

#### THE STRUCTURE OF SEQUENTIAL EFFECTS

Dinis Sequeira Do Couto Gökaydin

# A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE IN 2015 SCHOOL OF PSYCHOLOGY









# Contents

| A  | bstrac   | et en | ÌΧ  |
|----|----------|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| De | eclara   | tion by author                            | xi  |
| A  | cknow    | rledgements                               | xii |
| Li | st of I  | Figures                                   | xiv |
| Li | ist of T | Tables                                    | xxi |
| 1  | Lite     | rature review                             | 4   |
|    | 1.1      | Early history - 1920 to 1960              | 7   |
|    | 1.2      | Sequential effects in reaction time       | 16  |
|    |          | 1.2.1 The dominance of information theory | 16  |

CONTENTS

|     | 1.2.2   | A repetition effect in reaction time                 | 18 |
|-----|---------|------------------------------------------------------|----|
|     | 1.2.3   | Reconciling positive and negative recency effects    | 21 |
|     | 1.2.4   | Looking at all possible histories of events          | 25 |
|     | 1.2.5   | Subjective expectancy and automatic facilitation     | 26 |
|     | 1.2.6   | A different pattern of sequential effects            | 31 |
|     | 1.2.7   | The locus of sequential effects                      | 31 |
| 1.3 | Seque   | ntial effects in EEG                                 | 33 |
| 1.4 | Individ | dual Differences in Sequential Effects               | 39 |
| 1.5 | Quanti  | itative models of sequential effects                 | 40 |
|     | 1.5.1   | The geometric average or exponential filter          | 42 |
|     | 1.5.2   | Capturing a repetition effect - Falmagne (1965)      | 44 |
|     | 1.5.3   | Two geometric means - Laming (1969)                  | 47 |
|     | 1.5.4   | An ordered memory scanning process - Falmagne (1975) | 49 |
|     | 1.5.5   | The biased leaky accumulator - Cho et al (2002)      | 50 |
|     | 1.5.6   | Dynamic belief model - Yu and Cohen (2008)           | 52 |
|     | 1.5.7   | Adding base rates to DBM - Wilder et al (2009)       | 53 |
|     | 1.5.8   | Tackling RSI dependence - Gao et al (2009)           | 55 |

iv

|   |     | 1.5.9   | Different types of statistics - Gokaydin et al (2011) | 56 |
|---|-----|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|----|
|   |     | 1.5.10  | Joint learning model - Jones et al (2013)             | 56 |
|   | 1.6 | Summ    | ary                                                   | 61 |
| 2 | Hun | nan use | different statistics depending on the task            | 62 |
|   | 2.1 | Introdu | action                                                | 63 |
|   |     | 2.1.1   | Some fundamental concepts                             | 66 |
|   |     | 2.1.2   | The need for a new experimental paradigm              | 67 |
|   |     | 2.1.3   | The need for a new model                              | 69 |
|   | 2.2 | Model   |                                                       | 74 |
|   |     | 2.2.1   | Formal details                                        | 74 |
|   | 2.3 | Experi  | ments                                                 | 79 |
|   |     | 2.3.1   | Data analysis and model fits                          | 79 |
|   |     | 2.3.2   | Experiment 1                                          | 80 |
|   |     | 2.3.3   | Experiment 2                                          | 83 |
|   |     | 2.3.4   | Experiment 3                                          | 87 |
|   | 2.4 | Genera  | d Discussion                                          | 89 |
|   | 2.5 | Conclu  | usion                                                 | 93 |

CONTENTS

| 3 | The | Structu | re of Sequential Effects   | 95  |
|---|-----|---------|----------------------------|-----|
|   | 3.1 | Introdu | action                     | 96  |
|   |     | 3.1.1   | Background                 | 98  |
|   |     | 3.1.2   | Experimental differences   | 101 |
|   |     | 3.1.3   | Individual Differences     | 105 |
|   |     | 3.1.4   | Separate processing stages | 106 |
|   | 3.2 | Metho   | d                          | 108 |
|   |     | 3.2.1   | Participants               | 108 |
|   |     | 3.2.2   | Experiments                | 109 |
|   |     | 3.2.3   | Procedure                  | 110 |
|   |     | 3.2.4   | Data analysis              | 111 |
|   | 3.3 | Results | s                          | 116 |
|   |     | 3.3.1   | Coefficient patterns       | 116 |
|   |     | 3.3.2   | Discussion                 | 117 |
|   |     | 3.3.3   | Component scores           | 122 |
|   |     | 3.3.4   | Discussion                 | 127 |
|   | 3.4 | Genera  | al Discussion              | 129 |

