure 4.3 Alignment of the identified <i>Arabidopsis</i> MFS members with <i>ScAMF1</i> ...	4-168
Figure 4.4 Alignment of the identified MFS transporters	4-169
Figure 4.5 Circular phylogenetic tree of MFS transporters.....	4-171
Figure 4.6 GmAMF1;3 predicted topology.....	4-172

Figure 4.7 Vector maps of <i>pYFP-attR</i> and <i>pDC3-1007</i> for transient expression in onion epidermal cells	4-173
Figure 4.8 Subcellular localisation of N-terminally tagged YFP::GmAMF1;3 in onion epidermal cells	4-175
Figure 4.9 Growth of 26972c NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> in the presence of MA	4-177
Figure 4.10 Growth of 26972c NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> on low NH ₄ ⁺ containing media	4-178
Figure 4.11 Rate of ¹⁴ C-MA influx into yeast cells over-expressing <i>GmSAT1</i> and <i>GmAMF1;3</i> relative to the empty <i>pYES3-DEST</i> vector	4-179
Figure 4.12 Swelling profile of 26972c spheroplasts expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> in response to MA and NH ₄ ⁺	4-180
Figure 4.13 Effect of Ca ²⁺ on GmAMF1;3 mediated currents carried by NH ₄ ⁺	4-181
Figure 4.14 Amplitude of GmAMF1;3 facilitated NH ₄ ⁺ currents in <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes subjected to preincubation with P _i	4-182
Figure 4.15 Representative currents elicited by <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> cRNA or injected with H ₂ O in the presence of varied Ca ²⁺ concentrations.....	4-184
Figure 4.16 Representative currents elicited by <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> cRNA or injected with H ₂ O in the presence of varied Ca ²⁺ concentrations after P _i -preincubation	4-186
Figure 4.17 Effect of P _i gradients across the oocyte plasma membrane on GmAMF1;3 mediated currents carried by NH ₄ ⁺	4-188
Figure 4.18 GmAMF1;3, AtAMF1;1 and AtAMF1;2 protein sequence and predicted topology	4-190
Figure 4.19 Vector map of <i>pAVA554</i> for transient expression in onion epidermal cells	4-192
Figure 4.20 Subcellular localisation of C-terminal <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1;1::eYFP fusions in onion epidermal cells.....	4-193

Figure 4.21 Subcellular localisation of C-terminal <i>Arabidopsis</i> AMF1;2::eYFP fusions in onion epidermal cells.....	4-194
Figure 4.22 Growth of 26972c NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> in the presence of 0.1 M MA.....	4-195
Figure 4.23 Growth of 26972c NH ₄ ⁺ transport deficient yeast strain expressing <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> in the presence of 0.1 M MA.....	4-196
Figure 4.24 Rate of ¹⁴ C-MA influx into yeast cells over-expressing <i>GmSAT1</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> relative to the empty <i>pYES3-DEST</i> vector.....	4-197
Figure 4.25 Swelling profiles of 26972c spheroplasts expressing <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> in response to MA and NH ₄ ⁺	4-198
Figure 4.26 The current / voltage relationships of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> cRNA in the presence of monovalent cations ..	4-200
Figure 4.27 The current / voltage relationships of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> cRNA in the absence of Na ⁺	4-202
Figure 4.28 The current / voltage relationships of <i>X. laevis</i> oocytes expressing <i>GmAMF1;3</i> , <i>AtAMF1;1</i> or <i>AtAMF1;2</i> cRNA in the absence of Na ⁺ and K ⁺	4-204

II. List of Tables

Table 2.1 List of primers used in Chapter 2.....	2-90
Table 4.1 List of primers used in Chapter 4.....	4-206

III. Abbreviations

3'	Three prime of nucleic acid sequence
5'	Five prime of nucleic acid sequence
~	Approximately
±	plus and minus
β	Beta
μM	Micromolar
ADP	Adenosine diphosphate
AGRF	Australian Genome Research Facility
AMF1	Ammonium Major Facilitator 1
AMP	Ampicillin
AMT	Ammonium Transporter
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
bp	Base pairs
bHLH	basic Helix-Loop-Helix
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
CARB	Carbenicillin
CaMV 35S	Cauliflower Mosaic Virus Constitutive Promoter
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CDS	Coding DNA sequence
CFP	Cyan Fluorescent Protein
Ct	Threshold cycle
C-terminal	Carboxyl terminal

DEPC	Diethyl pyrocarbonate
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
cRNA	Capped RNA
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetracetic Acid
EMS	Ethylene Methane Sulfonate
EMSA	Electromobility Shift Assay
g	Grams
Gal	Galactose
Gal1	Galactose inducible promoter 1
GFP	Green Fluorescent Protein
Glu	Glucose
GmSAT1	<i>Glycine max</i> Symbiotic Ammonium Transporter 1
h	Hour(s)
I/V	Current as a function of voltage
Kb	Kilo base(s)
kD	Kilo dalton(s)
LB	Luria broth
LiAc	Lithium acetate
M	Molar
MA	Methylammonium
MBS	Modified Barth's Solution
MEP	Methylammonium permease
MES	2- (N-Morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid, 4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid
MF	Major Facilitator
MFS	Major Facilitator Superfamily

Min	Minute(s)
mM	Millimolar
mRNA	messenger RNA
N	Nitrogen
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information
ng	Nanogram(s)
nl	Nanolitre(s)
nm	Nanometer(s)
N-terminal	Amine terminal
OD	Optical Density
P	Phosphate
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
PBS	Peribacteroid space
P _i	Inorganic phosphate
PAGE	Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
Pro	L-proline
PBS	Peribacteroid space
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
PM	Plasma Membrane
Pro	L-proline
qPCR	quantitative PCR
Rh	Rhesus protein
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RNase	Ribonuclease

