

Tagging Pathogenicity Genes in the Interaction of Barley and the Fungal Pathogen, *Rhynchosporium secalis*.

by

Shae Brian Yuill, B.Ag.Sci. (Hons.)

Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
In the Discipline of Plant and Food Science,
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine,
The University of Adelaide

February, 2008

Table of Contents

Abstract	viii
Declaration	x
Acknowledgements	xi
Abbreviations	xii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Pathogen	1
1.1.1 Pathogen Variability	1
1.1.2 Disease Symptoms	2
1.1.3 The Infection Process	2
1.2 Post Penetration – The Host Plant Response	3
1.2.1 Susceptibility	4
1.2.2 Resistance	5
1.3 Disease Control	7
1.3.1 Resistant Cultivars	7
1.3.2 Chemical Control – Fungicides	8
1.4 Fungal Pathogenicity Genes	9
1.4.1 Methods of Identifying Pathogenicity Genes	11
1.4.1.1 <i>Educated Guessing</i>	12
1.4.1.2 <i>Mutagenesis</i>	13
1.4.2 Tagging Pathogenicity Genes in <i>Rhynchosporium secalis</i>	15
Chapter 2 Fungal Transformation (Mutagenesis)	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Materials and Methods	18
2.2.1 Fungal Strains and Culture Conditions	18
2.2.2 Restriction Enzyme-Mediated Integration (REMI)	19

2.2.2.1	<i>Preparation of Fungal Protoplasts</i>	19
2.2.2.2	<i>Protoplast Transformation</i>	20
2.2.3	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> -Mediated Transformation (ATMT)	21
2.2.3.1	<i>Construction of the Binary Vectors</i>	21
2.2.3.2	<i>Amplification of the Binary Vectors in E. coli Cells</i>	23
2.2.3.3	<i>Transformation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells</i>	24
2.2.3.4	<i>Transformation of R. secalis Spores</i>	24
2.2.4	Molecular Analysis	25
2.2.4.1	<i>DNA Extraction from Fungal Mutants</i>	25
2.2.4.2	<i>Probe Preparation for Southern Hybridisation</i>	26
2.2.4.3	<i>Southern Hybridisation</i>	27
2.3	Results	28
2.3.1	Mutagenesis of <i>R. secalis</i> by REMI	28
2.3.1.1	<i>Comparison of Restriction Enzymes and Fungal Strain Using Circular Plasmid</i>	28
2.3.1.2	<i>The Effect of Restriction Enzyme Concentration on Transformation Efficiency</i>	29
2.3.1.3	<i>Copy Number and Integration Pattern</i>	31
2.3.2	Mutagenesis of <i>R. secalis</i> by ATMT	33
2.3.2.1	<i>Transformation Efficiency and Binary vectors</i>	34
2.3.2.2	<i>Number of Integration Sites, Integration Pattern and Stability</i>	35
2.4	Discussion	39
2.4.1	REMI Transformation	40
2.4.2	ATMT	41
2.4.3	Comparing ATMT and REMI as Transformation Methods for <i>R. secalis</i>	43
Chapter 3	Identification of Non-Pathogenic Mutants	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Materials and Methods	46

3.2.1 Plant Growth Conditions	46
3.2.2 Scald Mutants	46
3.2.3 Inoculation Procedures	47
3.2.3.1 Cotton Ball Inoculations	47
3.2.3.2 Spore Inoculations	48
3.2.4 Non-pathogenic Mutant Identification	48
3.2.5 Fungal Storage	49
3.3 Results	49
3.3.1 UK7 Mutants	49
3.3.2 Strain 5 Mutants	50
3.4 Discussion	51
3.4.1 Frequency of Non-Pathogenic Mutants	51
3.4.2 Pathogenicity of Strain 5 Mutants	52
Chapter 4 Excursion: Assessment of Fungal Sporulation	54
4.1 Introduction	54
4.2 Materials and Methods	55
4.2.1 Fungal Strains	55
4.2.2 Quantification of Fungal Spores	56
4.2.3 Comparative Sporulation Study: UK7 and Strain 5	56
4.2.4 Starvation Media	56
4.3 Results	57
4.3.1 Sporulation of Strain 5 and UK7 Over Successive Generations	57
4.3.2 Influence of N and C on Sporulation	59
4.4 Discussion	62
Chapter 5 Molecular Analysis of Non-Pathogenic Mutants	65
5.1 Introduction	65
5.2 Materials and Methods	66
5.2.1 Fungal Strains and Mutants	66
5.2.2 Southern Analysis	67