vi Contents

|   |     | 3.4.1     | The nature of sequential effects - C2 and C3        | . 129 |
|---|-----|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|
|   |     | 3.4.2     | The role of processing speed - C4                   | . 131 |
|   |     | 3.4.3     | Conclusion                                          | . 133 |
| 4 | An  | oscillato | or-based modelling framework for sequential effects | 134   |
|   | 4.1 | The di    | fficulties with modelling sequential effects        | . 135 |
|   |     | 4.1.1     | A tale of two filters                               | . 135 |
|   |     | 4.1.2     | Two separate processing stages                      | . 141 |
|   |     | 4.1.3     | Ingredients for a complete model                    | . 143 |
|   | 4.2 | A new     | framework for sequential effects                    | . 147 |
|   |     | 4.2.1     | Representing the stimuli in continuous time         | . 147 |
|   |     | 4.2.2     | The physics of oscillatory motion                   | . 148 |
|   |     | 4.2.3     | The relationship between physics and psychology     | . 154 |
|   |     | 4.2.4     | A single oscillator model                           | . 156 |
|   |     | 4.2.5     | Two coupled oscillators                             | . 170 |
|   | 4.3 | Assess    | sing the modelling framework                        | . 175 |
|   |     | 4.3.1     | Covariance structures                               | . 176 |
|   |     | 4.3.2     | Reaction time fits                                  | . 187 |

CONTENTS vii

|   |      | 4.3.3   | Unusual patterns of sequential effects and C4           | 89  |
|---|------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|   |      | 4.3.4   | Dependence on RSI                                       | 91  |
|   | 4.4  | Genera  | d discussion                                            | 93  |
|   |      | 4.4.1   | Covariance structures and underlying physical model     | 93  |
|   |      | 4.4.2   | The mechanism behind C4                                 | 95  |
|   |      | 4.4.3   | Temporal and spatial filtering?                         | 97  |
|   |      |         |                                                         |     |
| 5 | Disc | ussion  | 2                                                       | 203 |
|   | 5.1  | Two di  | fferent views of sequential effects                     | 05  |
|   |      | 5.1.1   | The classical or statistical view                       | 05  |
|   |      | 5.1.2   | The emerging two component view                         | 09  |
|   |      | 5.1.3   | Resonance and the exponential filtering of alternations | 13  |
|   | 5.2  | The sta | atistics humans use in different tasks                  | 18  |
|   | 5.3  | Sequer  | atial effects as spatio-temporal filtering              | 20  |
|   | 5.4  | Future  | directions                                              | 24  |
|   |      | 5.4.1   | Empirical                                               | 24  |
|   |      | 5.4.2   | Theoretical                                             | 25  |
|   | 5.5  | Wider   | implications and conclusion                             | 32  |

| Re | ferences                                           | 235 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A  | Appendix A: Supplementary information to Chapter 3 | 248 |
| В  | Appendix B: Random RSI experiment                  | 254 |
| C  | Appendix C: All individual data                    | 257 |
| D  | Appendix D: Solution of differential equations     | 285 |
| E  | Appendix E: Mathematica code                       | 289 |
| F  | Appendix F: Frequency spectra                      | 291 |

#### **Abstract**

Research into sequential effects has a long and rich history spanning almost one hundred years. In their most general definition sequential effects can simply be considered a dependence of behaviour on the past sequence of events, and are of the most pervasive phenomena in psychology. Some form of sequential effects has been observed in multiple perceptual and cognitive tasks, and across different modalities. In addition, sequential effects have also been observed in electrophysiological studies, with a great deal of similarity observed between EEG and behavioural results, making this a relevant topic for both psychology and neuroscience. This gives sequential effects a great deal of potential as a doorway for elucidating the relationship between human behaviour and neuronal activity, between the mind and the brain.