RNAi	RNA Interference
s	Second(s)
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SE	Standard Error
SM	Symbiosome Membrane
SPEC	Spectomycin
TAIR	The <i>Arabidopsis</i> Information Resource
TCA	Trichloroacetic acid
TEVC	Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp
TF	Transcription factor
Tris	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
UTR	Untranslated region
v/v	volume/volume
w/v	weight/volume
YFP	Yellow Fluorescent Protein
YPD	Yeast extract peptone dextrose medium
YNB	Yeast Nitrogen Base

IV. Abstract

Species from the family *Leguminosae* are able to survive in nitrogen (N) limiting conditions via a symbiotic relationship with soil-borne N₂-fixing bacteria collectively known as *Rhizobium*. The symbiosis results in the development of the root nodule where invaded bacteria (bacteroids) reside within a plant derived membrane vesicle (symbiosome) located within the cytoplasm of infected nodule cortical cells. Bacterial nitrogenase activity converts atmospheric N₂ to ammonium (NH₄⁺), which is delivered to the plant in exchange for photosynthetically derived carbon for bacterial consumption. The mechanism regulating the transfer of NH₄⁺ to the plant across the symbiosome membrane is currently unknown. GmSAT1 (*Glycine max* Symbiotic Ammonium Transporter 1), a symbiosome membrane bound basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) transcription factor has previously been identified in soybean by its ability to enhance NH₄⁺ and MA transport in the NH₄⁺ transport deficient yeast strain 26972c (Kaiser et al., 1998). In this study, we have revisited microarray analysis of 26972c cells expressing *GmSAT1* to identify differentially regulated yeast genes with putative roles in NH₄⁺/MA transport.

Central to this study is the identification of ScAMF1 (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ammonium Major Facilitator 1), a previously uncharacterised major facilitator transport protein, which was upregulated 56.5-fold in response to GmSAT1 activity. ScAMF1 and GmAMF1;3, a representative AMF1 from soybean, were functionally analysed with respect to putative NH₄⁺ transport using a combination of yeast and *Xenopus laevis* oocyte expression systems. Both AMF1 proteins enhanced ¹⁴C-MA uptake and established a related sensitivity phenotype in 26972c and 31019b, an alternative NH₄⁺ transport mutant strain. In the presence of low (1 mM) NH₄⁺, *ScAMF1* overexpression partially rescued growth of 26972c but was unable to establish a similar phenotype in 31019b. The role of

ScAMF1 in NH_4^+ transport was less clear. However, this study reaffirmed endogenous high-affinity NH_4^+ transporters called MEPs (Methylammonium Permeases) play an important role in GmSAT1-mediated NH_4^+ complementation. Heterologous expression in *X. laevis* oocytes suggest that ScAMF1 and GmAMF1;3 behave as non-selective cation channels capable of low-affinity NH_4^+ transport, revealing NH_4^+ current activation by P_i or a product of P-metabolism and potential Ca^{2+} -gating. This study also provided a preliminary electrophysiological profile of the *Arabidopsis* AMF1 homologs with respect to NH_4^+ transport for future studies to explore in detail.

V. Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of The University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

.....

Danielle Mazurkiewicz

.....

Date

VI. Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Brent Kaiser, Dr. Mamoru Okamoto and Prof. Steve Tyerman, for all of their help and encouragement throughout my PhD experience. In particular, I would like to express my gratitude to Brent, who supervised my Honours year and was still willing to encourage my research pursuits as a PhD candidate. I have really valued his patience and guidance throughout my time in the Kaiser group. I am also grateful for his help securing a University of Adelaide Faculty of Sciences Divisional Postgraduate Scholarship and an ARC short-term scholarship that have made this thesis possible. I am also very appreciative to Mamoru for all of his invaluable research and professional advice. Thank you to Steve, who has been a great source of knowledge and suggestion, especially in electrophysiology.

I would like to thank Sunita Ramesh and Wendy Sullivan for performing *Xenopus laevis* oocyte surgeries. Discussions with Sunita about electrophysiology techniques have greatly aided the progress of my research. I would also like to recognise Nengah McKenzie, who always had time to assist with yeast flux experiments, never minding the long hours. I really enjoyed your company. I must also acknowledge David Chiasson for cloning the *GmAMF1;3* CDS and assessing the localisation of GmAMF1;3 in onion epidermal cells.

I know I shall think fondly on my time in the Kaiser group, and would like to acknowledge both past and present members, all of whom have contributed immensely to my growth as a scientist. Many thanks to Karen Francis, Manijeh Mohammadidehcheshmeh, Allen Wen, Evgenia Ovchinnikova, Julie Dechorgnat, Jon Wignes, Apriadi Situmorang and Nengah MacKenzie for all of your assistance.

Thank you to Jorge for all the times you have let me prattle on about science, whilst reminding me to enjoy life outside of the lab. I am so grateful for your friendship. Suz Zhao, thanks for befriending me and wondering the beautiful walking trails around the Waite campus with me. It has been great sharing the ups and downs of a PhD project with you.

Last but not least, I would like to sincerely thank my family. I cannot express the gratitude I feel towards my parents for entertaining my scientific curiosity as a child and providing endless support and encouragement during my time at university. Thank you to my Nana Doreen, who believed I would be a scientist before I knew. My siblings, Symone and Stephen, have also weathered the long journey to completing this thesis with me. This thesis would not exist without you.