5.2.3 Polymerase Chain Reaction	67
5.2.3.1 <i>Primer Design</i>	67
5.2.3.2 <i>PCR Amplification</i>	68
5.2.3.3 <i>Short Range Low Fidelity PCR</i>	68
5.2.3.4 <i>High Fidelity PCR</i>	69
5.2.4 Genomic Walking	69
5.2.4.1 <i>Genomic DNA Preparation-Adaptor Ligation</i>	69
5.2.4.2 <i>Primers and PCR protocols</i>	70
5.2.5 Cloning of PCR Products	71
5.2.6 DNA Sequencing	72
5.2.7 DNA Sequence Analysis	72
5.3 Results	72
5.3.1 Southern Analysis	72
5.3.2 PCR Walking	76
5.3.2.1 <i>Mutant 4.20</i>	76
5.3.2.2 <i>Mutant YB4.44</i>	78
5.3.2.3 <i>Mutant YB7.395</i>	80
5.3.2.4 <i>Mutant YB7.412</i>	81
5.3.2.5 <i>Mutant YH4.5</i>	83
5.3.2.6 <i>Mutant LH2013</i>	85
5.3.3 <i>PvuII</i> and <i>SspI</i> Digestion and Star Activity	87
5.3.4 Structure of the REMI Junctions	88
5.3.5 Mutant Integration Structures	95
5.3.5.1 <i>Mutants with Sequence Information at both Integration Junctions</i>	95
5.3.5.2 <i>Mutants with Sequence Information at One Plasmid-</i> <i>DNA Integration Junction</i>	97
5.4 Discussion	99
5.4.1 Southern and Sequencing Results – Random Re-assortment of the Fungal Genome	99
5.4.2 REMI, Integration Junctions and Non-homologous End-Joining	100
5.4.3 REMI and <i>R. secalis</i> Mutagenesis – Concluding Remarks	103

Chapter 6	Bioinformatic Analysis of Non-Pathogenic Mutants	104
6.1	Introduction	104
6.2	Materials and Methods	105
6.2.1	Open Reading Frame (ORF) Analysis	105
6.2.2	Sequence Similarity Searches	105
6.3	Results	106
6.3.1	Mutant YB4.20	106
6.3.2	Mutant YB4.44	107
6.3.3	Mutant YB7.395	110
6.3.4	Mutant YB7.412	110
6.3.5	Mutant YH4.5	111
6.3.6	Mutant LH2013	112
6.4	Discussion	114
6.4.1	Mutants Lacking Sequence Similarities	114
6.4.2	Location of Open Reading Frames with Respect to the Integration Site	114
6.4.3	Possible Gene Functions	115
Chapter 7	Functional Analysis	117
7.1	Introduction	117
7.2	Materials and Methods	119
7.2.1	Fungal Strains and Mutants	119
7.2.2	HR Vector Construction	119
7.2.3	Materials and Methods for Handling <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	126
7.2.4	DNA Isolation from Fungal Colonies for PCR	126
7.2.5	PCR Identification of Homologous Recombination Events	126
7.3	Results	127
7.3.1	Transformation Results	127
7.3.2	PCR Results	128
7.4	Discussion	129
7.4.1	Failure of HR Occurring	129

7.4.2 Future Studies	131
7.4.2.1 Homologous Recombination Improvement	131
7.4.2.2 Expression Studies	133
7.4.2.3 Fungal Pathogenicity: Current Perspectives	133
References	135
Appendix: PCR Oligonucleotides	160
Appendix: PCR Oligonucleotides – Location	166
Appendix: DNA Alignments	169
Appendix: Size Marker – SPP-1 Phage DNA/EcoRI	176
Appendix: DNA Sequences	177

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to identify pathogenicity genes in the fungal pathogen of cultivated barley, *Rhynchosporium secalis*. Pathogenicity genes are described as genes that are critical for the successful invasion and colonisation of the host plant but not necessary for life cycle completion in culture. To identify genes a pool of insertion mutants was generated.

Insertional mutants were generated by two methods, restriction enzyme-mediated integration (REMI) and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation (ATMT). A detailed REMI study showed circular pAN7-1 vector produced higher transformation efficiencies than linear vector at all enzyme levels tested. Fungal strain 5, in combination with 20 units of the restriction enzyme *Bam*HI produced the highest observed transformation efficiency with approximately 40% of these mutants producing simple, single integrations based on interpreted Southern data. The addition of *Bam*HI increased transformation efficiency at all enzyme levels tested with the exception of the highest enzyme concentration: 200 units of enzyme/transformation reaction. In comparison to REMI, the ATMT protocol proved more efficient than REMI and the binary vector backbone pPZP200 produced >50% simple single copy integrations, interpreted from Southern data. This study is the first ATMT protocol for *R. secalis* and was successfully adapted from other fungal species.