Yet perhaps in part because of the great diversity of domains in which sequential effects are observed, this is an often fragmented field of research, with a multitude of experimental paradigms used, often leading to some confusion as to how different results are related to each other. One of the main objectives of this work is therefore to begin to unify the field into one coherent whole, and to do so at both a computational and process levels. To begin with, Chapter 2 addresses the computational nature of sequential effects in terms of different types of statistics humans use in different circumstances. In Chapter 3 it is shown that the most results described before in the

X ABSTRACT

literature can be explained by only three components, including a wealth of individual differences which had been largely ignored so far.

On a more theoretical level it could be argued that there is a degree of redundancy between the various mathematical models of sequential effects proposed over the years. Models are usually fit to isolated datasets, when it is well known that even minor experimental manipulations can lead to different results, making it unclear how conclusions extend to other settings. Moreover, by virtue of their common mathematical structure, most models of sequential effects suffer from similar difficulties in reproducing key empirical observations. This, together with other considerations, motivates an entirely different approach to modelling sequential effects proposed in Chapter 4. The framework suggested is based on the physics of oscillatory motion, being continuous-time in nature and able to incorporate space, reflecting the fact that both time and space have been found empirically to play a role in sequential effects.

More generally there are two central proposals which unify this dissertation. Firstly that sequential effects are the consequence of two main independent components possibly related to the separate processing of stimuli and responses. Secondly that sequential effects reflect some form of filtering implemented through interaction with an oscillatory system.

#### Declaration by author

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors:

Anna "What does it by you?" Ma-Wyatt

Daniel "The devil is in the details" Navarro

Amy "The proof is in the pudding" Perfors

I would like to thank Eric Soetens and Ines Jentzsch for permission to reproduce their data.

Above all, I want to thank my wife for her patience and sacrifice in the later stages of writingup.

I also want to thank my mother for shaping the way I think.

Finally, I want to thank all the friends that accompanied me on this journey: Adam Kane, Sarah Kane, Anastasia Ejova, Simon de Deyne, Tine Van de Wiele, Grant Dewar, Emma Stewart, Belinda Bruza, Heidi Long, Andrew Hendrickson, Diana Schmalzried, Rachel Stevens, Wai Keen Vong, Lauren Kennedy, Toby Elmhirst, Fernando Marmolejo-Ramos, Nadja Klafke, Adella Bhaskara,

xii

Kathleen Wright, Kaitlin Harkess, David O'Shaughnessy, Carola Sanders, Wanda Prokopiak, Deidre Simpson, Sayed the coffee man, and many others.

This thesis is dedicated to the most beautiful creatures in the universe: Alex and Lara.

# List of Figures

| 1.1  | Zenith radio experiments                                                   | 11 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.2  | An alternation effect (Jarvik, 1951)                                       | 14 |
| 1.3  | A repetition effect (Bertelson, 1961)                                      | 19 |
| 1.4  | Contradiction between reaction times and predictive behaviour (Hale, 1967) | 23 |
| 1.5  | Decreasing RTs with runs of repetitions and alternations (Hale, 1969)      | 23 |
| 1.6  | Benefit-only and cost-benefit patterns of sequential effects               | 27 |
| 1.7  | The dependence of sequential effects on the RSI (Soetens, 1985)            | 29 |
| 1.8  | Unusual patterns of sequential effects (Jentzsch, 2002; Melis, 2002)       | 30 |
| 1.9  | Sequential effects in the ERP (Squires, 1976)                              | 34 |
| 1.10 | Sequential effects in P300 with short and long RSI (Sommer, 1999)          | 36 |

LIST OF FIGURES xv

| 1.11 | Two separate processing stages - S-LRP and LRP-R (Jentzsch, 2002) |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2.1  | Exponential decay                                                 |
| 2.2  | Model predictions for a 2AFC                                      |
| 2.3  | Model predictions for a 3AFC                                      |
| 2.4  | Experiment 1 results                                              |
| 2.5  | Experiment 2 results                                              |
| 2.6  | Experiment 3 results                                              |
| 2.7  | Evidence for zero-th order statistics                             |
| 3.1  | Dependence of sequential effects on the RSI                       |
| 3.2  | Unusual patterns of sequential effects                            |
| 3.3  | Individual differences for the same experimental conditions       |
| 3.4  | S-LRP and LRP-R                                                   |
| 3.5  | Latent structure of sequential effects                            |
| 3.6  | Invariance of the latent structure of sequential effects with RSI |
| 3.7  | C4 as the consequence of delayed activation of C2 and C3          |
| 3.8  | Effect of varying the balance of C2 and C3                        |

xvi List of Figures

| 3.9  | Effect of negative scores on C2 and C3                              |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.10 | The effect of C4                                                    |
| 3.11 | Mean reaction time patterns as a function of RSI                    |
| 3.12 | Mean individual scores as a function of RSI                         |
| 4.1  | Two types of exponential filter                                     |
| 4.2  | Humans sometimes behave like a simple exponential filter            |
| 4.3  | Typical patterns of sequential effects obtained with a long RSI     |
| 4.4  | Evidence for two processing stages involved sequential effects      |
| 4.5  | Square pulse representing a single stimulus                         |
| 4.6  | Functions of time representing RRRR and AAAA                        |
| 4.7  | Resonance curves                                                    |
| 4.8  | REP                                                                 |
| 4.9  | ALT                                                                 |
| 4.10 | Illustration of the parameters used to generate REP and ALT         |
| 4.11 | Oscillator behaviour at resonance with repetitions and alternations |
| 4.12 | Effect of varying the damping coefficient on model results          |
| 4.13 | Power spectra of RRRR and AAAA                                      |

LIST OF FIGURES xvii

| 4.14 | Power spectra of the sequences RRRR, AAAA and ARAR(RARA) 164                            |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4.15 | Patterns similar to -REP and -ALT produced by a heavily damped oscillator 165           |
| 4.16 | Illustration of the parameters used to generate patterns similar to -REP and -ALT . 166 |
| 4.17 | Selected patterns of results for a single heavily damped oscillator                     |
| 4.18 | A lightly damped oscillator can no longer produce -REP and -ALT 168                     |
| 4.19 | Selected patterns of results for a single lightly damped oscillator                     |
| 4.20 | Example forcing functions in standard coordinates                                       |
| 4.21 | Example forcing functions in canonical coordinates                                      |
| 4.22 | Resonance in a system of two coupled oscillators                                        |
| 4.23 | Amplitude response of a system of two coupled oscillators                               |
| 4.24 | A comparison of the two main latent components of sequential effects with the two       |
|      | resonance patterns                                                                      |
| 4.25 | Latent structure of a heavily damped system before rotation                             |
| 4.26 | Latent structure of a heavily damped system after rotation                              |
| 4.27 | Latent structure of a lightly damped system before rotation                             |
| 4.28 | Model parameters for four rotation targets                                              |
| 4.29 | Latent structure of a lightly damped system after rotation                              |

xviii List of Figures

| 4.30 | Effect of underestimating the number of latent components in the latent structure             |     |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|      | of a lightly damped oscillator                                                                | 186 |
| 4.31 | Fits of a single oscillator with the last pulse removed                                       | 189 |
| 4.32 | Spurious inversion of REP when the RSI is short                                               | 192 |
| 4.33 | Results of a random RSI experiment                                                            | 197 |
| 4.34 | Long RSI experiments with spatially separate stimuli                                          | 198 |
| 4.35 | Long RSI experiment with overlapping stimuli                                                  | 199 |
| 5.1  | Two types of exponential filter                                                               | 206 |
| 5.2  | Comparison of a sum of exponential filters with empirical data                                | 207 |
| 5.3  | Fit of exponential filters to S-LRP and LRP-R                                                 | 209 |
| 5.4  | Evidence from behavioural experiments for stimulus and response processing                    | 211 |
| 5.5  | A comparison of the two main latent components of sequential effects with S-LRP and LRP-R     | 212 |
| 5.6  | Fit of a combination of S-LRP and LRP-R to a typical cost-benefit pattern                     | 213 |
| 5.7  | Fit of ALT and REP to S-LRP and LRP-R                                                         | 214 |
| 5.8  | Fit of a combination of REP and ALT to the typical cost-benefit pattern of sequential effects | 215 |
| 5.9  | Results of a 2AFC with a random RSI                                                           | 217 |