In total, 534 *Bam*HI and *Hind*III REMI mutants of *R. secalis* fungal strain UK7 (83) and strain 5 (453) were screened on the universally susceptible barley cultivar Sloop yielding 10 non-pathogenic mutants, eight from strain 5 and two from UK7, respectively.

During screening experiments strain 5 mutants failed to produce enough spores for a spore suspension to be prepared and inoculated. Strain 5 loses the ability to sporulate after four generations, or successive subculture steps. The inability to sporulate was not correlated to an observable, macroscopic loss in fungal biomass. Starvation experiments utilising carbon and nitrogen sources did not alter sporulation in the sporulating strain 5 sample or reverse the loss of sporulation. However, an overall trend was observed in the sporulation of strain UK7 where sporulation decreased with increasing nitrogen and increased with increasing carbon.

Genomic sequence flanking the integration site was isolated and analysed from six of the ten non-pathogenic mutants. Four putative genes were identified with integrations located in their putative promoter sequences. Sequence similarity searches showed three of these putative genes had similarities to amino acid permeases, cytochrome p450 and rhomboid-like genes. The two putative genes with similarities to amino acid permease and cytochrome p450 genes were selected for targeted gene disruption studies using homologous recombination (HR).

ATMT was used as the delivery system for the HR construct in an attempt to generate a disruption mutant and prove gene function. Over 200 mutants transformed with the two knock out vectors were screened. However, gene disruption experiments failed and could not be repeated due to a lack of resources and time.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that the REMI transformation technique is feasible for gene disruption studies in *R. secalis*. Furthermore, ATMT is a viable alternative transformation method that, for future studies, would be the preferable technique.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Shae Yuill

October, 2008.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, to work under someone as world renowned in the field of plant-pathogen interactions as Dr. Wolfgang Knogge was a true blessing. I must thank Wolfgang for sharing his knowledge and his gentle and generous encouragement. To Dr. Peter Anderson and Dr. Carolyn Shultz, you have both had kind words and excellent insight whenever it was required. To all my supervisors, thank you so much for your editing, your advice and more than anything, your patience.

Help from our laboratory technician, Ms Annette Boettcher, was invaluable. It is my good fortune to have developed a lasting friendship with her and she is truly a scientist that deserves a higher title than technician. Dr. Angela Gierlich was generous in her provision of vectors for *Agrobacterium* studies and Dr. Sylvie Albert was responsible for the generation of UK7 REMI mutants used in this study. Thanks also to Dr. Steve Jefferies for Sloop seed supplies and Lindy Scott for use of her controlled environment rooms and access to *Rhynchosporium secalis* isolates.

Although not a single word is theirs, there are a number of people without whom a word would not have been written. I am most definitely one of the lucky one's to have the support of my family. My grandparents are a constant source of inspiration and remind me that when life is difficult, reflection on the World's recent history provides perspective on life. My parents have encouraged me in all aspects of my life and are responsible for sending me to university and have made a number of sacrifices to ensure that I would complete my studies. I am forever indebted to them for their support and encouragement.

My brother, Skye, was the first person to inspire me to greater heights in study. In fact, there has not been a better role model in my life to date. He and Matthew, my best friend for nearly 20 years, have always kept me laughing and shared in all my successes and failures.

If there was a single person that has been the most important in the completion of this thesis it is my wonderful partner, Amber. The one person who keeps me grounded and never fails to put a smile on my face when I truly need one.

Finally, to those who have not had a mention and deserve one my life is richer because of your presence.

Abbreviations

-wk	Week
-d	Day
h	Hour
min	Minute
sec	Second
ml	Millilitres
µl	Microlitres
g	Grams
mg	Milligrams
µg	Micrograms
(w/v)	Weight/volume
M	Molar
mM	Millimolar
mm	Millimetres
nm	Nanometres
UV	Ultraviolet
V	Volts
rpm	Revolutions per minute
REMI	Restriction enzyme-mediated integration
ATMT	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> -mediated transformation
bp	Base pairs
n.d.	No data
NHEJ	Non-homologous end joining
PPS	Protruding single strand
ORF	Open Reading Frame
HR	Homologous recombination
RT-PCR	Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction
TAIL-PCR	Thermal asymmetric interlaced-polymerase chain reaction

PSS	Protruding single strand
BLAST	Basic logical alignment search tool
PDA	Pisatin demethylase
EST	Expressed sequence tag
GFP	Green florescent protein
<i>gpdA</i>	<i>gpdA</i> promoter
Amp	Ampicillin antibiotic resistance gene
hph	Hygromycin antibiotic resistance gene
Spec	Spectinomycin antibiotic resistance gene
Kan	Kanamycin antibiotic resistance gene
LB	Left border
RB	Right border
MAMPs	Molecular-associated molecular patterns
PAMPs	Pathogen-associated molecular patterns