LIST OF FIGURES xix

| 5.10 | Example normal modes            |   | • |   | . 2 | 228 |
|------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|-----|
| A.1  | Unrotated latent structure      |   |   |   | . 2 | 249 |
| A.2  | Individual scores               |   |   |   | . 2 | 250 |
| A.3  | Latent structure per experiment |   |   |   | . 2 | 252 |
| C.1  | Experiment 1, 50 ms RSI         |   |   | • | . 2 | 258 |
| C.2  | Experiment 1, 250 ms RSI        |   |   |   | . 2 | 259 |
| C.3  | Experiment 1, 500 ms RSI        |   |   |   | . 2 | 260 |
| C.4  | Experiment 1, 800 ms RSI        |   | • |   | . 2 | 261 |
| C.5  | Experiment 2, 250 ms RSI        |   | • | • | . 2 | 262 |
| C.6  | Experiment 2, 500 ms RSI        | • | • | • | . 2 | 263 |
| C.7  | Experiment 2, 800 ms RSI        |   |   |   | . 2 | 264 |
| C.8  | Experiment 3, 50 ms RSI         |   |   |   | . 2 | 265 |
| C.9  | Experiment 3, 250 ms RSI        |   | • |   | . 2 | 266 |
| C.10 | 0 Experiment 3, 500 ms RSI      |   |   |   | . 2 | 267 |
| C.11 | 1 Experiment 3, 800 ms RSI      |   |   |   | . 2 | 268 |
| C.12 | 2 Experiment 4, 50 ms RSI       |   |   |   | . 2 | 269 |

XX LIST OF FIGURES

| C.13 | Experiment 4, 250 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  | •  | •  | <br>• | ٠ | • | • | <br>• | • | • | <br>• | • | • | • | • | <br>•          | • | • | • | . 2  | 70 |
|------|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|----|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|------|----|
| C.14 | Experiment 4, 500 ms RSI    |    |     | •  |    |    |       |   | • |   |       |   | • |       |   | • | • |   | <br>           |   |   |   | . 2  | 71 |
| C.15 | Experiment 4, 800 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  |    |    |       |   | • | • |       |   | • |       |   | • | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 2  | 72 |
| C.16 | Experiment 5, 50 ms RSI     |    | •   | •  |    |    |       |   | • | • | <br>• |   | • |       |   | • | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 2  | 73 |
| C.17 | Experiment 5, 250 ms RSI    |    |     | •  |    |    |       |   | • |   |       |   | • |       |   |   |   |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 2  | 74 |
| C.18 | Experiment 5, 500 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  |    |    |       |   | • | • |       |   | • | <br>• |   | • | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 2  | 75 |
| C.19 | Experiment 5, 800 ms RSI    | •  |     | •  |    |    |       |   | • | • |       |   | • |       |   |   | • |   | <br>. <b>.</b> | • |   |   | . 2  | 76 |
| C.20 | Experiment 6, 50 ms RSI     |    |     | •  |    |    |       |   | • |   |       |   | • |       |   |   |   |   | <br>. <b>.</b> | • |   |   | . 2  | 77 |
| C.21 | Experiment 6, 250 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  | •  |    |       | • | • |   | <br>• |   | • |       |   |   | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 2  | 78 |
| C.22 | Experiment 6, 500 ms RSI    | •  |     | •  |    |    |       |   | • |   |       |   | • |       |   |   | • |   | <br>           |   |   |   | . 2  | 79 |
| C.23 | Experiment 6, 800 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  | •  | •  | <br>• | • | • | • | <br>• |   | • | <br>• | • | • | • | • | <br>           | • | • |   | . 28 | 80 |
| C.24 | Experiment 7, 50 ms RSI     |    | •   | •  | •  |    |       | • | • |   | <br>• |   | • |       |   |   | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 28 | 81 |
| C.25 | Experiment 7, 250 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  | •  |    |       | • | • |   | <br>• |   | • |       |   |   | • |   | <br>           | • |   |   | . 28 | 82 |
| C.26 | Experiment 7, 500 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  |    | •  | <br>• | • | • | • | <br>• | • | • | <br>• | • | • | • | • | <br>           | • | • |   | . 28 | 83 |
| C.27 | Experiment 7, 800 ms RSI    | •  | •   | •  | •  | •  | <br>  | • | • |   | <br>• |   | • |       |   | • |   |   | <br>           |   |   | • | . 28 | 84 |
| F.1  | Frequency spectra of all 16 | Se | eai | ue | nc | es |       |   |   |   |       |   |   |       |   |   |   |   |                |   |   |   | . 21 | 92 |

## List of Tables

| 2.1 | Evaluation of the fit of the zero-th and first order models | 79  |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.1 | Number of participants per experiment and RSI               | 109 |
| 3.2 | Summary of experimental designs                             | 110 |

XXII LIST OF TABLES